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Introduction

J.-P. Hetteltingh, M. Posch, and P.A.M. de Smet

The mandate of the Coordination Center for Effects (CCE) is to give scientific and technical support, in collaboration with the Program Centers under the Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution, to the Working Group on Effects and, as required, to the Working Group on Strategies, and their relevant Task Forces in their work related to the environmental effects of air pollution, including the practical development of critical loads/levels maps and their exceedances.

The work of the CCE is conducted in close collaboration with an extensive network of national scientific institutions (National Focal Centers). Progress on data and methodologies are reviewed annually at CCE Mapping Workshops. Eight workshops have been organized since the CCE was established in 1990: at the RIVM (1990, 1991), the Institute for the Ecology of Industrial Areas (Poland, 1992), the Research Center for Energy, Environment and Technology (Spain, 1993), the National Institute for Agricultural Research (France, 1994), the Finnish Environment Agency (Finland, 1995), in collaboration with the Hungarian Ministry of Environment (Budapest, 1996) and the Irish Environmental Protection Agency (Galway, 1997).

The work of the CCE has been described in three previous reports (Hetteltingh et al. 1991, Downing et al. 1993, Posch et al. 1995) and in the open literature (e.g. Hetteltingh et al. 1995). The first CCE report, published in 1991, described the critical load of acidity methodology adopted by National Focal Centers, and the alterations made to accommodate the policy requirement of identifying critical loads of sulfur. The CCE Status Report 1993 presented the final results of this approach and summarized the development of methods to compute both the critical loads of sulfur and nitrogen acidity, and the critical load for eutrophication. The critical load approach was further broadened to different kinds of impacts. In addition to the indirect effects of geochemical changes in soils and lakes, the direct effects of pollutant concentrations on vegetation and human health were also considered. These critical thresholds may be used for the scientific support of multi-pollutant protocol negotiations. The CCE Status Report 1995 introduced the application of multiple critical thresholds. This has led to the development of so-called protection isolines, which determine combinations of sulfur and nitrogen deposition which protect a certain percentage of ecosystems against acidification and/or eutrophication.

This report presents the current status of critical threshold mapping, incorporating the latest data available to support future negotiations of the Protocol on further reduction of nitrogen emissions. The critical thresholds considered are: (1) critical loads of acidifying nitrogen, (2) critical loads for eutrophication, (3) critical levels for nitrogen oxide and tropospheric ozone, and (4) WHO health guidelines. The focus of this report lies on the characteristics and variability of National Focal Center data and critical loads.

The report consists of three parts. Part I describes current critical threshold and exceedance maps (Chapter 1), evaluates national contributions (Chapter 2), provides a summary of the methodologies for computing conditional critical loads and protection isolines (Chapter 3), includes an analysis of the critical load and input data variability (Chapter 4), reports on the CCE land use data base used to assess stock at risk (Chapter 5) and summarizes the European background data base used to compute critical loads in regions for which no national contributions have been submitted (Chapter 6).

Part II consists of National Focal Center reports. In comparison to the last CCE Status Report in 1995, seven additional National Focal Centers: Belgium (Flanders), Croatia, France, Hungary, Ireland, Italy and Spain have submitted national results for the first time. The European map of critical loads now incorporates data of 20 National Focal Centers.

Lastly, four appendices provide details on geographical issues concerning the EMEP grid, on routines to derive protection isolines, on the CCE’s anonymous FTP server, and a set of conversion tables for commonly used deposition and concentration units.

It should be noted that the application of critical thresholds is receiving increasing attention outside the framework of the UN/ECE. The World Health
Organization is incorporating critical load results as part of the update of their air quality guidelines. Critical load data have been provided to the European Union for use in the development of an acidification strategy (DG XI) and for the study on the Externalities of Energy (DG XII). Mapping Programme results are increasingly being used in other studies which address multiple stresses, e.g. the combined effects of climate change and acidification (Posch et al. 1996) and in the Global Environmental Outlook (UNEP 1997).
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1. Analysis of European Maps

J.-P. Hettelingh, M. Posch, and P.A.M. de Smet

Introduction

This chapter gives an overview of the critical threshold and exceedance maps produced since the last CCE Status Report (Posch et al. 1995). The difference between the maps described below and the maps published in 1995 is largely due to updates of national critical loads, and to the incorporation of contributions from additional National Focal Centers: Belgium (Flanders), Croatia, France, Hungary, Ireland, Italy and Spain. Between 1995 and 1997 two major updates of critical loads and input data were completed.

Critical loads have been computed for acidification and eutrophication for a large range of ecosystems in every EMEP grid square in Europe. So-called “protection isolines” have been derived to determine combinations of sulfur and nitrogen deposition which protect a certain percentage of ecosystems against acidification and/or eutrophication. The procedures to compute these isolines are described in further detail in Chapter 3.

The use of these protection isolines means that exceedances are no longer expressed as the difference between a single critical load and the deposition of a single pollutant, as was done in developing the Second Sulphur Protocol (UN/ECE 1994).

By considering two pollutants, many combinations of sulfur and nitrogen deposition can be found which provide an equal level of protection against acidification. Therefore, exceedances are expressed in terms of the proportion of ecosystems in each EMEP grid cell which is protected for a certain combination of sulfur and nitrogen deposition onto that grid cell. This chapter includes maps on the following subjects:

- Maximum critical loads for sulfur and acidifying nitrogen
- Critical loads for eutrophication (nutrient nitrogen)
- Ecosystem protection and nitrogen deposition exceedance (acidification only)
- Ecosystem protection and nitrogen deposition exceedance (acidification and eutrophication)
- Deposition reduction requirements
- Stock at risk for crops and forests due to ozone exposure
- Ozone concentrations and WHO Air Quality Guidelines
1.1 Maximum critical loads for sulfur and acidifying nitrogen

Figure 1-1 shows the 5th percentile ("pentile") and 50th percentile (median) of the maximum critical load of sulfur, $CL_{max}(S)$, and the maximum critical load of acidifying nitrogen, $CL_{max}(N)$ in every EMEP grid. (For details on percentile computations, see Chapter 3.) The maximum critical load of sulfur is equal to the critical deposition of acidity, from which (after inclusion of nitrogen uptake, immobilization and denitrification) the maximum critical load of nitrogen is derived.

The pentile maximum critical load of sulfur (top left) is very low (< 200 eq ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$, i.e. lower than 320 mg S m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) in Scandinavia, and central and western Europe. The median of the maximum critical load of sulfur (top right) leaves a few areas with very low critical loads in northern Europe, but results in markedly higher critical loads over the whole of Europe.

The pentile maximum critical load of nitrogen (bottom left) is low (< 700 eq ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$) mostly in areas of northern Europe. These low critical load areas are significantly reduced for the median of the maximum critical load of nitrogen (bottom right).
Figure 1-1. The 5th percentile (pentile) and 50th percentile (median) of the maximum critical loads for sulfur, $C_l_{max}(S)$, and acidifying nitrogen $C_l_{max}(N)$.
1.2 Critical loads for eutrophication
(nutrient nitrogen)

Figure 1-2 shows the pentile (top) and the median (bottom) of the critical loads for eutrophication, CL_{nut}(N), protecting 95% and 50% of the ecosystems against eutrophication.

The use of nitrogen immobilization values recommended in the Mapping Manual (UBA 1996) in northern Europe in general, and Finland in particular, leads to rather low pentile critical loads. Large areas of Europe show low pentile critical loads (<400 eq ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\)), notably in comparison to the CL_{nut}(N) maps described in Posch et al. (1995). It should be noted that Figure 1-2 would have shown even lower CL_{nut}(N) values if recommended nitrogen immobilization rates had been used by all National Focal Centers. (See Chapter 4 for an overview of national N\(_s\) distributions).

The maps of median of the critical loads of nutrient nitrogen show that values in northern, central and eastern Europe increase to more than 400 eq ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\). Minor changes between pentile and median critical load values occur whenever a small variation in input data is used in computing critical loads for eutrophication. This is the case when, for example, a Level 0 approach is used, such as in France.
Figure 1-2. The pentile (top) and median (bottom) of the critical load for eutrophication.
1.3 Ecosystem protection and nitrogen deposition exceedance (acidification only)

An analysis of the exceedance of nitrogen-based critical loads of acidity, and the related ecosystem protection percentages, can not be carried out without specifying the sulfur deposition, since protection isolines have to be used (see also Chapter 3). These isolines characterize equal ecosystem protection for different combinations of sulfur deposition and nitrogen deposition.

Figure 1-3 shows the percentage of ecosystems protected against acidification, due to the nitrogen deposition in 1990 and the sulfur deposition in 2010 resulting from the 1994 Sulphur Protocol. A similar map using the nitrogen deposition in 2010 according to nitrogen emissions projected by Current Reduction Plans (UN/ECE 1997) is also shown (bottom left).

The exceedance of the pentile critical loads of nitrogen-based acidity is displayed for nitrogen deposition in 1990 (top right) and 2010 (bottom right), both derived by fixing the sulfur deposition to its projected level in 2010. The areas showing protection levels less than 100% coincide with areas where the critical load for nitrogen-based acidity is exceeded. The areas with the highest exceedance (lowest protection) occur in central and western Europe, although the magnitude of exceedances decreases by 2010.
Figure 1-3. The percentage of ecosystems protected against acidification, due to the combination of the nitrogen deposition in 1990 (top left) and 2010 (bottom left). The top and bottom right maps show the exceedance of critical loads of nitrogen-based acidity in 1990 and 2010. All four maps use the projected sulfur deposition for 2010.
1.4 Ecosystem protection and nitrogen deposition exceedance (acidification and eutrophication)

Protection isolines used in Figure 1-4 reflect the combinations of sulfur and nitrogen deposition which provide protection against both acidification and eutrophication by including the critical load of nutrient nitrogen. As with Figure 1-3, sulfur deposition has been fixed to its projected 2010 value.

The top left map in Figure 1-4 shows the percentage of ecosystems protected against acidification and eutrophication due to the nitrogen deposition in 1990 and the projected sulfur deposition in 2010. A similar map (bottom left) uses the nitrogen deposition in 2010 according to nitrogen emissions as projected by Current Reduction Plans (UN/ECE 1997). The protection percentage is zero whenever nitrogen deposition exceeds $\text{CL}_{\text{nut}}(N)$.

The exceedance of the critical loads of eutrophication and nitrogen-based acidity in 1990 (top right) and 2010 (bottom right) is derived by computing the difference between the nitrogen deposition and either $\text{CL}_{\text{nut}}(N)$ or the nitrogen-based critical load for acidity (given the sulfur deposition in 2010), whichever is smaller.

The conclusions regarding ecosystem protection and exceedance are similar to those presented for Figure 1-3. The addition of the eutrophication constraint leads to a significant increase of the European area in which (a) protection is very low, and (b) exceedances are high. In large parts of central Europe, no ecosystem protection at all is achieved at nitrogen deposition at both 1990 and 2010 levels.
Figure 1-4. The percentage of ecosystems protected against acidification and eutrophication, due to the nitrogen deposition in 1990 (top left) and 2010 (bottom left). The top and bottom right maps show the exceedance of critical loads of eutrophication and nitrogen-based acidity in 1990 and 2010, respectively. All four maps use the projected sulfur deposition for 2010.
1.5 Deposition reduction requirements

To achieve a specified protection of ecosystems in a grid cell against acidification and/or eutrophication, five cases of reduction requirements of sulfur and/or nitrogen deposition can be distinguished:

1. No deposition reduction is required (grey shading) if the combination of sulfur and nitrogen deposition lies below the protection isoline.

2. Sulfur or nitrogen deposition reductions are fully interchangeable (blue shading) if the deposition levels lie outside the protection isoline, but within the area delimited by the maximum critical load of sulfur, $CL_{\text{max}}(S)$ and the minimum of the critical load for eutrophication, $CL_{\text{ult}}(N)$ and the maximum critical load of nitrogen, $CL_{\text{max}}(N)$.

3. A sulfur deposition reduction is mandatory (yellow shading) if the deposition level exceeds $CL_{\text{ult}}(S)$, and nitrogen deposition does not exceed the minimum of $CL_{\text{ult}}(N)$ and $CL_{\text{max}}(N)$.

4. A nitrogen deposition reduction is mandatory (orange shading) if the deposition level exceeds the minimum of $CL_{\text{ult}}(N)$ and $CL_{\text{max}}(N)$, and sulfur deposition does not exceed $CL_{\text{max}}(S)$.

5. Both sulfur and nitrogen deposition reduction are mandatory (red shading) if both deposition levels lie outside the area delimited by $CL_{\text{max}}(S)$ and the minimum of $CL_{\text{ult}}(N)$ and $CL_{\text{max}}(N)$.

The figure shows the type of deposition reductions required to achieve protection against acidification in 1990 (top left) and 2010 (top right). The bottom two maps show the deposition reduction requirements to achieve protection against both acidification and eutrophication in 1990 (bottom left) and 2010 (bottom right). All four maps use the projected sulfur deposition for 2010. While protection against acidification alone requires the mandatory reduction of N in only a few grid cells (orange shading), in large areas of Europe mandatory reductions of N deposition are needed when protection against eutrophication is added as an additional requirement.
Figure 1-5. Reduction requirements for sulfur and nitrogen deposition to achieve a 5% protection level, considering critical loads of acidity alone (top), and considering both acidification and eutrophication (bottom). (See inset for explanation of color codes.)
1.6 Stock at risk for crops and forests due to ozone exposure

Land use maps (see Chapter 5) are used to assess the stock at risk of arable land and forests due to elevated tropospheric ozone concentrations (see also Hettelingh et al. 1996). Figure 1-6 shows the accumulated exposure to tropospheric ozone concentrations over a threshold of 40 ppb (AOT40) in 1990, compared to critical ozone levels for arable land (top) and forests (bottom). Ozone concentration data have been provided by the EMEP/ MSC-W (Barrett and Berge 1996).

Critical ozone AOT40 levels have been established at 3000 ppb·h for crops during daylight hours over the period May–July and 10,000 ppb·h for forests during daylight hours from April to September (Kärenlampi and Skärby 1996, UBA 1996).

The shadings in Figure 1-6 show the magnitude of AOT40 values. The green shading indicates grid cells where critical levels for AOT40 are not exceeded. The size of the grid cells is proportional to the area of ecosystems as the percentage of the area covered by each 50x50 km² EMEP grid cell.

The top map indicates that a major portion of arable land in Europe is subject to AOT40 levels which exceed 3000 ppb·h (= 3 ppm·h). The bottom map shows that in the large forested areas of northern Europe the critical level of 10000 ppb·h (=10 ppm·h) is not exceeded.
Figure 1-6. The accumulated exposure to ambient tropospheric ozone concentrations over a threshold of 40 ppb (AOT40) in 1990 for arable land (top) and forests (bottom). The green-shaded grids indicate areas where the critical AOT40 levels are not exceeded.
1.7 Ozone concentrations and WHO Air Quality Guidelines

The analysis of the exposure of population to tropospheric ozone is receiving increasing attention in the integrated assessment of air pollutants. Thus WHO Air Quality Guidelines (AQG) might be considered in addition to ecosystem-based critical loads and levels (Hettelingh et al. 1997). A WHO-AQG (WHO 1997) for tropospheric ozone is 60 ppb (=120 μg m\(^{-3}\), see also Appendix D) as an 8-hour average. An AOT60 value of zero as an additional critical threshold, using 6-hourly averages of ozone model simulations, has recently been proposed as a first step to incorporate health effects into UN/ECE integrated assessments (UN/ECE-WHO 1997). Both statistics have been used in Figure 1-7 using the 6-hourly ozone concentration averages (for the period April–September) provided by EMEP/MSC-W (Barrett and Berge 1996).

The top map shows that the AOT60 value of zero is significantly exceeded in southwestern Germany and northeastern France. The bottom map illustrates the percentage of daytime (from April–September) during which the 6-hour average concentration of 60 ppb is exceeded.

Both maps show that essentially the same regions are subject to excessive ozone exposure. The interpretation in terms of health impacts requires further knowledge of the importance of accumulated exposure in comparison to episodic exposure.
Figure 1-7. AOT60 values in 1990 derived from 6-hour average concentrations from April–September (top), and the percentage of daytime during which ozone concentrations exceed 60 ppb (bottom).
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2. Summary of National Data Contributions

P.A.M. de Smet and M. Posch

Introduction

Each year, the Coordination Center for Effects (CCE) requests that countries submit revised national critical load calculations, so that the integrated assessment modeling groups participating in LRTAP Convention activities may work with up-to-date critical loads data. This process gives National Focal Centers (NFCs) the opportunity to contribute their latest results, and also permits countries which have not yet been able to deliver national critical loads calculations to submit new data for incorporation into the European data bases and critical loads maps.

This chapter describes briefly the process and results of the latest round of national data updating. It lists the countries that contributed national data, and gives an overview of the ecosystem(s) selected as receptor(s), and the density (resolution) of the national data. The chapter concludes with a discussion on some items that should be addressed in future data revisions.

2.1 Overview of national contributions

The timetable for the national critical loads data contained in the European maps in Chapter 1 is as follows:

- **July 1996**: Working Group on Effects (WGE) agrees that the CCE should make a call for data.
- **Sept. 1996**: CCE issues formal call for data to all NFCs with a deadline of 15 Dec. 1996.
- **April 1997**: CCE makes revised European critical loads data sets available to Task Force on Integrated Assessment Modelling (TFIAM) groups, and presents maps at its annual workshop in Galway (Ireland). Task Force on Mapping grants provisional approval of maps, pending further minor revisions before 20 May 1997.
- **June 1997**: Revised data sets made available to TFIAM modeling groups.
- **August 1997**: European critical loads maps to be submitted for approval by the WGE.

The number of countries which submitted data has increased to 20 (listed in Table 2-1). Countries that contributed revised data are: Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Italy, Norway, Poland, Russian Federation, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom (excluding Northern Ireland). Four countries (Austria, Germany, Netherlands and Spain) indicated that the national data adopted by the WGE in July 1996 are still current. This year four countries contributed national data for the first time: Belgium (Flanders only), Croatia (for two $50 \times 50$ km$^2$ EMEP ("EMEP50") grid cells), Hungary and Ireland. Further details on most individual country's activities can be found in Part II of this report.

At past workshops, the CCE and NFCs have agreed to the following rules concerning the application of critical load data for areas which include a national boundary:

(i) For all EMEP grid cells that do not cover a country that contributes national data, critical loads are computed using the European background data base held at the CCE. (See Chapter 6 for a description of this data base.)

(ii) For EMEP grid cells that cover part of one or more countries which has submitted national data, percentiles and isolines are based on the national critical loads data for this cell only, no matter how small the area or how few ecosystem data are supplied. For these EMEP grid cells, no background data are included.

2.2 Scope and format of national contributions

National Focal Centers have selected a variety of ecosystem types as receptors for calculating and mapping critical loads. For most ecosystem types (e.g. forests), critical loads are calculated for both acidity and eutrophication. Other receptor types (e.g. streams and lakes) have only critical loads for acidity, on the assumption that eutrophication does not occur in these ecosystems. For some receptors, like most semi-natural vegetation, only critical loads for nutrient nitrogen are computed, since the sensitivity to acidifying effects is less than eutrophication effects.
### Table 2-1. Type of ecosystem (critical load) data provided by National Focal Centers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Ecosystem Type</th>
<th>Number of Ecosystems</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
<th>Total Ecosystems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Forest</td>
<td>6,444</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deciduous forest</td>
<td>365</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coniferous forest</td>
<td>212</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed coniferous forest</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed deciduous forest</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>Coniferous forest</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2 EMEP 50 x 50 km²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deciduous forest</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>grids only</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>Forest</td>
<td>29,418</td>
<td></td>
<td>29,418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Spruce</td>
<td>5,463</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pine</td>
<td>1,033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beech</td>
<td>2,814</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oak</td>
<td>447</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grass</td>
<td>9,027</td>
<td>acidity CLs only</td>
<td>18,784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>Pine-podzol</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pine-bog</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spruce-podzol</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spruce-alvar</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deciduous-podzol</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deciduous-wet</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bog</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Spruce</td>
<td>1,004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pine</td>
<td>1,045</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deciduous forest</td>
<td>1,034</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lakes</td>
<td>1,450</td>
<td>acidity CLs only</td>
<td>4,533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>Coniferous forest</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>The original data base</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deciduous forest</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>with detailed ecosystem</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed forest</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>types has been reclassified into these 4 groups.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grassland (agricultural)</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td>442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Coniferous forest</td>
<td>44,600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deciduous forest</td>
<td>7,550</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed coniferous forest</td>
<td>15,011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed deciduous forest</td>
<td>27,782</td>
<td></td>
<td>94,943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>Unspecified forest</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deciduous forest</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coniferous forest</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grassland</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heath</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bog</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reed</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lakes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Marsh</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>Coniferous forest</td>
<td>10,102</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deciduous forest</td>
<td>8,933</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moors/heathlands</td>
<td>7,348</td>
<td></td>
<td>26,383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Boreal forest</td>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temperate coniferous forest</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temperate deciduous forest</td>
<td>165</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mediterranean forest</td>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tundra</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acid grassland</td>
<td>118</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>236</td>
<td></td>
<td>738</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Ecosystem Type</th>
<th>Number of Ecosystems</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
<th>Total Ecosystems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Coniferous forest (5 species)</td>
<td>53,024</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deciduous forest (7 tree species)</td>
<td>74,466</td>
<td></td>
<td>127,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Forest</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>acidity CLs only</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lakes/streams</td>
<td>2,305</td>
<td>$CL_{\text{min}}(N)$ only</td>
<td>4,635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Semi-natural vegetation</td>
<td>1,610</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>Coniferous forest</td>
<td>1,957</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deciduous forest</td>
<td>1,957</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian</td>
<td>Coniferous forest</td>
<td>4,929</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federation</td>
<td>Deciduous forest</td>
<td>2,983</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>6,339</td>
<td></td>
<td>14,251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Coniferous forest</td>
<td>2,237</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deciduous forest</td>
<td>744</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed forest</td>
<td>428</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Forest</td>
<td>1,881</td>
<td>acidity CLs only</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lakes</td>
<td>3,172</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>Forest</td>
<td>11,883</td>
<td>717 acidity CLs only,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>29 $CL_{\text{min}}(N)$ only</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alpine lakes</td>
<td>495</td>
<td>431 acidity CLs only</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Semi-natural ecosystems</td>
<td>11,559</td>
<td>$CL_{\text{max}}(N)$ only</td>
<td>23,937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>Coniferous forest</td>
<td>27,120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(excluding N. Ireland)</td>
<td>Deciduous forest</td>
<td>65,637</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acid grassland</td>
<td>134,910</td>
<td>4,778 $CL_{\text{max}}(N)$ only</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Calcareous grassland</td>
<td>23,146</td>
<td>1,389 $CL_{\text{max}}(N)$ only</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heathlands</td>
<td>55,355</td>
<td>1,545 $CL_{\text{max}}(N)$ only</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fresh water (catchments)</td>
<td>527</td>
<td></td>
<td>306,695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>671,937</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2-1 shows for each country the ecosystem types and their number of records. A remark is included when not all critical loads are provided for an ecosystem type.

Table 2-2 provides details on the number, area coverage, and density of ecosystems for which NFCs have submitted critical loads of acidity and/or nutrient nitrogen. The table gives an overview of the wide variation among countries in the average size, density, and national coverage of ecosystems.

The NFCs were requested to submit files with a specific structure, with each individual ecosystem record containing the following variables:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>longitude and latitude of the site or reference point of the receptor</td>
<td>decimal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>indices of the EMEP50 grid cell $i, j$</td>
<td>degrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ecosystem area</td>
<td>km$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acidity critical loads: $CL_{\text{min}}(S), CL_{\text{min}}(N)$ and $CL_{\text{max}}(N)$</td>
<td>eq ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>critical loads of N preventing eutrophication: $CL_{\text{min}}(N)$</td>
<td>eq ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seasalt-corrected base cation deposition: $BC_{\text{dry}}^{<em>} - CL_{\text{dry}}^{</em>}$</td>
<td>eq ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>net base cation uptake: $BC_{u}$</td>
<td>eq ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>weathering of base cations: $BC_{w}$ or $ANC_{w}$</td>
<td>eq ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>critical alkalinity leaching: $\Delta p(pH_{\text{spr}}) + H_k(p_{\text{spr}}) (-ANC_{\text{spr}}(p))$</td>
<td>eq ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nitrogen immobilization: $N_i$</td>
<td>eq ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>net nitrogen uptake: $N_u$</td>
<td>eq ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acceptable nitrogen leaching: $N_{\text{spr}}$</td>
<td>eq ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ecosystem type</td>
<td>text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Area (km²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>83,907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>30,541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>56,401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>79,042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>42,756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>45,651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>334,470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>547,828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>356,823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>92,969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>69,524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>301,580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>36,102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>317,595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>311,340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>5,090,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>499,183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>444,695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>41,263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>244,402</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Area (km²)</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>83,907</td>
<td>6,444</td>
<td>48,721</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>7.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>30,541</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>1,588</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>56,401</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2,698</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>79.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>79,042</td>
<td>29,418</td>
<td>26,568</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>42,756</td>
<td>18,784</td>
<td>3,895</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>45,651</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>18,910</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>135.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>334,470</td>
<td>4,533</td>
<td>322,880</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td>71.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>547,828</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>136,004</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>307.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>356,823</td>
<td>94,943</td>
<td>86,925</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>92,969</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2,847</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>67.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>69,524</td>
<td>26,383</td>
<td>6,894</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>301,580</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>300,235</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>406.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>36,102</td>
<td>127,490</td>
<td>3,195</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>317,595</td>
<td>3,025</td>
<td>221,231</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>73.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>311,340</td>
<td>3,914</td>
<td>178,382</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>45.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>5,090,400</td>
<td>14,251</td>
<td>3,778,983</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>265.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>499,183</td>
<td>3,409</td>
<td>85,225</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>444,695</td>
<td>5,053</td>
<td>396,961</td>
<td>89.3</td>
<td>78.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>41,263</td>
<td>12,349</td>
<td>12,349</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>244,402</td>
<td>298,985</td>
<td>86,986</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Area (km²)</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>83,907</td>
<td>6,444</td>
<td>48,721</td>
<td>6,444</td>
<td>48,721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>30,541</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>1,588</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>1,588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>56,401</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2,698</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2,698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>79,042</td>
<td>29,418</td>
<td>26,568</td>
<td>29,418</td>
<td>26,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>42,756</td>
<td>18,784</td>
<td>3,895</td>
<td>18,784</td>
<td>3,895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td>45,651</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>18,910</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>18,910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>334,470</td>
<td>3,083</td>
<td>195,570</td>
<td>4,533</td>
<td>322,080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>497,828</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>136,904</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>136,904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>356,823</td>
<td>94,943</td>
<td>86,925</td>
<td>94,943</td>
<td>86,925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>92,969</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2,847</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2,847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>69,524</td>
<td>26,383</td>
<td>6,894</td>
<td>26,383</td>
<td>6,894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>301,580</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>300,235</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>300,235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>36,102</td>
<td>127,490</td>
<td>3,195</td>
<td>127,490</td>
<td>3,195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>317,595</td>
<td>3,025</td>
<td>221,231</td>
<td>3,025</td>
<td>221,231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>311,340</td>
<td>3,914</td>
<td>178,382</td>
<td>3,914</td>
<td>178,382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>5,090,400</td>
<td>14,251</td>
<td>3,778,983</td>
<td>14,251</td>
<td>3,778,983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>499,183</td>
<td>3,409</td>
<td>85,225</td>
<td>3,409</td>
<td>85,225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>444,695</td>
<td>5,053</td>
<td>396,961</td>
<td>5,053</td>
<td>396,961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>41,263</td>
<td>12,349</td>
<td>12,349</td>
<td>12,349</td>
<td>12,349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>244,402</td>
<td>298,985</td>
<td>86,986</td>
<td>298,985</td>
<td>86,986</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
National data provided for acidity critical loads is summarized in columns A through D. Column A gives the number of ecosystems for which acidity critical loads ($C_{max}(S)$), $C_{max}(N)$, and $C_{max}(N)$ have been calculated. Columns B and C show the total area of these ecosystems, and the percentage of the country covered by these ecosystems, respectively. The average size of an ecosystem is given in Column D. (Col. D=B/A.) Similar information for $C_{null}(N)$ is provided in Columns E-H.

Columns I and J show the number and total area of ecosystems for which both acidity critical loads and $C_{null}(N)$ have been submitted. Columns K and L provide similar data, showing the number and total area of ecosystems for which critical loads of acidity and/or nutrient nitrogen have been calculated (Col. K=A + E - I).

The wide range in the number and density of ecosystems among countries can be seen from the table. For most countries, critical loads of acidity and nutrient nitrogen are computed on the same set of ecosystems, as the number and area of ecosystems are the same for both types of critical loads.

The density of critical loads mapped varies greatly among countries. For example, the Netherlands computes critical loads for only 8.9% (3195 km$^2$) of its land, but the density of ecosystem points is very high compared with other countries. While a few countries have used total land area to represent the ecosystem area, future updates should strive to assess more accurately the true ecosystem area (leaving out urban areas, agricultural lands, etc.).

The differences in data density is also presented in Figure 2-1, which shows the distribution of ecosystem records (for critical loads of acidity) for each EMEP 150 x 150 km$^2$ grid cell. The map includes critical loads from both national contributions and from the European background data base.

2.3 Points requiring attention in future updates of national data

Suitability of ecosystems: Some countries have calculated critical loads for agriculturally managed ecosystems such as arable land or pastures. Arable land is not suitable for use as a receptor for setting critical loads of acidity and eutrophication due to air pollutants because of the overwhelming influences of anthropogenic activity (fertilizers, herbicides, pesticides, etc.). Both the updated Mapping Manual and previous CCE Status Reports have explained that critical loads should only be calculated for natural ecosystems, in order to accurately assess air pollution effects. Since anthropogenic influences mask any potential impacts from long-range air pollutants, agricultural areas should be excluded from critical load calculations. Only grasslands with extensive grazing might qualify as receptors for calculating critical loads.

Preliminary checking of national data submissions: In its recent call for data, the CCE included instructions for conducting some elementary consistency checks for NFCs to apply to their data before submitting them to the CCE. More stringent application of these checks in future submissions would reduce considerably the time and effort required to incorporate national critical loads calculations into the European maps.

Input data: In several instances, NFCs have used outdated input and parameter values which have been superseded by more recent values. The CCE strongly advises all NFCs to apply input and parameter values recommended in the 1996 update of the Mapping Manual (UBA 1996).

Resolving cross-border discrepancies: The percentile maps presented in Chapter 1 include several instances in which two (or more) countries that contribute national data have large differences in the calculated values along their common borders. These differences originate in most cases from variations in chosen input values for computing critical loads. Thus the NFCs of the affected countries are encouraged to address these discrepancies on a bilateral basis.
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Figure 2-1. The number of ecosystems per EMEP150 grid cell with critical loads of acidity. The white grid cells denote areas for which critical loads are computed using the European background data base. (Data for $C_{act}$/N show a very similar picture.)
3. Remarks on Critical Load Calculations

M. Posch and J.-P. Hettelingh

Introduction

The methods for calculating critical loads of nitrogen (N) and sulfur (S) with the Simple Mass Balance (SMB) equation/model have been summarized in the previous CCE Status Report (Posch et al. 1995) and are more extensively documented in the new Mapping Manual (UBA 1996). Therefore, we will not repeat them in this chapter, but present the various possibilities for using the critical load information in the context of integrated assessment (comparison with deposition and their reductions).

3.1 The critical load function

Since the deposition of both S and N can contribute to the acidification of an ecosystem, no unique critical loads of sulfur or acidifying nitrogen can be specified. Rather, these critical loads are characterized by three quantities, $CL_{\text{max}}(S)$, $CL_{\text{min}}(N)$ and $CL_{\text{max}}(N)$, which define the so-called critical load function depicted in Figure 3-1. For every pair of deposition ($N_{\text{dep}}, S_{\text{dep}}$) lying on the function or below it (the grey-shaded area in Fig. 3-1) neither critical loads of N nor S are exceeded. Since it is impossible to define unique critical loads of N and S, it is also impossible to define a unique exceedance in the sense of quantifying the amount of S and N to be reduced. This is illustrated by the example in Figure 3-1: Let the point E1 denote the (current) deposition of N and S. By reducing $N_{\text{dep}}$ substantially, one reaches the point Z1 and thus non-exceedance without reducing $S_{\text{dep}}$. On the other hand one can reach non-exceedance by only reducing $S_{\text{dep}}$ (by a smaller amount) until reaching Z3; finally, with a smaller reduction of both $N_{\text{dep}}$ and $S_{\text{dep}}$ one can reach non-exceedance as well (e.g. point Z2). In practice external factors, such as the costs of emission reduction measures, will determine the path to be followed to reach zero exceedance. Note that in the above considerations we did not consider the special case $CL_{\text{max}}(N) - CL_{\text{min}}(N) = CL_{\text{max}}(S)$, i.e. the case of no deposition-dependent nitrogen processes, in which it is possible (within limits) to define unique critical loads and exceedances (for details see Posch et al. 1995).

3.2 Conditional critical loads of N and S

The non-uniqueness of the critical loads of N and S and their exceedances makes both their implementation into integrated assessment models and their communication to decision makers difficult. However, if one is interested in reductions of only one of the two pollutants, a unique critical load can be derived which is consistent with the above formulation.

If emission reductions deal with nitrogen only, a unique critical load of N for a fixed sulfur deposition $S_{\text{dep}}$ can be derived from the critical load function. This conditional critical load of N, $CL(N | S_{\text{dep}})$, is computed as:

$$CL(N | S_{\text{dep}}) = \begin{cases} CL_{\text{min}}(N) & \text{if } S_{\text{dep}} \geq CL_{\text{max}}(S) \\ CL_{\text{min}}(N) - \alpha S_{\text{dep}} & \text{if } S_{\text{dep}} < CL_{\text{max}}(S) \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

with

$$\alpha = \frac{CL_{\text{max}}(N) - CL_{\text{min}}(N)}{CL_{\text{max}}(S)} \quad (3.2)$$

Figure 3-1. Critical load function of S and acidifying N defined by the three quantities $CL_{\text{max}}(S)$, $CL_{\text{min}}(N)$ and $CL_{\text{max}}(N)$. 

In Figure 3-2 the procedure for calculating $CL(N \mid S_{dep})$ is depicted graphically.

If, in addition, the critical load of nutrient nitrogen, $CL_{nut}(N)$, for the same ecosystem is considered and is smaller than the computed $CL(N \mid S_{dep})$, the minimum of the two should be taken as the critical load of nitrogen.

In an analogous manner a conditional critical load of $S$, $CL(S \mid N_{dep})$, for a fixed nitrogen deposition $N_{dep}$, can be computed according to:

$$CL(S \mid N_{dep}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } N_{dep} \geq CL_{max}(N) \\ CL_{max}(N) - N_{dep} & \text{if } CL_{min}(N) < N_{dep} < CL_{max}(N) \\ CL_{max}(S) & \text{if } N_{dep} \leq CL_{min}(N) \end{cases}$$

Equation 3.3

Setting $N_{dep} = CL_{nut}(N)$, the resulting conditional critical load has been termed minimum critical load of sulfur in earlier publications (Downing et al. 1993): $CL_{min}(S) = CL(S \mid CL_{nut}(N))$.

Eq. 3.3 would have been the consistent procedure for calculating critical loads of $S$ used in the negotiations of the 1994 Sulphur Protocol; however, critical loads for nitrogen $- CL_{min}(N)$ and $CL_{max}(N)$ were not available then.

When using conditional critical loads the following caveats should be kept in mind:

1. A conditional critical load can be considered a true critical load only when the chosen deposition of the other pollutant is kept constant.
2. If the conditional critical loads of both pollutants are considered simultaneously, care has to be exercised. It is not necessary to reduce both exceedances, but only one of them to reach non-exceedance for both pollutants; recalculating the conditional critical load of the other pollutant results (in general) in non-exceedance. However, if $S_{dep} > CL_{max}(S)$ or $N_{dep} > CL_{max}(N)$, depositions have to be reduced at least to that maximum values, irrespective of the conditional critical loads.

3.3 Protection isolines

When dealing with critical loads of only one species (e.g., sulfur), their cumulative distribution functions within a grid cell and percentiles thereof are considered (see Posch et al. 1995 for the definition and computation of percentiles). In the case of sulfur and acidifying nitrogen, critical loads are given as functions (see above) and thus the concept of a percentile has to be generalized, leading to percentile functions or so-called protection isolines.

The (approximate) method to calculate these isolines is illustrated in Figure 3-4 (see Posch et al. 1995 for more details). Rays are drawn through the origin of the x-y plane (i.e., lines with a constant S/N deposition ratio) and the intersections of these rays with all critical load functions are determined (small circles in Figure 3-4b). For each ray the intersection points are sorted according to their distance from
the origin and a chosen percentile of these distances is calculated (small diamonds in Figure 3-4b,c). Finally, the resulting percentile values are connected by straight lines to form the protection isoline (Figure 3-4c). Obviously, the more rays are used in this procedure, the more accurate is the protection isoline. As the example in Figure 3-4 shows, a protection isoline is not necessarily convex.

Appendix B provides FORTRAN subroutines which can assist in the computation of protection isolines and protection percentages.

Ideally, the protection isolines computed as described above should be used in integrated assessment for determining (cost-)optimal N and S reductions. However, the non-convexity of isolines (see Figure 3-5a for examples) causes problems for many optimization programs. This can be overcome by computing the convex hull for each isoline and use it as an approximation for the true isoline (see Figure 3-5b). Note however, that some combinations of S and N deposition that lie outside the original isoline could now lie within the convex hull.

These convex hulls of the true isolines may still consist of many line segments, and each single line segment has to be translated in a constraint for the optimization programs, thus resulting in a potentially very large number of constraints.

Therefore, to further ease the optimization problem, the following approximation to the protection isolines is used, e.g., in the RAINS model: The $p$-th protection isoline for every grid cell is approximated by the trapezoid constructed from the three points $(0, CL_{\text{max}}(S), CL_{\text{min}}(N), CL_{\text{max}}(S))$ and $(CL_{\text{max}}(N), 0)$, where $CL_{\text{max}}(S)$, $CL_{\text{min}}(N)$ and $CL_{\text{max}}(N)$ are the (100–$p$)-th percentile of the respective cumulative distribution functions (see Figure 3-5c for an example). (Incidentally, this method was first elaborated in the 1993 Status Report (Posch et al. 1993), before the protection isoline concept had been developed.) Note that these trapezoids touch the N- and S-axes at the same points as the exact isolines. In addition, the percentiles of $CL_{\text{min}}(N)$ also stays explicitly available, which is not the case for the protection isolines.
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Figure 3-5. (a) Examples of protection isolines (computed from the critical load functions shown in Figure 3-4); (b) the convex hulls (solid lines) of those protection isolines (dotted lines); (c) the trapezoids (solid lines) constructed from the respective percentiles of Cl_{soil}(S), Cl_{soil}(N) and Cl_{atm}(N) (protection isolines shown as dotted lines for comparison).
4. An Analysis of Critical Load and Input Data Variability

J.-P. Hettelings and M. Posch

**Introduction**

The reliability of critical load computations has received increasing attention recently, as the application of European critical loads is approaching the phase in which these results are used to support negotiations on a new nitrogen protocol. Critical loads and the magnitudes of their exceedances are used together with costs of emissions reductions in integrated assessment models to compare alternative policy options.

The uncertainty of critical loads is only one of the elements contributing to the varying reliability of the final outcome of such assessments. Error propagation due to emission and cost data uncertainties, and uncertainties in atmospheric transport and critical load modeling, require a cautious treatment of the quantitative results of integrated assessment modeling. Therefore, the negotiation process under the UN/ECE LRTAP Convention focuses on relative, rather than absolute assessments. When comparing different scenarios, the variability among them is primarily due to the variation in policy options in each scenario, rather than due to error propagation. The latter is independent of policy options because methodological causes of error propagation can be assumed to be fairly constant in each scenario.

Error propagation in integrated assessment is the subject of ongoing collaboration between the Coordination Center for Effects and the Task Force on Integrated Assessment Modeling (TFIAM). This chapter addresses the verification and validation of critical loads and the input data used to compute them.

Verification and validation of numerical models describing complex systems is impossible (Oreskes *et al.* 1994) because natural systems are open, i.e. subject to interactions with other systems. They write that "the terms ‘verification’ and ‘validation’ are now being used by scientists in ways that are contradictory and misleading. In the earth sciences–hydrology, geochemistry, meteorology, and oceanography–numerical models always represent complex open systems in which the operative processes are incompletely understood and the required empirical input data are incompletely known."

Similarly, one could argue that validation of critical loads is not possible, because it may take a long time before the appropriateness of the critical load as a risk indicator is established, i.e. before critical load exceedance leads to actual damage. Even if critical load computations were validated using measured inputs, this would still not ensure that critical load values could currently be "confirmed" as an indicator for the risk of damage due to excess deposition.

However, verification, i.e. the comparison of model behavior to expectations, is possible to a certain extent. This chapter provides an analysis of the variability of input data and critical load results, with focus on the Steady-state Mass Balance (SMB) approach. The SMB model was used by most of the National Focal Centers (NFCs) to compute critical loads for forest soils. Critical loads for forest soils and other ecosystems (see Chapter 2) which were derived by other, empirical approaches (including expert opinion) are difficult to subject to systematic uncertainty analysis.

The analysis presented here focuses on the variability of European critical loads, treating erroneous assumptions or errors associated with observed or estimated quantities as random events affecting the variability of critical loads. The analysis does not address the causes of uncertainty, i.e. whether uncertainty is due to inadequate representation of the processes involved or implementation failures. It is assumed that these and other causes of uncertainty which may vary among countries add randomly to the variability of critical loads. A more detailed taxonomy of uncertainties regarding critical loads can be found in Barkman (1997). This chapter is restricted to a description of the variability of input data from National Focal Centers, and describes the results of a Monte Carlo analysis using the SMB model when applied to European background and NFC data.
4.1 Background to the analysis

4.1.1 Critical load equations
The equations for the computation of critical loads can be summarized as (Posch et al. 1995):

\[ Bc_{cr} = 1.5 \times (x_{CaMgK} \times ANC_{w} + Bc_{dep} - Bc_{u}) \]
\[ ANC_{le(crit)} = (Q \times (\frac{Bc_{cr}}{(Bc_{crit})})^{1/3} + Bc_{le}) / (Bc_{crit}) \]
\[ CL_{max}(S) = ANC_{w} + Bc_{dep} - Bc_{u} + ANC_{le(crit)} \]
\[ CL_{min}(N) = N_{u} + N_{i} \]
\[ CL_{max}(N) = CL_{min}(N) + CL_{max}(S) / (1 - f_{de}) \]
\[ CL_{nud}(N) = N_{u} + N_{i} + N_{le(crit)} / (1 - f_{de}) \]

where:
- \( Bc_{u} \) = base cation uptake
- \( x_{CaMgK} \) = fraction of weathering as Ca+Mg+K
- \( ANC_{le} \) = base cation weathering
- \( Bc_{dep} \) = base cation deposition
- \( ANC_{le(crit)} \) = critical ANC leaching
- \( Q \) = runoff
- \( (Bc_{crit}) \) = critical base cation to aluminum ratio
- \( CL_{max}(S) \) = maximum critical load for sulfur
- \( CL_{min}(N) \) = minimum critical load for nitrogen
- \( N_{u} \) = net nitrogen uptake
- \( N_{i} \) = nitrogen immobilization
- \( CL_{max}(N) \) = maximum critical load for nitrogen
- \( f_{de} \) = denitrification fraction
- \( N_{le(crit)} \) = acceptable nitrogen leaching
- \( CL_{nud}(N) \) = critical load for eutrophication

4.1.2 Analyses of critical load variability
Critical load variability is investigated in two ways: one based on semi-quantitative comparisons of national data (described in point 1 below) and the other based on a systematic Monte Carlo-based approach (points 2 through 5):

1. Cumulative distributions of national data:
A comparison is made among national inputs of \( Bc_{u} \), \( N_{u} \), \( N_{i} \), \( N_{le(crit)} \), \( ANC_{w} \), and \( ANC_{le(crit)} \), focusing on consistency checks where possible and appropriate, e.g. (a) between the data and Mapping Manual recommendations, (b) among distribution ranges of the variables submitted by each NFC and (c) of the correlation between nitrogen and base cation uptake for each country.

In the following points Monte Carlo analysis using PRISM (Gardner et al. 1983) is applied to the computation of \( CL_{max}(S) \), \( CL_{max}(N) \) and \( CL_{nud}(N) \). These variables have been chosen because of their relevance to the TFIAM. Monte Carlo analysis is applied using the following input data:

2. Variability of critical loads using the European background database (EU-DB): Monte Carlo analysis is used to identify input variables which explain most of the variability of the distribution of \( CL_{max}(S) \), \( CL_{max}(N) \) and \( CL_{nud}(N) \) using cumulative distributions of input variables taken from the EU-DB (described in Chapter 5). Figure 4-1 illustrates the cumulative distributions for Europe of base cation deposition, weathering and uptake; nitrogen uptake and acceptable N leaching; and runoff.

3. Variability of critical loads using NFC data:
Again, Monte Carlo analysis is used to identify input variables which explain most of the variability of the European distribution of \( CL_{max}(S) \), \( CL_{max}(N) \), and \( CL_{nud}(N) \) using cumulative distributions of input variables derived from the data submitted by the National Focal Centers.

4. Variability of critical loads using data from an arbitrary “binding” grid cell: A “binding” grid cell has been defined as an EMEP150 grid cell for which the cost minimization of emission reductions subject to a deposition constraint, yields a deposition value in that grid cell equal to the constraint. The set of binding grid cells across Europe can be different for each scenario. A characteristic of a binding grid cell is that the marginal costs of reducing deposition by an additional unit become significantly higher than in other grid cells. The issue of binding grid cells drew much attention in a preliminary assessment in developing an acidification strategy for the European Union (see Amann et al. 1996). Questions were raised about the reliability of critical load values in binding grid cells which, considering the fact that any grid cell could be binding depending on the scenario, could be generalized to the reliability of critical load values in general.

To illustrate the difference in variability of critical load values between Europe as a whole and an individual grid cell, an EMEP150 grid cell in the “Black Triangle” region (of the Czech Republic, Germany, and Poland) has been investigated in detail. This grid cell was identified in the EU study as binding when trying to reduce eutrophication. Figure 4-2 shows the cumulative distributions of the input variables in grid cell (23,18) using European background data. It is obvious that the ranges of these data are narrower than those of the European cumulative distributions shown in Figure 4-1. This difference can be seen in the cumulative distributions of most input variables in the grid cell, which are almost constant.
Figure 4.1. Cumulative distributions for Europe of base cation deposition, weathering and uptake; nitrogen uptake and acceptable N leaching; and runoff from the EU-DB.

Figure 4.2. Cumulative distributions for EMEP150 grid cell (23,18) of base cation deposition, weathering and uptake; nitrogen uptake and acceptable N leaching; and runoff from the EU-DB. Note that the scales on the x-axes are the same as in Figure 4.1.
5. Variability of critical loads due to varying the critical BC/Al ratio: Recently it has been suggested that a critical BC/Al ratio of 1 may not be appropriate for assessing some critical loads at specific sites (Lokke et al. 1996). Here we do not discuss to what extent these results can be extrapolated to the European scale in a reliable manner. Rather, Monte Carlo analysis has been used to investigate the conditions under which a large contribution of BC/Al to the variability of $Cl_{max}(S)$ and $Cl_{max}(N)$ can be expected. The effect of varying BC/Al on the variability of these critical load values was tested both on the European scale and in the grid cell (23,18).

4.2 Results

4.2.1 Cumulative distribution functions of national input data
Cumulative distributions of the input parameters $ANC_{wc}$, $ANC_{le(crit)}$, $N_p$, $N_{leacc}$, $BC_a$, and $N_{u}$ for forest soils have been analyzed.

The weathering and critical leaching of ANC are generally soil-dependent and can be expected to vary widely among countries as shown in Figure 4-3. Countries with $ANC_{wc}$ and $ANC_{le(crit)}$ values exceeding 5000 eq ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ contain areas with calcareous soils. Some countries (e.g. Switzerland, Denmark and Sweden) have small percentages of areas where $ANC_{le(crit)}$ is negative, suggesting that acidic deposition should be reduced below net base cation input to allow base cation replenishment. Note that Italy has used an empirical (“Level 0”) approach which does not require data on $ANC_{wc}$ nor $ANC_{le(crit)}$ (but values of zero were submitted).

Figure 4-4 shows cumulative distribution functions of the NFC’s $N_{p}$ values. The recommended values (UBA 1996) range between 0.5 and 1.0 kg N ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ (35.7–71.4 eq ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$). These values are based on data from Swedish forest soils, which Rosén et al. (1992) used to estimate the annual net nitrogen immobilization since the last glaciation. It can be seen from Figure 4-4 that, except for Finland, Ireland and the Russian Federation, many countries submitted values which lie outside the recommended range. It should be noted that use of the recommended values would have resulted in substantially lower critical load values for nutrient nitrogen. Some countries submitted negative values for $N_{p}$, suggesting that nitrogen fixation exceeds the sum of all other nitrogen sinks. The distribution of $N_{leacc}$ values shown in Figure 4-4 reflects which sensitive (indicator) system was chosen by each country, and which harmful effects are addressed.

Figure 4-5 depicts the cumulative distribution functions of national base cation and nitrogen uptake values. Countries are requested to submit net growth uptake values (corresponding to harvested biomass) and not the uptake due to element cycling. For example, uptake values of zero could be expected in a natural park where no tree harvesting is practiced. High uptake values indicate high growth or intensive harvesting.

No fixed values have been recommended for either $BC_a$ or $N_{u}$ for computing of critical loads; however, one way to verify submitted values is the fact that tree growth requires proportional uptake of both base cations and nitrogen. This means that the ratio of base cation to nitrogen uptake should be almost constant for a given tree species, with only minor variations due to climate and site quality. The correlations between base cation uptake and nitrogen uptake for each national contribution is shown in Figure 4-6.

Figure 4-6 shows that uptake rates do not follow the expected pattern in several countries. For example, Austria submitted data for 6444 sites which shows two clusters. One subset of sites shows zero base cation uptake and non-zero nitrogen uptake. The other larger group shows combinations of increasing base cation uptake and constant nitrogen uptake. Another interesting correlation is shown for Poland, where positive base cation uptake coincides with nitrogen uptake close to zero. For some countries, such as the United Kingdom, three uptake values have been used to represent uptake in more than 90,000 sites. Constant uptake ratios are illustrated by the data of (e.g.) Denmark, Finland and the Netherlands.
Figure 4-3. Cumulative distribution functions for critical ANC leaching and weathering (in eq ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\)) used in the SMB calculations of critical loads for forest soils from national data contributions.
Figure 4-4. Cumulative distribution functions for nitrogen immobilization and acceptable nitrogen leaching (in eq ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\)) used in the SMB calculations of critical loads for forest soils from national data contributions.
Figure 4-5. Cumulative distribution functions for base cation and nitrogen uptake (in eq ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) used in the SMB calculations of critical loads for forest soils from national data contributions.
Figure 4-6. Correlation between net base cation (Ca+Mg+K) and nitrogen uptake (in eq ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\)) used in the SMB calculations of critical loads for forest soils from national data contributions. (See also Fig. 4-5).
4.2.2 Variability of critical loads using data from European background and NFC data bases

Tables 4-1 to 4-4 show the percentage of the variability of critical loads which is explained by input variables from the EU-DB and NFCs, both for Europe and grid cell (23,18). The results shown in Table 4-1 use a constant \((Bc:Al)_{crit} = 1\). Table 4-1 shows that \(ANC_w\) explains 45% of the variation of \(CL_{max}(S)\) in Europe, and 97% in grid cell (23,18) when EU-DB is used. \(ANC_w\) remains the most important explanatory variable when NFC data are used, explaining 69% of \(CL_{max}(S)\) variation in Europe, and 85% of the variation in grid cell (23,18). The second most important variable is base cation deposition, although it explains more of the \(CL_{max}(S)\) variation in Europe compared with grid cell (23,18).

Table 4-1. Percent contributions of input variables to the variability of \(CL_{max}(S)\) in Europe and grid cell (23,18) using EU-DB and NFC input data, assuming \((Bc:Al)_{crit} = 1\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Europe</th>
<th>Grid cell (23,18)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EU-DB</td>
<td>NFC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ANC_w)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Bc_{dep})</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Bc_u)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4-2 shows similar results for \(CL_{max}(N)\).

Table 4-2. Percent contributions of input variables to the variability of \(CL_{max}(N)\) in Europe and grid cell (23,18) using EU-DB and NFC input data assuming \((Bc:Al)_{crit} = 1\) and \(N_f=35.7\) eq ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) as recommended in UBA (1996).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Europe</th>
<th>Grid cell (23,18)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EU-DB</td>
<td>NFC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ANC_w)</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Bc_{dep})</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f_{de})</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Bc_u)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A tentative conclusion from Tables 4-1 and 4-2 is that \(ANC_w\) explains most of the variation of \(CL_{max}(S)\) and \(CL_{max}(N)\), assuming a critical BC/Al ratio of 1.

By varying the critical BC/Al ratio in a range between 0.2 and 10 (see Sverdrup and Warftinge 1993), the percentage contributions to the variability of \(CL_{max}(S)\) and \(CL_{max}(N)\) change as shown in Table 4-3 and 4-4 respectively.

Table 4-3. Percent contributions of input variables to the variability of \(CL_{max}(S)\) in Europe using EU-DB and NFC input data, allowing \((Bc:Al)_{crit}\) to vary between 0.2 and 10, and restricting the maximum value of \(ANC_w\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EU-DB</th>
<th>NFC data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>full</td>
<td>ANC (_w) (&lt;250)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANC (_w)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Bc_{dep})</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Bc_u)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((Bc:Al)_{crit})</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4-4. Percent contributions of input variables to the variability of \(CL_{max}(N)\) in Europe using EU-DB and NFC input data, allowing \((Bc:Al)_{crit}\) to vary between 0.2 and 10, restricting the maximum value of \(ANC_w\) and setting \(N_f=35.7\) eq ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EU-DB</th>
<th>NFC data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>full</td>
<td>ANC (_w) (&lt;250)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANC (_w)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Bc_{dep})</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f_{de})</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Bc_u)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((Bc:Al)_{crit})</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N_f)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that the explanatory power of \((Bc:Al)_{crit}\) and \(N_f\) is reversed as \(ANC_w\) decreases below 100 eq ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\).

From Tables 4-3 and 4-4 it can be concluded that the explanatory power of \((Bc:Al)_{crit}\) to the variability of \(CL_{max}(S)\) and \(CL_{max}(N)\) increases in regions where the net base cation input is low. Nitrogen uptake tends to explain more of the variability of \(CL_{max}(N)\) when net base cation input decreases to below 100 eq ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\). The non-shaded grid cells in Figure 4-7 indicate areas in which net base cation input is higher than 100 eq ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) for more than 95% of the ecosystem area. For these regions one could say that \(ANC_{crit}\) (i.e. the assumption \((Bc:Al)_{crit} = 1\)) is not significant in explaining the uncertainty of \(CL_{max}(S)\) and \(CL_{max}(N)\). Thus, \(ANC_{crit}\) could be neglected in computing critical loads. In the grey-shaded regions, \(ANC_{crit}\) values are important for computing critical loads of sulfur and acidifying nitrogen.
Table 4-5 shows the percentage of the uncertainty of $CL_{nlim}(N)$ explained by $N_r$, $N_p$, $N_{\text{labaco}}$ and $f_{de}$. Using the European background data base indicates that $N_r$ is the most important explanatory variable. Since NFCs have used a wide range of $N_r$ values, its importance increases accordingly.

Table 4-5. Percent contributions of input variables to the variability of $CL_{nlim}(N)$ in Europe and grid cell (23,18) using EU-DB and NFC input data, using $\sigma_r=1$ and $N_r=35.7$ eq ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ as recommended in UBA (1996).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Europe</th>
<th>Grid cell (23,18)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EU-DB</td>
<td>NFC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_r$</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_p$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{\text{labaco}}$</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{de}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Forest area with BC(deposition+weathering-uptake) < 100 eq/ha/yr

Figure 4-7. Percent of forest area in each EMEP grid cell with net base cation input (deposition+weathering-uptake) less than 100 eq ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$.

Figure 4-8. Approximated protection isolines for grid cell (23,18). Five sets of protection isolines are shown: (CZ) for the Czech portion of the grid cell, (DE) for the German portion, (PL) for the Polish portion (all of which use national data), and (CZ+DE+PL) for the three countries together. The fifth plot (EU-DB) shows protection isolines using the European background data base.
4.2.3 A closer look at the data in grid cell (23,18)

Figure 4-8 shows the approximated protection isolines for grid cell (23,18) (see Chapter 3 for details on the calculation methods). The country-to-country variation of the ranges may provide answers about the uncertainty of critical loads data in this grid cell. Five sets of protection isolines are shown: (CZ) for the Czech portion of the grid cell, (DE) for the German portion (PL) for the Polish portion (all of which use national contributions); and (CZ+DE+PL) for the three countries together. The fifth plot (EU-DB) shows protection isolines using the European background data base.

It can be seen that ranges of $CL_{\text{max}}(S), CL_{\text{max}}(N)$ and $CL_{\text{null}}(N)$ values are similar among countries, as well as with EU-DB data for this grid cell. It is concluded that there is no reason to assume that the uncertainty of critical loads in grid cell (23,18) has any greater impact on integrated assessment results than other sources of uncertainty (i.e. energy/emission data, simulated emission reduction potential and atmospheric transport) in computing exceedance.

4.3 Concluding remarks

Findings from the exercise described in this chapter can be summarized as follows:

- The variation of $CL_{\text{max}}(S)$ and $CL_{\text{max}}(N)$ is explained to a large extent by net base cation weathering.
- The influence of the critical $BC/Al$ ratio increases when the net base cation input is low, which is predominantly the case in northern Europe.
- The lesser importance of the critical $BC/Al$ ratio in other parts of Europe suggests that critical loads for acidity in these regions could be set equal to net base cation input, i.e. setting critical ANC leaching equal to 0.
- National Focal Centers submitted nitrogen immobilization data that are generally higher than the range recommended in the Mapping Manual (UBA 1996). The use of higher values assumes that forest soils are able to accumulate higher levels of nitrogen without increasing the risk of damage. The use of recommended values would have led to lower critical loads for eutrophication ($CL_{\text{null}}(N)$), thus making the task of meeting optimization constraints more difficult.
- Results of Level 0 approaches do not allow for systematic uncertainty analysis, as this would require additional information on the processes by which NFCs derived national critical load values.

- The uncertainties in critical load values on a European scale as well as on a grid-cell scale is explained by the same input variables, although the magnitude of the explained variation of critical loads may vary.
- The data used to compute critical loads in a grid cell (23,18) which was identified as binding in a scenario used in an EU acidification study, do not require any adaptations.
- An analysis of error propagation involving the integration of energy and emission data, methods to assess emission reduction potentials, atmospheric transport and critical loads could be theoretically useful. However, for the exercise of supporting NOx protocol negotiations, it is expected that the magnitude of error propagation does not vary among scenarios, thus allowing the assumption that differences among scenario results are due primarily to differences in the policy alternatives used to create them.
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5. Development of the European Land Use Data Base

P.A.M. de Smet and E. Heuvelmans*
* Geodan B.V.

Introduction

In addition to mapping critical loads of sulfur and nitrogen, progress has been made on mapping critical levels of air pollutant concentrations on ecosystems. The potential quantity of biomass which might be lost due to excess pollutant concentrations, the so-called “stock at risk”, can be assessed through the identification of the areas throughout Europe which are subject to pollutant excess. The accuracy of stock at risk analyses depends on the quality of European land use data.

This chapter discusses the efforts to improve the quality of the European Land Use Data Base. These efforts are designed to improve the accuracy of geographical distributions of the land use categories and their areas throughout Europe, as well as to increase consensus among National Focal Centers (NFCs) on the quality of the mapped distribution of the land use categories in the countries.

Section 5.1 describes the sources and procedures used to compile a first version of the Pan-European Land Use Data Base (PELUD). Section 5.2 covers the procedures for improving the data base, and describes the incorporation of land use data provided by the Swiss NFC. Section 5.3 describes the role of land use data in stock at risk analyses.

5.1 Synthesis of the original European Land Use Data Base

The National Institute of Public Health and the Environment in the Netherlands (RIVM) identified the need for a high-resolution land use data base for use in environmental modelling and monitoring projects on a European scale. It was decided to create a pan-European land use data base together with the Stockholm Environment Institute (SEI, United Kingdom), Geodan (Netherlands) and INENCO (Russia), as these organizations were able to provide the necessary input data on land use. The resulting data set covers the whole of Europe, including the European part of the Russian Federation, and has a resolution of 10' x 10' (chosen to coincide with other geographical data sets, e.g. the EPA Global Ecosystems Data Base). The first version of the data base was created in mid-1994 (Van der Velde et al. 1994), followed by version 2.0, which included better statistical data bases that had become available for several regions (Veldkamp et al. 1995). In the most recent version (2.1), the decision criteria in the calibration procedure has been improved significantly.

The Pan-European Land Use Data Base consists of two parts: a 10' x 10' grid cell map and an attribute table. The map is linked to the attribute table that contains data on the distribution of various land use types as a percentage of the total grid cell area. The following land use types have been included:
- deciduous forest
- coniferous and mixed forest
- arable land
- permanent crops
- grassland for agricultural use
- inland waters
- urban areas
- other (natural areas and extensive agricultural land)

Both vector and statistical sources have been used to create the data base. The vector sources are integrated in the vector data base “LuVec”, and the statistical sources in the statistical data base “LuStat”.

The primary vector source in LuVec is the data set of the Stockholm Environment Institute (Chadwick and Kuylenstierna 1990). Land use types not available in the SEI data set were adopted from other sources (for details see Veldkamp and Van der Velde 1995):
- Inland Waters from World Databank II (ESRI 1993)
- Permanent Crops from Land Use Map of Europe (FAO-Cartographia 1980)

Since the SEI polygon data have a very low level of detail in eastern Russia compared with the rest of the Europe, the Digital Land Use Map of the USSR (1993) replaced the SEI polygons for that part of Russia.

The statistical data base LuStat contains land use statistics at a variety of spatial levels, ranging from national level to NUTS 3 (counties) level.
The following data sources are incorporated:
- Eurostat (1991): Table agri2landuse; NUTS regions 0,1,2,3 scale.
- Regional statistical information from national statistics departments of Austria (1992), Norway (1989), Switzerland (1985) and Finland (1992).
- Inventory East Europe: National statistical yearbooks/reports from Ukraine, Latvia, Czech Republic, Belarus, Estonia, Slovakia, Lithuania, Poland, Hungary, Romania; national scale, collected by Geodan Polska 1993.
- INENCO (1993): Inventory of statistical land use figures for Russia; regional scale.

LuVec and LuStat are integrated into the Pan-European Land Use Database (PELUD) by an iterative calibration procedure which compares the regional land use from LuVec with the statistical figures from LuStat. The resolutions vary throughout Europe from national level to NUTS3 level. The resulting data base LuGrid consists of the area percentages of each land use type per land area in each 10' × 10' grid cell.

More detailed descriptions of sources and procedures is given in the CCE Status Report 1995 (Posch et al. 1995) and in van de Velde et al. (1994) and Veldkamp et al. (1995).

5.2 Revisions to the data base

Due to limitations in data sources, the vector and statistical sources contained in the first version of the European map were sometimes incomplete, at a low resolution, or contained significant uncertainties. A revised version (2.0) was created shortly thereafter, which contained major improvements in the calibration criteria and increased the resolution of some of the statistical data bases (Veldkamp et al. 1995). LuGrid version 2.1, which is published on a limited number of CD-ROMs, is not used due to later discovered inconsistencies in some countries. We use the European land use map version 2.0 with fixing of a known bug in the German Bundesländer Schleswig-Holstein and Lower Saxony. The resulting version is presented in this chapter and also includes comments from National Focal Centers and others.

In discussions of the data base at CCE Mapping Workshops, several countries noted that the data base was not sufficiently accurate. Therefore, in mid-1995 the CCE sent maps of land use types coniferous and mixed forest, deciduous forest, arable land and permanent crops to all NFCs and requested that they verify and correct the land use information where necessary. It is planned to update the land use data base to include national data on classifications and resolution. As with the critical loads mapping procedure, this will result in a European data base that includes NFC contributions wherever possible. The resulting version will be used only for tasks defined by the Working Group of Effects.

All NFC comments on the data base have been incorporated in the data base as far as possible. Land use information for Switzerland has been replaced by a national statistical land use data base submitted by the Swiss NFC. The classification scheme for presenting land use area percentages per grid cell have also been modified to incorporate suggestions from Scandinavian countries. The first class (<1%) in previous maps has been subdivided into classes <1%, 1-5% and 5-10%. The revised classification scheme allows cells with relatively small but (economically) important land use areas (e.g. arable land) to now be adequately reflected in the maps.

Incorporating Swiss land use data:
Data submitted by the Swiss NFC is based on data bases created by the Federal Office of Statistics (GEOSTAT/BFS, Berne) and the National Forest Inventory (NFI), and is derived from aerial photographs using visual stereographic interpretation. For a regularly spaced grid with a 100-meter interval, 3966 aerial photographs were interpreted to create 4.1 million sample points. The Swiss NFC extracted from these points a subset on a 1km interval, such that this value is simply the sample at that specific location and not the dominant land use in the 1 km square.

The following steps were conducted to incorporate the Swiss data into the European data base:
1. The Swiss sample points at a 1km interval are transformed to 10' × 10' grid, and the map layers of the two data bases are overlaid in a geographic information system (GIS). In each 10' × 10' cell (about 16 × 16 km² in Switzerland), the number of sample points per land use type and the total number of sample points in the cell are counted.
Land use percentages are calculated by dividing the number of points per land use type by the total number of points in the cell and multiplying the result by 100.

2. To incorporate the Swiss land use values in the European database, the country borders are overlaid with the \(10' \times 10'\) map of Europe. All cells intersected by country borders are split, and a country code and unique identification number are assigned to each section. For all intersecting points in Switzerland, the data are substituted by the results from Step 1 above. The Swiss National Focal Center has reviewed and approved the resulting data base.

Figures 5-1 to 5-4 show maps from the presently used version of the data base on the \(10' \times 10'\) resolution. The dominant land use (Figure 5-1) is derived from a comparison of the eight land use types, with some adjustments for urban areas. The land use type with the highest percentage is considered dominant in a cell. Figures 5-1 through 5-3 are presented here for comparison with the maps in CCE Status Report 1995, since (e.g.) the distribution of the Swiss land use types and percentages differ significantly.

5.3 Land use data for stock at risk analysis

The European land use data base is used in assessing the impacts of direct air pollution on ecosystems and crops by overlaying the land use categories with maps of \(SO_2\) concentrations, \(NO_x\) concentrations and AOT40 levels for \(O_3\). Note that critical levels vary among land use categories, e.g. the AOT40 for ozone for forests differs from that for crops.

Chapter 1 includes maps of the stock at risk for forests and crops related to the exceedances of their specific AOT40 for ozone, on the EMEP50 grid. These maps use land use data from version 2.0 of the European land use database. To assess the stock at risk of crops, the land use type arable land was selected for the distribution and density of crops over Europe. Figure 5-5 shows the result of converting the \(10' \times 10'\) arable land map (Figure 5-4) to the EMEP50 grid resolution. This data on the EMEP50 grid resolution is superimposed with exceedances of the critical levels for crops to produce Figure 1-6 (top) in Chapter 1. The second example is the stock at risk of forests (Figure 1-6 (bottom)). The forest data applied on the EMEP50 resolution consist of both the deciduous and coniferous and mixed forest land use types, because the critical level for ozone (AOT40) is set the same for all forest types.

5.4 Conclusions

The substitution of land use data in Switzerland by the Swiss national data in a first step to improve the accuracy of geographical distributions of land use categories and their areas in Europe. Further updating the European land use data base with national updates should increase consensus among NFCs on the quality of the mapped distribution of the land use categories in the countries. Other NFCs have noted that small areas of land use types can be of significant importance, thus grid cells with relatively small but (economically) important land use areas (e.g. arable land) should be identified in the maps by adapting the legend classes so that these small areas are represented in the maps.

Since the European land use data base will be used in LRTAP Convention assessments of the risk of damage by air pollutants, it is necessary to improve the data base whenever possible. Thus additional national data contributions from NFCs are welcome.
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Figure 5-1. The dominant land use class per 10' × 10' grid cell.

Figure 5-2. Percentage of mixed and coniferous forest per 10' × 10' grid cell.
Figure 5-3. Percentage of deciduous forest per 10' × 10' grid cell.

Figure 5-4. Percentage of arable land per 10' × 10' grid cell.
Figure 5-5. Percentage of arable land per EMEP50 grid cell.
6. The European Background Data Base for Critical Loads

P.A.M. de Smet, J. Slootweg and M. Posch

Introduction

One of the activities of the Coordination Center for Effects (CCE) is to provide European critical load maps and data bases to the relevant bodies under the UN/ECE LRTAP Convention. Ideally, the critical load and exceedance maps are based on national data submissions from all European countries. However, when a country does not contribute national critical loads data, the CCE uses for that area values from its own European background data base (EU-DB) for critical loads.

The European background data base discussed in this chapter is based primarily on published sources. The data base can easily be updated when new information becomes available. The EU-DB consists of data from: (a) the 1994 digital FAO Soil Map (FAO 1994), (b) the forested areas of version 2.0 of the 10' x 10' gridded RIVM-European Land Use Map (Veldkamp et al. 1995), (c) the 50 km (50 km EMEP grid map (see Appendix A in this report) and (d) the WDB II Country Border map (ESRI 1993). For forest-soil ecosystems resulting from the overlay of these data sources, critical loads of sulfur, acidifying nitrogen and nutrient nitrogen are computed.

This chapter explains the technicalities on how the data base is produced. It describes the geographic data sources of the map layers (Sections 6.1 to 6.4) and their resulting overlay (Section 6.5). Section 6.6 describes how the attributes of the original data sources are related to the overlay, and Section 6.7 shows how these culminate in the EU-DB. All digital geographic-based sources are imported into and manipulated in ArcInfo, and data base processing is done with the INGRES relational data base. Section 6.8 mentions forthcoming improvements of the critical load data in the European background data base.

6.1 The EMEP50 grid map layer

Each EMEP150 grid cell contains a 3 x 3 subdivision (the so-called "EMEP50" grid), which will eventually become the grid resolution used in the deposition and integrated assessment models within the LRTAP Convention (see Appendix A).

The longitude and latitude coordinates for the EMEP50 grid are first generated as an ASCII file containing the lower left corner points of the grid cells (i,j) from (1,1) to (117,126), and the file is then imported into INGRES. The attributes i and j are the unique horizontal and vertical coordinate identifiers (integers) of each EMEP50 grid cell. (The grids around the North Pole are excluded to avoid problems with some inconsistencies at the North Pole in the Lambert azimuthal equal-area projection definitions for Europe in ArcInfo.)

Using INGRES report scripts and ArcInfo AML scripts respectively, a map of the EMEP50 grid cell polygons and an attribute table is generated in ArcInfo. This polygon attribute table contains geographical information on all grids in the map, such as grid cell lines, polygons, coordinates of the lower-left corner and center of the grid cell, and grid label points. This information is used to relate other grid attributes to the correct grid cell in the map and is also stored in INGRES. Finally, the EMEP50 grid coverage is converted from a longitude/latitude (geographic) projection onto the Lambert azimuthal equal-area projection, in which the other map layers are presented.

6.2 The FAO Soil Map layer

The FAO Soil Map of the World (FAO 1994) is available on CD-ROM. The polygon and soil attribute files for the European part of the map have been extracted, and the polygon coverage attributes and some soil attributes are included in seven separate ArcInfo export files (EUSNTLL.E01 to EUSNTLL.E07) and are the "first-level attributes". A separate "expansion" ASCII database (WORLDEXP.DAT) contains extensive "second-level attributes" which represent more qualitative and quantitative information on the soil mapping units. Details are given in the notes accompanying FAO (1994).

The seven ArcInfo export files containing polygons and the necessary first-level attributes are first imported into the ArcInfo system. Next, a European soil polygon coverage and a related polygon attribute table is built in the Lambert azimuthal
equal-area projection. Each polygon is represented in this table and has its FAO Soil Mapping Unit identified by a unique number. This number is the relational attribute between the polygon attributes of the first- and the second-level attribute codes of WORLDEXPDAT. This attribute table contains a record for each soil mapping unit. Every record includes the dominant, co-dominant, associated and inclusion soil types (up to 8 types per record), together with their area percentage within the mapping unit and the percentages of their texture and slope. All first- and second-level attribute codes are imported into INGRES as a set of tables, and serve as look-up tables for collecting information from the FAO Soil Map.

6.3 European country border map layer

A country border map of the world in ArcInfo export E00-format is maintained at RIVM for general purposes within the institute. This file is imported into ArcInfo and the country border polygon coverage is generated for European countries. The country attributes table from the World Data Bank II, ArcWorld 1:3M (ESRI 1993) is imported into INGRES.

6.4 European Land Use grid map layer

A European Land Use Data Base (version 2.0) has been developed (Veldkamp et al. 1995, Posch et al. 1995) by RIVM. The map and related data are stored as polygons on a 10' x 10' resolution. A map coverage of these polygons is generated in ArcInfo using the ArcInfo export file format. The attribute table for each grid cell contains the total land area in the grid cell, the eight land use classes (deciduous forest, mixed and coniferous forest, grassland, permanent crops, arable land, urban, water and other) including their areas as percentage of the total land in the grid cell. These percentages are translated into square kilometers, and the table is imported into a set of INGRES relational tables.

6.5 Overlay of the four map layers

Using ArcInfo, a polygon intersections coverage is created by overlaying the four single-item polygon map layers described above. The resulting coverage contains only those polygon intersections at which polygons exist in each of the four single map layers. Figure 6-1 shows a small section of the map resulting from the overlay, giving an impression of the density of polygon intersections of the four individual map layers. Country borders are shown as black lines, while the 10' x 10' land use grid polygons are shown as purple lines, and the soil type polygons in red, with the dominant soil type code. The EMEP150 grid (21,14) and its subdivision into nine EMEP50 grid cells are yellow polygons, with grid identifiers in black numbers (e.g. 63,40).

Together with this coverage, a polygon attribute table is created containing all the key attributes of the four original single map layers for every intersection polygon. Subsequently, the coverage is converted from the Lambert azimuthal equal-area projection to the longitude/latitude projection, and the center points of the intersections are added to the coverage. Its longitude and latitude coordinates (in decimal degrees) are added to its attributes table. This polygon attribute table is then imported into INGRES, and can also be used as a data base in any desired geographic projection or geographic information system (GIS).

6.6 Linking map overlay attributes in a relational data base

Once the four separate map layers have been overlaid, the necessary attributes are stored in a series of tables in INGRES, such that they form a link between the source map polygons and attributes, and the intersection polygons of the resulting overlay. This linkage permits the combination of information from the source maps with each other at the level of the polygon intersections. In addition, it allows other scientific characteristics to be associated with the intersections. This combining of attributes from different sources is the basis for computing critical loads for every intersection. This is done with SQL look-up procedures within INGRES.

6.7 Deriving the final European background data base for critical loads

To produce a pan-European set of critical loads, we select only the intersection polygons with the forest land use types deciduous forests and mixed and coniferous forests.

From the INGRES relational tables, an ASCII output table (see Figure 6-2) is created containing all unique
Figure 6-1. A small section of the map resulting from overlaying four maps: (FAO Soil Map, EMEP50 grid map, European Land Use grid, and country border map).

combinations of the country, the soil type, texture/slope, deciduous and coniferous forest land use type and EMEP50 (i,j) grid cell. All intersection polygons in the same EMEP50 grid cell with exactly the same set of attribute values are grouped into one data record. The output table consists of the following attributes: the EMEP50 (i,j) coordinates, an average longitude/latitude coordinate, the country code, the soil type code, the texture/slope code, and the areas (in km²) of both mixed/coniferous and deciduous forests. Where the areas of both mixed/coniferous and deciduous are smaller than 1 ha, the record is considered spurious and thus not written to the output file. This procedure reduces the number of output records significantly with no essential loss of the total area.

A FORTRAN program developed by the CCE collects relevant attributes for all intersection polygons and computes critical loads, following UBA (1996). These attributes include:

- soil type characteristics (e.g. parent material) for 94 soil types (de Vries et al. 1993)
- climate characteristics (temperature, precipitation and evapotranspiration) in a 0.5° × 0.5° gridded data set updated from Leemans and Cramer (1991)
- forest growth characteristics (e.g. growth rates) for deciduous and coniferous forests throughout Europe from 1.0° × 0.5° latitude gridded data sets (de Vries et al. 1993)
- 1990–1992 average base cation deposition (wet/bulk) interpolated to a 50 × 50 km² grid from Pedersen et al. (1992) and Schaug et al. (1993, 1994).

Linking these attributes with the overlay attributes in the ASCII table is done by assigning the average longitude/latitude coordinates of the (grouped) intersection polygons to the grid index of each of the other data sets. For areas in which polygons exist but no growth and climate data are available, the program extrapolates existing growth and climate grid data until it covers the same area as the intersection polygons. The program also defines all necessary attribute values for nutrient element
contents (N, Ca+K+Mg) present in stems and branches of tree types at different latitudes in Europe (de Vries et al. 1993). The total nutrient content of forests as well as nutrient uptake in coniferous and deciduous forests at different latitudes is then computed. In addition, the program computes the precipitation surplus, the denitrification fraction and the base cation weathering for each soil type depending on the parent material and texture (UBA 1996). For every record containing grouped forest intersection polygons, the program computes:

- the maximum critical load of sulfur, \( CL_{\text{max}}(S) \)
- minimum critical load of nitrogen, \( CL_{\text{min}}(N) \)
- maximum critical load of nitrogen, \( CL_{\text{max}}(N) \) and
- critical load of nutrient nitrogen, \( CL_{\text{nut}}(N) \).

Finally, the program writes out for every record critical loads values and relevant parameters: longitude and latitude (in decimal degrees), the related EMEP50 grid index \((i,j)\), the forest type (coniferous or deciduous) and its area (in km²), the four critical loads listed above, \( BC_{\text{dep}}^{*}, BC_{\text{dep}}^{\prime}, BC_{\text{nu}}^{*}, BC_{\text{nu}}^{\prime}, ANC_{\text{ecrit}}^{(i,j)}, N_{\text{f}}, N_{\text{ti}}, N_{\text{f}+\text{ti}}, \) soil type, texture class, runoff, and \( ANC_{\text{ecrit}} = -AL_{\text{ecrit}} - H_{\text{ecrit}} \).

### 6.8 Future improvements

All the maps and attributes discussed in the previous sections have been synthesized with widely available software tools. All procedures have been documented and allow for simple reproduction. Revised input data can easily be incorporated, and updated critical load calculations can be made by simply executing the procedures again. In future updates of European critical loads maps, it is planned to improve the critical load calculations of the European background data base. The improvements will most likely include:

- incorporation of the latest version of the land use data base (described in Chapter 5),
- new interpolations of base cation deposition based on more recent NILU data, and
- inclusion of updated forest growth data.

The European background data base for critical loads will continue to provide the basis for critical load computations in cases where countries cannot provide national data. Uncertainty and sensitivity analysis on computed critical load values can be performed and might even lead to improvements in the other data sources.
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Part II consists of reports on national input data and critical threshold calculations submitted by National Focal Centers. A total of 20 countries now collaborate in the Mapping Program by submitting critical loads data to the CCE. Countries which report on their national mapping activities for the first time are Belgium (Flanders), Croatia, France, Hungary, Ireland, Italy and Spain. National Focal Center reports have been submitted by nearly all countries (for France, see the CCE Status Report 1995).

In comparison to the 1995 CCE Status Report, more attention has been given to the assessment of stock at risk due to tropospheric ozone exposure. In addition, some NFCs present preliminary results of the computation and mapping of critical loads for heavy metals. The latter work could be used as input to a workshop on critical loads for heavy metals and POPs organized by the Task Force on Mapping in November 1997.
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A. Critical Loads

There have been no major revisions of nationwide critical loads data since the 1995 CCE Status Report. Currently, there is some work being done on small-scale deposition mapping by the Federal Environment Agency. Critical loads of acidity and nitrogen have been modelled by the Research Centre Seibersdorf as part of a case study for forest soils in the Tyrolean Limestone Alps using the steady-state mass balance (SMB) model, soil data and tree data for soil inventory sites (Knoflacher and Loibl, 1996).

To generate SMB model results from the irregularly distributed sample points that do not show any regional trends, a special interpolation model was used. Several non-linear response functions with predictor variables reflecting the influence of location (relative to the emission sources), morphology and precipitation were approximated for the two main bedrock types. The model results were combined to generate critical loads at a resolution of 1 x 1 km². The results (shown in the CCE Status Report 1995) show that the highest critical loads for forest soils along the slopes near alpine valley floors (e.g., the Inn Valley and the Ziller Valley).

B. AOT40 Values

To map the spatial distribution of ozone exposure in hourly steps, the measurements carried out at discrete points are interpolated using rather sophisticated models.

Increases and decreases of ozone are very much dependent on the concentration and mix of precursors, as well as the presence and intensity of solar radiation. The spatial pattern of valleys and ridges leads to different patterns of precursors and ozone concentrations, due to the concentration of emission sources in the valley floors. Thus in mountainous regions there is a large variation in ozone concentrations (and therefore a large variation in AOT40 values) within small distances.

The ozone interpolation model considers relative altitude as reference of spatial influence on precursor and ozone concentrations, and time of day as reference of the temporal influence on the ozone production–depletion cycle. In the model, hourly ozone concentration maps are calculated by an statistical function reflecting the time-of-day and elevation dependence of ozone using a digital elevation model, the actual time of day, and hourly monitoring data. The function is fitted to the season- and day-specific general ozone concentration dependence on altitude and time to generate day-specific ozone concentration surfaces for every hour (Loibl et al. 1994, Schneider et al. 1996).

The spatial distribution of AOT40 values at a resolution of 2.5 x 2.5 km² was calculated starting from the ozone measurements conducted at approximately 120 monitoring stations located throughout Austria. First, the measured ozone concentrations were interpolated over the whole territory. These calculations were carried out for every hour (i.e. every one-hour mean). In a second step the AOT40 was determined for each grid point by summing the calculated ozone concentration depending on the receptor type (forest and agricultural areas) corresponding to the recommendations of the Kuopio Workshop (Kärenlampi and Skärby, 1996).
Figure AT-1 shows the ozone AOT40 for forest ecosystems as an average of the years of 1993 and 1994. Only forested areas are shown on the map. Table AT-1 shows the frequency distribution of AOT40 values for forest areas in Austria. Only the first class (<10 ppm·h) is below the critical load.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range (ppm·h)</th>
<th>1993–94 Averages (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 10</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – 20</td>
<td>25.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 30</td>
<td>42.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 – 40</td>
<td>24.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 – 50</td>
<td>6.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 50</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additionally, AOT40 values for crops and natural vegetation were calculated. Table AT-2 provides information on the percentage of areas affected by various classes of ozone levels. The data are given for two separate years, since crops have a one-year growing season. Only the first class (<3 ppm·h) is in compliance with the critical level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range (ppm·h)</th>
<th>1993 (%)</th>
<th>1994 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 3</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 – 6</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>3.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 – 9</td>
<td>42.44</td>
<td>34.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 – 12</td>
<td>36.40</td>
<td>41.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 – 15</td>
<td>9.80</td>
<td>12.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 15</td>
<td>6.76</td>
<td>7.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Comments and Conclusions**

Generally, AOT40 levels are quite high in Austria. As can be seen in Figure AT-1, ozone levels have a very heterogeneous distribution. In particular, areas at higher altitudes tend to have AOT40 levels which can be significantly higher than those in nearby valleys. As these small-scale variations cannot be modelled within large-scale models, small-scale results can be seen as a supplement to European models.
Figure AT-1. Ozone AOT40 values for forest ecosystems averaged over 1993 and 1994. Vegetation period: April - September, daylight hours only.
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Calculation Methods

Critical loads and their exceedances for forest soils were calculated according to the Steady-state Mass Balance (SMB) method as described in Posch et al. (1993):

\[ CL(A) = ANC_{w} - ANC_{t(crit)} \]  
\[ ANC_{t(crit)} = PS \cdot [Alk] \]  

The alkalinity is calculated as the sum of critical hydrogen and critical aluminum leaching. Two criteria were used to determine the critical aluminum leaching \([Al^{3+}]_{crit}\). 

1. The Al concentration criterion:

Exact limits for Al concentrations in soils which have a visible negative influence on growth and vitality are fixed at 0.0002 eq l\(^{-1}\) (de Vries 1988).

2. The Al/Ca ratio criterion:

\[ [Al^{3+}]_{crit} = (RAI / Ca:BC_c) / PS \]  
\[ CL_{min}(N) = N_{u(crit)} + N_{a(crit)} + N_{b(crit)} / (1-f_{dc}) \]  
\[ N_{a(crit)} = [N]_{crit} \cdot PS \]  
\[ CL_{max}(S) = CL(A) + BC_{dep} - BC_{u(crit)} \]  
\[ BC_{u(crit)} = BC_{dep} + ANC_c - PS \cdot [BC]_{crit} \]  
\[ CL_{min}(N) = N_{u(crit)} + N_{a(crit)} + N_{b(crit)} \]  

Table BE-1. Constants used in critical loads calculations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constant</th>
<th>Value and unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(N_{a(crit)})</td>
<td>213 eq ha(^{-1}) yr(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([BC]_{crit})</td>
<td>0.01 eq m(^{-3})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>([Al]_{crit})</td>
<td>0.0002 eq l(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data Sources

Soils: The Flemish soil profile inventory
“Aardewerk” (Van Orshoven and Vandenbergroede, 1993) was used to derive information on soil types. A digitized map with the profile locations was overlaid with the Flemish forest inventory map. Four major forest types were considered: coniferous, mixed coniferous, deciduous and mixed deciduous stands. All profiles situated in forested areas were selected as receptor points for which critical loads were calculated. The digitized map with a total of 653 receptor points was then overlaid with a 5 x 5 km\(^2\) grid to produce the resulting grid maps. As the number of receptor points per cell was rather small, the calculation of percentiles was impossible. Therefore the lowest critical load and the highest exceedance within every grid cell were attributed to the whole cell.

Deposition: Calculated values for present wet and dry deposition of SO\(_2\), NO\(_x\) and NH\(_x\) on a 5 x 5 km\(^2\) grid were provided by VMM (Flemish Environment Agency). Filtering factors were derived from Erisman (1990) and from Ivens et al. (1988) and were used to account for the increased capture by forest filtering. Recent data on dry and wet base cation deposition in Flanders were not available. De Vries (1994) stated that in the Netherlands total deposition of Cl\(^-\) is in equilibrium with deposition of Mg\(^{2+}\), K\(^+\)
and Na⁺ and that BC_{dep} can be approximated by the total Ca²⁺ deposition. Therefore, one-year measurements (February 1988–February 1989) of mean total deposition (dry + wet) of Ca²⁺ in open field near 10 forest plots were used (Van Den Berghe et al. 1991). For each receptor point the value of the nearest plot was taken.

**Precipitation surplus:** Data on mean annual precipitation were derived from precipitation data registered in 5 climatic stations in Flanders over a period of 10 years (1986-1995). The value for each receptor point was set equal to the value registered in the nearest climatic station. Values for interception fractions were derived from Hootsman and van Uffelen (1991). Mean annual evapotranspiration was fixed at 320 mm yr⁻¹, according to Van Miegroet and Dua (1985).

**Weathering rate:** In the absence of more specific data on the production of basic cations through mineral weathering for the Flemish region, weathering rates were calculated according to the method proposed by Hettelingh and de Vries (1992). Base cation release rates were attributed to each weathering class on the basis of mineralogy according to Sverdrup and Warffinge (1988).

**Biomass uptake:** Due to the lack of specific information, data from a Dutch literature study were used in which growth rates are deduced from yield tables based on soil suitability classes for tree species (de Vries 1990 a,b). Mean nitrogen uptakes of 300 and 500 eq ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ were derived for coniferous and deciduous wood respectively. Base cation uptake amounts to 300 and 350 eq ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ for coniferous and deciduous forest respectively.

**Results**

Calculation of CL(A) resulted in the highest values for calcareous soils under deciduous forests. The estimated base cation release rate from mineral weathering processes is high in these areas, and thus provides a high long-term buffering capacity against soil acidification. The lowest critical loads (836 eq ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) occur in the Campine and the north of Limburg, where the ecosystems largely consist of very sensitive coniferous forests on poor sandy soils. The dominance of coniferous forest types in the Campine is also responsible for the low CL_{null}(N) in this region. Calculated values vary between 536 and 971 eq ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹.

The highest values for Ex(A) (Figure BE-2) mounted up to 6630 eq ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in most sensitive areas mainly concentrated in the northwest of the Flemish region. In 158 of the 652 receptor points the critical load for acidity was not exceeded. Calculated values for Ex_{null}(N) shown in Figure BE-4, vary between 153 and 3501 eq ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ for all points considered. Black points with exceedances above 2000 eq ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ are situated mainly in the west of Flanders, where high NH₄ deposition (due to intensive animal husbandry) were measured.

**Comments and Conclusions**

It can be concluded that the data sets presently available for Flanders are too limited to enable accurate determination of specific critical values for the Flemish region. Nevertheless, the calculated values give a good initial indication of the spatial variability of ecosystem sensitivity to acidification and eutrophication in Flanders. Future activities will seek to extend and complete available data bases and to update computation methods. Moreover, use of the steady-state mass balance method for forest soils causes difficulties in the Flemish region where forested areas are relatively few and strongly fragmented.
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Figure BE-1. Critical load of acidity, $CL(A)$.

Figure BE-2. Exceedance of critical load of acidity, $Ex(A)$. 
Figure BE-3. Critical load of nutrient nitrogen, $CL_{crit}(N)$.

Figure BE-4. Exceedance of the critical load of nutrient nitrogen, $Ex_{crit}(N)$.
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Calculation Methods

The application of the Steady-state Mass Balance Method (SMB) for critical load mapping of soils in Gorski kotar (the central-western mountainous part of Croatia) is complicated by great site variety and numerous combinations of parent rock, soil and vegetation. In two 50 km x 50 km EMEP grid cells, 24 soil-vegetation combinations were identified. Soils with alkaline reaction were not included into the critical load calculations. Grid cell data are based on 218 forest soil profiles.

Weathering: Since the chemical weathering of hard pure limestone is very slow and products of weathering do not have impact on soil acidity, a value of zero was chosen. BC\textsubscript{w} and ANC\textsubscript{w} values have been calculated according to the Mapping Vademecum (Hettelingh and deVries 1992, pp. 34-37). When dolomites and dolomite-limestone appear together with limestone, the suggested BC\textsubscript{w} values have been multiplied by a factor of 0.3.

\[ AB_{k(crit)} = -Q ((A[1]_{crit} + [H]_{crit}) \]
where \([A]_{crit} = \text{inorganic Al}\)

Input data (from de Vries 1991)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[A]_{crit} (mol m\textsuperscript{-3})</th>
<th>[H]_{crit} (mol m\textsuperscript{-3})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH &gt; 4.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH &lt; 4.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interception: The mean annual interception evaporating has been defined as:
\[ I = P \cdot a \]
where \(P = \text{precipitation}\)

Values for \(a\) from de Vries (1991): pine 0.25, spruce 0.45, fir 0.40 (species composition: fir 60%, beech 40%, thus \(a = 0.34\)), beech 0.25 and oak 0.15.

Base cation uptake: Elements: Annual volume increment m\textsuperscript{3} ha\textsuperscript{-1} and wood cut were taken for normally managed forests. Mean values of volume density (kg m\textsuperscript{-3}) and Ca, Mg, K and Na contents were taken from de Vries (1991). For receptors 1, 4 and 9, BC\textsubscript{u} and N\textsubscript{u} were determined to be zero, as these receptors are treated as virgin forests (no utilization).

Critical nitrogen leaching: \[ Q \cdot [N]_{crit} \]

[N]_{crit} has been defined within the ranges from Posch et al. (1993):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tree species</th>
<th>[N]_{crit} (mg N m\textsuperscript{-2})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mugho pine</td>
<td>1\textsuperscript{st}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spruce and fir</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beech and fir</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oak</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nitrogen immobilization: The range of N immobilization (2–5 kg N ha\textsuperscript{-1} yr\textsuperscript{-1}, from Posch et al. 1993) was assigned to receptors on the basis of the total N content in the A soil layer:

\[ N_{content} (\%) \quad \quad N_{(crit)} (kg N ha\textsuperscript{-1} yr\textsuperscript{-1}) \]
| < 0.40            | 2                                       |
| 0.40 – 0.50       | 3                                       |
| 0.50 – 0.60       | 4                                       |
| > 0.60            | 5                                       |

Denitrification: \[ N_{de} = f_{de} (N_{dep} - N_{N} - N_{j}) \] if \(N_{dep} > N_{N} + N_{j}\)
\[ N_{de} = 0 \] otherwise
\( f_{de} \) is a denitrification function dependent on soil type and degree of aeration (Posch et al. 1993). Overly high values were obtained for Mediterranean soils, and thus adjustments to categories was necessary to achieve more realistic relations. The range of \( N_{d,e} \) values, obtained by a control method based on water regime and soil type (pH), was 0.0–1.0 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\). These values were more realistic, but were not included in further calculations.

**Data Sources**

- Receptor map 1:100,000 (Martinovic and Vrankovic 1996). Mapping units were defined by the sequence of soil-vegetation forest types. Alkaline soils have not been included in calculating critical loads.
- Soil data: Land data base of northeastern Croatia (Martinovic and Vrankovic 1996).
- Precipitation: data on climatic zones of forest vegetation (Bertovic 1983).
- Base cation (\( BC_{dep} \)) and chlorine (\( CI \)) deposition: Meteorological and Hydrological Service of Croatia, data of Ogulin station, for the period 1981–1994.
- \( NO_3^- \) deposition: EMEP/MSC-W, Report 1/96, p. C:83, 694 mg(N) m\(^{-2}\)
- Base cation (\( BC_{n} \)) and nitrogen (\( N_{d} \)) uptake by harvesting: local data on normal wood volume increment and harvest, the average timber quantity in last 20 years.
- Drainage water (\( Q \)): Data on measuring of main receptors, \( Q = (P-I) \times 0.15 \).

**Figure HR-1.** Location of the two EMEP 50 km × 50 km grid cells for which critical loads have been calculated.

**Comments and Conclusions**

Results are presented in Table HR-1. Computation and mapping of critical loads have been started in the Republic of Croatia. Two 50 × 50 km\(^2\) EMEP grid cells (79,43 and 80,43), located within the 150 × 150 km\(^2\) grid cell 27,15, have been mapped. The most complex soil-vegetation relationship in Croatia can be found in this region, which also has most valuable coniferous forests. It is planned to implement the SMB model for other parts of Croatia. The grid cells already mapped will be analysed by more complex models (e.g. SMART and PROFILE).

**References**


Table HR-1. Calculated values of critical loads (in eq ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) for different soil-vegetation forest types.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Receptor combinations</th>
<th>CL_{max} (S)</th>
<th>CL_{min} (N)</th>
<th>CL_{max} (N)</th>
<th>CL_{nut} (N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>Lonicer-Pinetum mugi</em>, Eutric Lithosols</td>
<td>2650</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>3007</td>
<td>506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>Homogyro-alpinae-Fagetum</em>, Calcic Cambisol</td>
<td>2492</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>3018</td>
<td>910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>Piccetum subalpinum</em>, Calcic Cambisol</td>
<td>2315</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>2935</td>
<td>763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><em>Calamagrostio-Picetum</em>, Eutric Lithosols</td>
<td>2574</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>2931</td>
<td>524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><em>Calamintho-Abieti-Fagetum</em>, Calcic Cambisol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(shallow and moderately deep)</td>
<td>2836</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>3550</td>
<td>1061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><em>Calamintho-Abieti-Fagetum</em>, Calcic Cambisol (deep)</td>
<td></td>
<td>846</td>
<td>3659</td>
<td>1193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><em>Calamintho-Abieti-Fagetum</em>, Eutric Lithosols</td>
<td>2838</td>
<td>771</td>
<td>3609</td>
<td>1118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td><em>Calamintho-Abieti-Fagetum fac. Seslerio autumnalis</em>, Calcic Cambisol</td>
<td>2901</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>3632</td>
<td>1184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td><em>Calamagrostio-Abietetum</em>, Eutric Lithosols</td>
<td>2976</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>3333</td>
<td>543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td><em>Blechno-Abietetum, Dystric Cambisols-Orthic Podzols</em> (Brunipodzols)</td>
<td>3367</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>4430</td>
<td>1229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td><em>Abietetum dolomiticum</em>, Calcic Cambisol and rendzinas_</td>
<td>3649</td>
<td>954</td>
<td>4603</td>
<td>1140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td><em>Laminorale-Fagetum</em>, Calcic Cambisol (shallow)</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>1102</td>
<td>3125</td>
<td>1455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td><em>Laminorale-Fagetum</em>, Calcic Cambisol (moderately deep)</td>
<td>1936</td>
<td>1132</td>
<td>3068</td>
<td>1485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td><em>Blechno-Fagetum sylvaticae</em>, Dystric Cambisols (Brunipodzols)</td>
<td>2648</td>
<td>1209</td>
<td>3857</td>
<td>1562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td><em>Luzulo-Fagetum sylvaticae</em>, Dystric Cambisols</td>
<td>2771</td>
<td>862</td>
<td>3633</td>
<td>1215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td><em>Helleboru-Fagetum</em>, Rendzinas_</td>
<td>2857</td>
<td>1251</td>
<td>4108</td>
<td>1604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td><em>Picetum montanum, Dystric Cambisols-Orthic Podzols</em> (Brunipodzols)</td>
<td>3158</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>3963</td>
<td>979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td><em>Picetum dolomiticum</em>, Rendzinas_</td>
<td>2905</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>3661</td>
<td>886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td><em>Helleboru-Pinetum</em>, Rendzinas_</td>
<td>2533</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>3159</td>
<td>756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td><em>Seslerio-Fagetum sylvaticae</em>, Calcic Cambisol</td>
<td>3050</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>3646</td>
<td>1166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td><em>Ostrogo-Quercetum pubescenitis</em>, Calcic Cambisol</td>
<td>2128</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>2588</td>
<td>838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td><em>Ostrogo-Quercetum pubescentis</em>, Rendzinas_</td>
<td>2405</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>2749</td>
<td>1604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td><em>Querco-Carpinetum orientalis</em>, Calcic Cambisol</td>
<td>1798</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>2214</td>
<td>745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td><em>Querco-Carpinetum orientalis</em>, Chromic Cambisols (Terra rossa)</td>
<td>1444</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>1745</td>
<td>630</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Note: Receptors No. 16, 19 and 22 are not included in the critical load computations due to calcareous soil.)
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National Maps Produced
National maps on the 50 km x 50 km EMEP grid were produced using ArcInfo. The following maps (averages and 5-percentile) were produced:
- maximum critical loads of sulfur
- minimum critical loads of nitrogen
- maximum critical loads of nitrogen
- critical loads of nutrient nitrogen
- exceedances of critical loads of sulfur
- exceedances of critical loads of nutrient nitrogen

Calculation Methods
The simple mass balance method summarized in CCE Technical Report No. 1 (Hettelingh et al. 1991) and CCE Status Report 1995 (Posch et al. 1995) was used to calculate sulfur and nitrogen critical loads. The values of weathering rates were derived from the soil type, parent material and soil texture provided in Hettelingh and de Vries (1992). The values of the critical uptake of base cations and nitrogen for forest ecosystems were calculated as shown in Tables CZ-1 and CZ-2 (see NFC report of Germany, pp. 123-130 in Posch et al. 1995). The rates of nitrogen immobilization were obtained from Table CZ-3. The exceedance maps for nitrogen and sulfur are based upon critical load calculations and average annual atmospheric deposition from the period of 1991-1994 for sulfur, and from 1994 for nitrogen. The calculations of critical loads include these equations:

- $CL(A) = ANC_w + 0.09\cdot Q + 0.2\cdot Q$
- $CL_{\text{max}}(S) = CL(A) + BC_{\text{dep}} - BC_{\text{ur(crit)}}$
- $CL_{\text{min}}(N) = N_{\text{ur(crit)}} + N_{\text{fl(crit)}}$
- $CL_{\text{max}}(N) = CL_{\text{min}}(N) + CL_{\text{max}}(S) / (1-f_{\text{de}})$
- $CL_{\text{ur}}(N) = N_{\text{ur(crit)}} + N_{\text{fl(crit)}} + N_{\text{le(crit)}} / (1-f_{\text{de}})$
- $N_{\text{te(crit)}} = Q\cdot N_{\text{crit}}$

$ANC_w$ = alkalinity from weathering at critical load
$Q$ = runoff below root zone (groundwater runoff was used)
$N_{\text{ur(crit)}}$ = uptake of nitrogen at critical load
$N_{\text{fl(crit)}}$ = immobilization of nitrogen at critical load
$N_{\text{le(crit)}}$ = leaching of nitrogen at critical load
$f_{\text{de}}$ = denitrification fraction
$BC_{\text{dep}}$ = atmospheric deposition of basic cations
$BC_{\text{ur(crit)}}$ = uptake of basic cations at critical load
$[N]_{\text{crit}}$ = N concentration in soil solution at critical load
### Table CZ-1. Critical uptake classes based on critical weathering rate, annual temperature and precipitation surplus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>200–500</th>
<th>&gt; 500</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANC&lt;sub&gt;w&lt;/sub&gt; (eq ha&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt; yr&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS (mm):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 1000</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800–1000</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600–800</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400–600</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200–400</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 200</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table CZ-2. Rates (in eq ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) of critical uptake of base cations and nitrogen for coniferous and deciduous forests for the yield classes in Table CZ-1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>N&lt;sub&gt;j&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>BC&lt;sub&gt;j&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>N&lt;sub&gt;j&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>BC&lt;sub&gt;j&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>964</td>
<td>675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Comments and Conclusions

In contrast to the previous evaluation of critical loads for a 37.5km × 37.5km grid, these calculations of critical loads are based on the assessment of critical weathering rates derived from soil types and texture classes. Changes were made in the assessment of base cation critical uptake, nitrogen critical uptake, and in the derivation of nitrogen immobilization rates.

### References


### Data Sources

Hydrological and meteorological data in the forest stands were derived from hydrogeological maps (scale of 1:200,000) issued by the Czech Geological Institute (1981). Soil types and soil texture characteristics were taken from maps with a scale of 1:500,000. The modelled data of atmospheric deposition provided by Ekotoxa-Opava were used for the atmospheric input of sulfur and total nitrogen. The data on the basic cation deposition were provided by the CCE from the European background database.
Figure CZ-1. Maximum critical loads of sulfur.

Figure CZ-2. Maximum critical loads of nitrogen.
Figure CZ-3. Minimum critical loads of nitrogen.

Figure CZ-4. Critical loads of nutrient nitrogen.
Figure CZ-5. Exceedance of critical loads of sulfur.

Figure CZ-6. Exceedances of critical loads of nutrient nitrogen.
Figure CZ-5. Exceedance of critical loads of sulfur.

Figure CZ-6. Exceedances of critical loads of nutrient nitrogen.
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National Maps Produced

- Critical loads and exceedances of acidity for forest soils and extensively managed, permanent grasslands calculated with PROFILE, and calculated with the SMB model for grasslands.
- Critical loads and exceedances of nutrient nitrogen for production forests calculated with PROFILE.
- National deposition maps of NH₃ and NOₓ on a 5 x 5 km² grid.
- National deposition map of SO₂ on a 20 x 20 km² grid.
- Yield losses as a result of exceedances of the critical level for ozone calculated on a 25 x 25 km² grid.

Calculation Methods

Critical loads of acidity and nutrient N: The PROFILE model has been used to calculate the critical load of acidity and nitrogen eutrophication, and the values of BCₗₚ, Nᵥ, BCₗₚ, and ANCₗₚ. From this calculation, the values of CLₗₚ(S), CLₗₚ max(S), CLₗₚ min(N), and CLₗₚ max(N) have been derived. In calculating the critical load for grasslands, the weathering rate for 11 classes of mineralogy were calculated at 1000 points with the PROFILE model. The calculation of critical loads for grasslands were performed with the SSMB model (UBA 1996). The total number of calculations and the calculated critical loads and exceedances for the different vegetation types are summarized in Table DK-1.

A BC/Al ratio of 1 was used as the chemical criterion for both forest soils and grasslands. To calculate critical loads of nutrient nitrogen, a critical N leaching, Nₗₚ, of 2 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ and an immobilization, Nₗₚ, of 3 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ were applied. For the model calculations, the root zone has been stratified in a 5-cm thick A/E horizon, and a soil-dependent B and C horizon. A total root depth of 50 cm was applied for spruce and pine, 70 cm was applied for beech, 90 cm for oak, and 25 cm for grasslands, respectively.

National deposition maps: Wet and dry deposition of NH₃ and dry deposition of NOₓ have been calculated with the TREND model on a 5 x 5 km² grid (Asman 1992). The calculated values are within an expected uncertainty range of 30-40% compared to measured values. While the accuracy for modeled values of NOₓ wet deposition is poorer, the total variation in NOₓ wet deposition within Denmark is less than 30% (estimated from measured data). An average value from measured data has been used to calculate critical load exceedances.

Wet and dry deposition of SO₂ has been calculated with the TREND model on a 20 x 20 km² grid. The uncertainty of this calculation is within a range of 30-40%. For SO₂, transport matrices between grids and between receptor grids and major Danish sources have been calculated for use in integrated assessment modelling (Asman et al. 1996).

Yield losses as a result of exceedances of the critical level for ozone: A Level I approach has been used in a first attempt to map yield losses as a result of exceedances of the critical level for ozone. The calculation has been performed for wheat, pasture, deciduous forest and coniferous forest. Values from three Danish measuring stations have been used to calculate an average value of AOT40 for crops and trees for the period 1991-1994. For crops the AOT40 value has been calculated for the period May–July, while the period April–September was used for forests. The spatial distribution of crops and forests have been adapted from the CORINE land-use data base, while the relations between AOT40 values and yield losses were adopted from Fuhrer and Achermann (1994). The yield loss for pasture has been based on clover. The average AOT40 values are presented in Table DK-2 and the calculated yield losses in Table DK-3. A map of calculated total yield losses is displayed in Figure DK-1.
Table DK-1. Calculated critical loads and exceedances for acidification and for nitrogen eutrophication for various ecosystems. All values are given in keq ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) as the range between the 5th and the 95th percentiles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>beech</th>
<th>oak</th>
<th>spruce</th>
<th>pine</th>
<th>grass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. calculations</td>
<td>2825</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>5480</td>
<td>1035</td>
<td>18178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL(A)</td>
<td>0.9 – 2.7</td>
<td>0.8 – 2.2</td>
<td>1.4 – 4.1</td>
<td>1.4 – 2.4</td>
<td>0.9 – 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exc(A)</td>
<td>-0.9 – 0.6</td>
<td>-0.8 – 0.8</td>
<td>-1.5 – 1.3</td>
<td>-0.7 – 1.3</td>
<td>-1.1 – 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL(_{\text{rul}})(N)</td>
<td>1.2 – 1.9</td>
<td>1.2 – 2.0</td>
<td>0.6 – 1.1</td>
<td>0.5 – 0.7</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exc(_{\text{rul}})(N)</td>
<td>-0.3 – 0.6</td>
<td>-0.3 – 0.7</td>
<td>0.4 – 1.2</td>
<td>0.3 – 1.2</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table DK-2. Ozone AOT40 for crops and forests in Denmark.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AOT40</th>
<th>crops</th>
<th>forests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>minimum</td>
<td>3381</td>
<td>5994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>average and (s.d.)</td>
<td>7029 (3600)</td>
<td>9926 (3649)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maximum</td>
<td>11899</td>
<td>14496</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table DK-3. Estimated annual yield loss as a result of exceedances of the critical level for ozone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptor</th>
<th>loss (mil. DKK)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wheat</td>
<td>724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pasture</td>
<td>307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deciduous forest</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coniferous forest</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,094</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments and Conclusions

The main focus of the Danish NFC in the past two years has been:
- The development of methods and preparation of data to calculate critical loads of nutrient nitrogen for sensitive, natural or seminatural terrestrial ecosystems, primarily raised bogs and heathlands.
- The development of methods for, and a first attempt to map yield losses due to exceedances of the critical level for ozone.
- A first attempt to map critical loads of heavy metals.
- Estimation of the uncertainties in the current calculations for Ni1X.

As indicated, only minor progress has been made in the availability of data for calculating critical loads and deposition. The critical load calculations have been updated according to the revised mapping manual (UBA 1996), but the revision has only caused minor changes to the mean value and spatial distribution of the calculated critical loads of acidity and nitrogen. Maps of CL(A) and CL\(_{\text{rul}}\)(N) are displayed in Figure DK-2. The current development of methods and preparation of data for calculating critical loads of nitrogen for raised bogs and heathlands will result in data by the end of 1997.

Critical loads of the heavy metals Hg, Pb, and Cd will be available by the end of 1997. In 1996 the results from a Danish monitoring program on heavy metals were reported. The monitoring program, initiated in 1992, includes the heavy metals Pb, Cd, Ni, Zn, Cu, Cr, Hg, and As, monitored at 393 sites covering arable land and nature areas. As part of this project, models to calculate soil concentrations of heavy metals have been developed, and the relationships between soil concentrations and the current load of heavy metals as well as other biotic and abiotic factors have been assessed. Additional calculations of critical loads of Ni, Zn, Cu, and Cr are planned before 1999.

Data Sources

The main sources of data have not been changed since the 1995 and 1993 CCE Status Reports. The main changes in available data is that the CORINE land use map has been finalized, and a new national calculation of SO\(_4\)\(_2\) deposition has been performed. The sources and resolution of data are shown in Table DK-4.

Table DK-4. Sources of data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>parameter</th>
<th>resolution</th>
<th>source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>soil mineralogy</td>
<td>60 points</td>
<td>DLD, literature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>soil texture</td>
<td>1:500,000</td>
<td>DLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>geological origin</td>
<td>1:500,000</td>
<td>DLD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crop yields</td>
<td>county</td>
<td>DSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forest production</td>
<td>1:500,000</td>
<td>DLD, DSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ecosystem cover</td>
<td>25 ha</td>
<td>NERI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deposition (S, N)</td>
<td>5x5, 20x20</td>
<td>NERI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meteorology</td>
<td>1:1,000,000</td>
<td>DMI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DLD: National Institute of Soil Science, Dept. of Land Data
DSO: Danish Statistical Office
NERI: National Environmental Research Institute
DMI: Danish Meteorological Institute
**Consequences of adapting the EMEP50 grid:** The calculation of critical load exceedances implies in general the combination of critical load data at high spatial resolution with deposition data at a much coarser spatial resolution. Usually the exceedance is calculated as the difference between a deposition value and a percentile of the critical load values calculated within each grid. The total uncertainty of the calculated exceedance can thus be divided among: i) the uncertainty of the calculated critical loads, ii) the uncertainty of the calculated deposition for the grid, and iii) the spatial variation in the deposition within the grid. The spatial variability within the grids will be smaller when going to a higher resolution grid if the gradients in deposition have a spatial scale larger than the grid. This will be the case for NO\textsubscript{X} and SO\textsubscript{X}, but not necessarily for NH\textsubscript{3} in high-deposition areas. The trade-off in going to a higher resolution is higher uncertainty in the calculated percentiles of critical loads, and more uncertain deposition estimates.

The Danish critical load calculations are performed at a maximum resolution of 25 ha, reflecting the accuracy of the basic data available with the highest resolution. Critical loads of nitrogen have been calculated for a total of 16,229 data points. The highest number of calculations on a EMEP50 grid cell is 773, the lowest is 30. The uncertainty of the calculated percentile values of critical loads is mainly dependent on the distribution function and the number of samples. Figure DK-3 shows the estimated relative uncertainty of the 5, 10, 25, 50 and 95 percentile of CL\(\text{(N)}\) as a function of the number of samples. The distribution function is estimated from all calculation points. Assuming a similar distribution function in each EMEP50 grid, the added uncertainty introduced by going from the EMEP150 to the EMEP50 grid can be estimated to be in the range between 2 and 25% for the Danish grids. This extra uncertainty is reasonably low compared to other uncertainties in the calculation process.

**Local variation of NH\textsubscript{3} deposition:** The spatial variation of the NH\textsubscript{3} deposition is to a high degree governed by the spatial pattern of local sources, topography, microclimate, etc. Even with the higher spatial resolution of the EMEP50 grid, there will still be large variation within the grid, especially in high-deposition areas. Figure DK-4 illustrates the values of different percentiles of critical load exceedance as a function of the local variation in NH\textsubscript{3} deposition. If the variation in NH\textsubscript{3} deposition within the individual grids is 25%, the 95 percentile exceedance will on average be underestimated by 16%.

---
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Figure DK-1. Calculated average yield losses as a result of exceeding the critical limit for ozone.

Figure DK-2. Critical loads of acidity and nutrient nitrogen, 3 percentile.
Figure DK-3. Estimated uncertainty (in %) of the calculated values of the 5, 10, 25, 50, and 95 percentile $CL(N)$ values, with various numbers of samples.

Figure DK-4. Percentiles of critical load exceedance as a function of local variation of $\text{NH}_4$. 
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Critical loads of nitrogen (minumum, maximum, and nutrient); sulfur (minimum and maximum); and exceedances of nutrient nitrogen and total acidity (N+S).

Calculation Methods

Two methods have been used to calculate critical loads: the empirical method for raised bogs, and steady-state mass balance calculations for forested areas (UBA 1996). After implementing the more detailed EMEP 50 x 50 km² grid, the differentiation of ecosystems mapped was substantially increased, which is particularly important for the cells only partly covering Estonia.

A change has been made in the rates of base cation deposition (BCdep). In preliminary calculations, measured base cation deposition data were used, which are substantially higher than natural background in northeastern Estonia (due to industrial emissions). Recently, sulfate and nitrate deposition as well as base cation deposition have shown decreases in this area. Although the still-elevated base cation deposition buffers a large part of the impact of acidic ions, this is not be considered in calculating critical loads. In the new calculations, base cation deposition in Estonia were considered equal to those in northwest Estonia, a region with similar natural background conditions.

To estimate exceedances of critical loads, actual deposition of sulfate and nitrate/ammonia were compared to critical load calculations. Deposition data from earlier measurements were used together with measurements for 1994/95 (Kört and Roots 1996, Kört et al. 1996, Agurajiju 1996, Nilson 1996, Frey et al. 1996). Nutrient nitrogen impact and the acidifying impact of sulfur/nitrogen (CL_{max}(S) + CL_{min}(N)) were analyzed separately.

Comments and Conclusions

Maps of CL_{max}(N), CL_{min}(N), CL_{max}(N), and CL_{max}(S) are shown in Figure EE-1. Exceedances for nutrient nitrogen and the combined acidifying impact of N+S are presented in Figure EE-2. The grid cells with light vertical stripes are ones where actual deposition is equal to critical loads or slightly (by not more than 20%) exceed them. The more intensive color denotes the cell where actual deposition clearly exceeds the critical loads for natural background (by about 1000 mol c ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹). As the deposition measurements do not cover the Estonian territory densely enough, it is impossible to determine the exceedances precisely. Exceedances of critical loads of nutrient nitrogen can be expected in those parts of sensitive cells where extra nitrogen might start to influence the plant communities in bogs. However, the exceedances found remain within the limits of calculation and measurement error, and thus we should probably speak about critical loads being achieved rather than being exceeded. Further increases in nitrogen deposition will clearly lead to critical load exceedances.

The situation regarding acidifying impact is similar in that many cells have actual deposition equal to critical loads. Only one cell (63,76) shows deposition clearly exceeding critical loads (figure EE-2, right). The critical load is exceeded when calculated with natural background base cation levels. However, due to the increased base cation deposition, the area does not actually suffer from acidification, but rather from alkalization. Comparing two different regions with deposition equal to critical loads, all of northeastern Estonia is characterized by substantially increased base cation deposition which fully buffers the acidic
deposition. Southern Estonia differs in that base
cation deposition here corresponds to that of natural
background. Thus deposition exceeding critical
loads in some of the more sensitive areas will have
an acidifying impact.
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Figure EE-1. $CL_{\text{nut}}(N)$, $CL_{\text{min}}(N)$, $CL_{\text{min}}(N)$ and $CL_{\text{max}}(S)$ in eq ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$.

Figure EE-2. Exceedance of critical loads of nutrient nitrogen (left) and of acidic N+S (right).
Critical levels for ozone have been mapped according to the recommendations from the UN/ECE workshop in Kuopio (Kärenlampi and Skärby 1996). In Figure FI-2 the measured AOT40 (accumulated hourly exposure above the threshold of 40 ppb, displayed in ppm-h) values for agricultural crops and forests are displayed for the years 1989-95.

Calculation Methods

Critical loads of acidity of N and S for surface waters and forest soils are derived from the following acidity balance for the sum of N and S deposition (described more fully in Posch et al. 1997):

$$N_{dep} + S_{dep} = fN_{u} + (1-r)(N_{w} + N_{d}) + rN_{ref} + rS_{ref} + BC_{le} - ANC_{le}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where the base cation (BC) leaching is given by

$$BC_{le} = BC_{dep} + (1-r)BC_{w} - fBC_{u}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $f$ is the fraction of forested land in the catchment area, $r$ is the lake catchment area ratio, $N_{u}$ and $BC_{w}$ are the net growth uptake of N and BC, $N_{d}$ is the immobilization of N in soils, $N_{ref}$ is N denitrified in soils, $S_{ref}$ and $S_{w}$ are the in-lake retention of N and S, $BC_{w}$ is the BC weathering, and $ANC_{le}$ is the alkalinity leaching. For lake catchments the term $(1-r)$ limits the influence of $N_{d}, N_{ref}$, and $BC_{w}$ to the terrestrial area, and $f$ limits the uptake to the forested area only. For forest soils one has to set $f=1$ and $r=0$.

Inserting the deposition-dependent expressions for soil denitrification and in-lake N and S retention into Eq. 1, one obtains:

$$a_{N}N_{dep} + a_{S}S_{dep} = b_{1}N_{u} + b_{2}N_{d} + BC_{le} - ANC_{le}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where the dimensionless constants $a_{N}$, $a_{S}$, $b_{1}$, and $b_{2}$ are all smaller than one and depend on ecosystem properties only: denitrification fraction ($f_{N}$), net mass transfer coefficients for S and N ($s_{N}$ and $s_{S}$), and runoff ($Q$). For soils, $BC_{le}$ at critical load is computed from Eq. 2.
For lakes the net base cation leaching at critical load is computed from water quality data:

\[ BC_{le(crit)} - ANC_{le(crit)} = Q[(BC)_{0} - (ANC)_{limit}] \quad (4) \]

where \( ANC_{le(crit)} \) is the critical load, \( Q[(BC)_{0}] \) is the pre-acidification leaching of base cations from the catchment area, and \( (ANC)_{limit} \) is the critical alkalinity leaching. By prescribing an acceptable leaching of N, the critical load of nutrient nitrogen can be computed for soils, using the mass balance:

\[ CL_{crit}(N) = N_{des} + N_{de} + N_{de} + N_{te} \quad (5) \]

### Data Sources

**Forest soils:** Information is needed for \( BC_{dep} \), \( BC_{w} \), \( BC_{n} \), \( N_{dep} \), \( N_{de} \), \( N_{te} \), \( N_{te} \), and \( ANC_{le(crit)} \). \( BC_{dep} \) is interpolated from the data from the years 1993-95 of a nationwide network of stations measuring monthly bulk deposition (Järvinen and Vänni 1990), and sea salt correction is made where necessary. The long-term average \( BC_{w} \) was estimated by applying the results of the field studies of Olsson and Melkerud (1993) and using the effective temperature sum (ETS) and the total element content of Ca and Mg in the C-horizon as input data. Total analysis data on the <20.0 mm fraction of till required by the method were obtained for 1057 plots from the Geological Survey of Finland (Johansson and Tarvainen 1997). \( N_{w} \) and \( BC_{w} \) refer to the long-term average net uptake of nutrients in the stem and bark biomass removed from forest via harvesting. They are estimated from annual forest growth, calculated for each tree species based on ETS, and the element contents (Rosén, pers. comm.) in biomass. \( N_{w} \) was assumed to be proportional to the net incoming \( N \), and the denitrification fractions were related to the soil type by linearly interpolating between a low value of 0.1 for podzolic mineral soils and a value of 0.8 for peat soils, depending on the soil type fractions (de Vries et al. 1993). For \( N_{w} \), including \( N_{fix} \), a value of 0.5 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) as a long-term average was used for Finnish forest soils (Rosén et al. 1992). For \( [BC]_{min} \), a limiting concentration, below which trees can no longer extract nutrients from soil solution, is set to a precautionary value of 2 \( \mu \)eq l\(^{-1}\) (UBA 1996). \( ANC_{le(crit)} \) is calculated by adding the critical aluminum leaching, obtained from the molar Al/BC ratio of 1.0, to the hydrogen leaching, calculated from a gibbsite equilibrium (\( K_{sibb} = 10^{9.3} \)). Acceptable nitrogen leaching is derived with runoff using the concentration criterion of 0.3 mg N l\(^{-1}\) (Downing et al. 1993). The runoff values needed to convert concentrations to fluxes were obtained from a digitized runoff map for 1961-1975 (Leppäjärvi 1987). Sulfur and nitrogen deposition is calculated with the DAIQUIRI (Deposition, Air Quality and Integrated Regional Information) model, employing long-range and mesoscale transfer matrices from EMEP/MSC-W and the Finnish Meteorological Institute (Syri et al. 1997).

**Lakes:** Additional information is needed for \( f, r, S_{ret} \), \( N_{ret} \), \( [BC]_{0} \), and \( (ANC)_{limit} \). The data for lakes were mostly obtained from a national statistically based lake survey of 970 lakes conducted in 1987. The spatial distribution of the lake data set reflects the actual lake density in different regions. Both lake and catchment areas, as well as the forest fraction, were measured from topographic maps. \( S_{ret} \) and \( N_{ret} \) were computed from kinetic equations (Kelly et al. 1987). The mass transfer coefficients \( s_{s} \) and \( s_{N} \) were taken from retention model calibrations in North-America (Baker and Brezonik 1988, Dillon and Molot 1990). \( [BC]_{0} \) was estimated using the so-called F-factor, which relates the change over time in the leaching of base cations to long-term changes in inputs of strong acid anions in a lake, estimated as a function of the present base cation concentration. \( [SO_{4}]_{0} \) was estimated from the relationship between present sulfate and base cation concentrations from 251 lakes located in northern Fennoscandia receiving very low acidic deposition. An \( (ANC)_{limit} \) value of 20 \( \mu \)eq l\(^{-1}\) was selected as the chemical criterion based on results of a fish status survey conducted in Norway (Lien et al. 1996).

Based upon experience gained from extensive lake surveys in Finland, Norway and Sweden (Henriksen et al. 1992), a joint Nordic Lake Survey was conducted in fall 1995. The cooperation was expanded to adjacent areas in Denmark, Russian Kola and Karelia, and Scotland and Wales. This integrated approach included harmonizing the lake selection criteria and sampling procedures (Henriksen et al. 1996). The key objectives of the integrated survey were to assess the status of the lakes with respect to: 1) general water quality, 2) occurrence and large-scale variation of acidification, 3) creation of a new chemical data baseline to follow up the future effects of the second sulfur protocol of UN/ECE, 4) establishing the effects of nitrogen deposition on lake water chemistry in connection with the development of critical loads of nitrogen and 5) the eutrophication status, and 6) levels of heavy metals.
In Finland, 873 lakes were selected separately by regions covering the whole country. From each region, statistical sampling was conducted on five lake size classes. Water samples (one sample per lake) were collected during the autumn overturn, and major and minor elements (25 variables) were analyzed from each lake. The lake database also includes background information on catchment characteristics for individual lakes, such as lake and catchment area, proportion of different land use classes, forest and soil types, long-term annual mean value for runoff and annual deposition of sulfur, nitrogen and base cations.

The description of the chemical characteristics of the total lake populations and calculations of critical loads of acidity and exceedance of sulfur acidity applied by the steady state water chemistry (SSWC) method, will be published in 1997 (Henriksen et al. 1997). Some initial results of the survey are presented in the Norwegian NFC report in this volume; however, the data was not yet ready to incorporate into the final critical load calculations. In the future, there will be also an integrated evaluation of the heavy metals in the lakes.

Tropospheric ozone: The accumulated ozone exposure index, AOT40, has been evaluated by the Finnish Meteorological Institute (FMI) for eight background monitoring stations (e.g. Laurila and Tuovinen 1996). These results are shown in Figure FI-2 for crops and forests, calculated according to the definitions adopted in 1996 at the UN/ECE workshop in Kuopio. Exposure maps based on earlier definitions have been published recently as a result of the Nordic mapping project (Lövblad et al. 1996). The monitoring results have also been used for studying the variability and the origin of the near-surface ozone in Finland (Laurila 1996, Laurila and Hakola 1996, Rummukainen et al. 1996). In addition, the role of biogenic emissions in the photochemical processes related to ozone formation have been assessed by emission and atmospheric modelling combined with measurements of ambient VOC concentrations (Lindfors et al. 1996).

The fact that in northern Europe ozone episodes are more frequent at the beginning of the growing season than later in the summer emphasizes the importance of the choice of the first date of the exposure period. If the growing season for forests is defined as the period for which daily average temperatures exceed 5°C, the exposures are much less than for the April-September period used in the UN/ECE definition (Laurila and Tuovinen 1996). A more specific definition for birch, i.e. the actual period between leaf emergence and leaf fall, was shown to give the shortest exposure period and the lowest AOT40. For agricultural crops, a similar sensitivity is observed.

The relationship between the exposure to ozone and the actual ozone dose received by the vegetation has also been studied at the FMI. These studies are based on the scientific campaigns conducted to directly measure the ozone flux to vegetation (e.g. Aurela et al. 1996, Tuovinen et al. 1996, 1997). Measurements above pine forest indicate a clear difference between the diurnal variation of the accumulation of AOT40 and the flux. Due to the relatively inefficient night-time deposition, these data support limiting the definition of the AOT40 index for forests to daylight hours (Kärelampi and Skärby 1996).

In addition to direct measurements, the exposure-flux relationship has been addressed by modelling the ozone deposition to an agricultural field (Tuovinen and Laurila 1996). The results demonstrate the need for correcting the near-surface concentration gradient which becomes important when AOT40 is evaluated from concentrations measured or modelled above the exposed vegetation. This profile effect may be partly compensated for, however, by the correlation in the diurnal cycle of the concentration and stomatal conductance.

Comments and Conclusions

The critical load calculations have been refined in Finland with improvements in input data and suggestions in the mapping manual and guidelines. The uncertainties are still considerable for the nitrogen processes. Further improvements are underway, especially through new data on critical loads for surface waters and mapping of stock at risk for tropospheric ozone. Work has been initiated to study the relations between results from critical load calculations and dynamic acidification models.
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Figure F1.1. Five cases for reduction requirements of critical load exceedances to achieve protection of 95% of Finnish lakes and forest soils against acidification in each 50 km × 50 km grid cell at present (1995) total sulfur and nitrogen deposition.
Figure F1-2. The measured yearly AOT40 (accumulated exposure above the threshold of 40 ppb) values, displayed in ppm-h, for agricultural crops and forests, as defined at the UN/ECE workshop in Kuopio.
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Critical loads of acidity:
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Grid size: 1 x 1 km²
Grid origin: Forest Distribution Map (satellite image)
- Net uptake of base cations (BC_u)
- Weathering rate of base cations (BC_w)
- Critical leaching of acid neutralizing capacity (ANC_{leq})
- Base saturation (BS)
- Critical loads of acidity (CL(Ac_{act}))

Deposition:
Projection: Lambert
Grid size: 2.1 x 2.1 km²
Grid origin: Land Use Map of Germany (6 classes from satellite imagery: urban area, coniferous, deciduous and mixed forests, agriculture, waters).

Total (sea-salt-corrected*) deposition (1989 and 1991/93) of: \( SO_x^+ \), \( NO_y^- \), \( NH_x^- \), \( Na \), Base Cations* (Ca, Mg, K), potential acidity (\( SO_x^+ + NO_y^- + NH_x^- + Cl^- \)), and net potential acidity (\( SO_x^+ + NO_y^- + NH_x^- - BC^* + Cl^- \)).

Exceedances:
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic
Grid size: 2.1 x 2.1 km²
Grid origin: Forest Distribution Map (satellite image)
- Exceedance of critical loads of nutrient nitrogen (Ex(N))
- Exceedance of critical loads of acidity (Ex(Ac_{act}))

Ozone AOT40:
Projection: Lambert
Grid size: 1/6 degree x 1/6 degree
Grid origin: Interpolation of measurements
- AOT40 Maps for forest ecosystems 1992–94
- AOT40 Maps for crops 1992–94

Ozone critical level exceedances:
Projection: Lambert
Grid origin: Land cover information from the Forest Map of the Federal Environmental Agency.
- Exceedance of critical levels of ozone for the years 1992–94.

Calculation Methods
In general critical loads are calculated for the CCE data set in accordance to the methods in the
Mapping Manual (UBA 1996). $CL_{\text{max}}(S)$, $CL_{\text{min}}(S)$, $CL_{\text{max}}(N)$, $CL_{\text{min}}(N)$ and $CL_{\text{null}}(N)$ have been calculated; national approaches are also elaborated.

**Critical loads of acidity:** To calculate critical loads of acidity for forest soils equation 5.15 in UBA (1996) was used:

$$CL(\text{Ac}) = BC_w - ANC_{leq(crit)}$$

The calculation method of this approach is valid for acid forest soils. To take into account base soils as occur in Germany the base saturation was integrated into the estimation of critical loads. The base saturation was assigned to the FAO soil units as shown in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Base saturation [%]</th>
<th>FAO soil type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 – 20</td>
<td>Bd, Bds, Dd, Gd, Td, Od, Pp, Ph, Pph, Pf, Po</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 40</td>
<td>Dg, Oe, Rd, U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 – 80</td>
<td>Be, Bea, Bec, Bg, Bh, Bv, Bvc, Bvg, De, Ge, Gm, Jc, Jcf, Jcg, Jeg, Lc, Le, Lg, Lgs, Lo, Lc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 80</td>
<td>Bk, Bkf, Ch, Ck, Eo, Hc, Hh, Hl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For all soils with a base saturation > 20%, $ANC_{leq(crit)}$ is set to 0, in this case the critical load of acidity is equal to the weathering of base cations. The resulting map is shown in Figure DE-1 (left).

**Critical loads of nitrogen:** The methods of calculating critical loads of nitrogen are described in detail in the Mapping Manual (UBA 1996). The map of critical loads of nutrient nitrogen is presented in Figure DE-1 (right).

**Total deposition:** Total deposition in Germany was mapped by combining interpolated wet deposition measurements and inferential modelling of dry deposition (van Leeuwen et al. 1996, Köble et al. 1997). Figure DE-2 shows the German deposition maps 1991/93 for potential acidity (left) and nitrogen (right). The procedure for producing deposition maps is described in the following diagram:

---

**Exceedances:** The exceedance of critical loads by the deposition are mapped in Figure DE-3 for acidity (left) and nutrient nitrogen (right).

**Ozone AOT40 values and exceedance of critical levels of ozone:** Ozone AOT40 maps have been compiled by calculating the AOT40 for forests and crops at each rural measurement site. The results were interpolated for forests and crops separately. By intersecting both maps with the forest map of the Federal Environmental Agency, the AOT40 values have been related to the corresponding receptor. AOT40 calculations conform to the Kuopio Workshop guidelines (see UBA 1996).

Daylight hours are defined as the time period with a solar radiation above 50 W m$^{-2}$, in Germany from about 0600–1800 as an average over the summer months (April–September). Comparisons of AOT40 calculations using the constant time approach versus the time periods with solar radiation values >50 W m$^{-2}$ showed an average deviation below 3%. At the Kuopio Workshop in April 1996, the calculation period of AOT40 for forest ecosystems was changed from a total day to daylight hours only. This revision leads to a 5% to 55% reduction of the AOT40 values at rural measurement sites. Maximum reductions in Germany occur at high altitudes; nevertheless, for most parts of Germany, critical levels of ozone are exceeded to a large extent. Figure DE-4 shows AOT40 exceedances (AOT40 minus critical level) for 1993 (left) and 1994 (right) in forest and agricultural areas.
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Figure DE-1. Critical loads of acidity (left, in eq ha\(^{-1} \) yr\(^{-1}\)) and of nutrient nitrogen (right, in kg N ha\(^{-1} \) yr\(^{-1}\)) for forest ecosystems.
Figure DE-2. Total deposition of acidity (left, in keq ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\)) and of nitrogen (right, in kg N ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\)).
Figure DE-3. Exceedance of critical loads of acidity (left, in eq ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$) and of nitrogen (right, in kg N ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$) for forest ecosystems.
Figure DE-4. Exceedance of ozone AOT40 values for forest and crops (in ppb-h) for 1993 (left) and 1994 (right).
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3. Maximum critical load of sulfur
4. Maximum critical load of acidifying nitrogen

Calculation Methods

The Steady-state Mass Balance approach has been applied (Downing et al. 1993). For some grids, limit values have been drawn from research data. Problems occurred in obtaining appropriate weathering rate data, since the published parameters given for more humid and colder climates are not suitable. Maps have been produced for the EMEP50 grid, and efforts are now underway to improve and prepare maps on 1km x 1km resolution; although deposition data is not yet available at this scale.

Data Sources

In 1996 an interdisciplinary team was established by the NFC to collect and assess data and information from different sources, research programs and institutes.

Soil data: Digital soil maps (1:50,000) have been used, as well as some of the results of studies for particular regions (HUNSOTER, RISSAC 1996)

 Meteorological data: For modelling purposes daily measurement data from HMI have been used.

Forest data: Forest data were provided from the Forest Management Institute based on the 16 km x 16 km monitoring network. CORINE land cover data have also been used to have an accurate map for the areas (Corine Land Cover for Hungary 1990-91, MERP 1996.)

Ecosystem data: Due to the importance of the protection of natural landscapes without forest cover, detailed information has been gathered and matched with field research results on the sensitivity of these ecosystems in Hungary. Due to the large variation of habitat types in each cell, the most sensitive habitat has been selected as a receptor. The CORINE Biotop program has also provided a large portion of information.

National Maps Produced

Due to the lack of digital information, a set of basic maps had to be produced quite recently, with partial support of international programs such as Phare.

1. Soil Map for Hungary (1: 50,000), RISSAC
2. Soil degradation areas for Hungary, (1:50,000), RISSAC
Land Cover Data: The 1:50,000 Corine Land Cover map compiled in late 1996 for Hungary has been used.

Deposition data: Official model calculations are available from the MERP on 150 km x 150km scale for the year 1993, besides new estimations are available for 1994 for 50km x 50km grid resolution from MERP.

Comments and Conclusions

First steps to map critical loads have been made with the help of the participating institutions and the CCE. Further work is required to improve information on deposition loads and levels of relevant pollutants as a function of surface cover and roughness.

It can be concluded that the basic data on nutrient uptake and weathering rates, as well as the sensitivity classification of natural and important agricultural areas, are insufficient. Since some important programs (e.g. CORINE Land Cover, Biotop) are now complete, further progress can be made in preparing exceedance maps. The identification of areas where critical loads are exceeded will help indicate where additional field work and monitoring activities should be conducted, in conjunction with further assessment and mapping activities.

While further improvements of the Hungarian critical load calculations can be made, the critical load concept should be introduced in regional decision making processes.
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The critical loads of nutrient nitrogen (empirical) were estimated by assigning critical load ranges (UBA 1996) to selected land cover types. Deposition values for the major ions in precipitation were produced by combining interpolated average annual precipitation chemistry concentrations for approximately 30 point measurements, with interpolated long-term average annual precipitation volume for approximately 600 points.

Data Sources

Soils: 1:575,000 General Soil Map of Ireland and the accompanying Soil Survey Bulletin (Gardiner and Radford, 1980).

Land cover: 1:100,000 CORINE land cover project, Ireland (Ordnance Survey of Ireland 1993).

Precipitation: Interpolation (kriging) of long-term average annual precipitation volume for approximately 600 sites between the period 1951-1980 (Fitzgerald 1984).

Deposition: Combination of precipitation with interpolated (kriging) average annual bulk precipitation chemistry concentrations for approximately 30 sites between the period 1985-1994. The minimum sampling period is not less than 3 years.

Base cation deposition: Combination of interpolated point source bulk concentration measurements and interpolated rainfall volumes. A filtering factor of 1.5 was used to scale from bulk deposition to total deposition.

Weathering rate: Estimated using the Skokloster classification ranges which were assigned to the principal soil of each soil association on the General Soil map of Ireland. The mid-value of each of the five classes was used to define soil weathering, except for the final (non-sensitive) class which was set at 4000 eq ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹.

Uptake: Estimated only for coniferous ecosystems. Potential uptake was calculated using average yield class (m³ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), density of wood of (kg m⁻³) and stem concentrations (mol, kg⁻¹). Actual uptake was assumed to be equal to 75% of the average yield class.
**Immobilization**: Based on literature values (Hornung 1995b, UBA 1996).

---

**Comments and Conclusions**

Since the Irish critical load mapping program began in 1996, considerable advances have been made in the application of the critical load concept to Ireland. The improvement of methods for the calculation and mapping of critical loads, levels and exceedences is continually ongoing. Presently work is being carried out on:

- Validation of the “Level 0” Skokloster map.
- Calculation and mapping critical loads of freshwaters.
- Calculation and mapping of critical levels of ozone.

---
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Figure IE-1. From top left, moving clockwise:
(a) Critical load of acidity for soils, empirical approach (mol·ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹).
(b) Maximum critical load of sulfur for coniferous, deciduous and heathland ecosystems (mol·ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹).
(c) 5 percentile critical load of nutrient nitrogen, empirical approach (mol·ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹).
(d) Deposition of acidity (mol·ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹).
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A. Critical Loads of Acidity

Calculation methods

The ecosystems considered include: coniferous forest, rough grazing, deciduous forest, and arable land. The “Level 0” method (Kuylenstierna and Chadwick 1988, Chadwick and Kuylenstierna 1990), has been used to determine sensitivity to acid deposition. The method has been modified to address some Italian characteristics.

The four ecosystem characteristics (bedrock lithology, soil type, land use and precipitation) have been assigned to categories and combined using a weighting procedure to obtain five broad classes of relative sensitivity. In particular, the percentage of territory within each category for each of the four factors has been evaluated for every cell. For each factor, a parameter is obtained through the linear combination of each factor’s and each category’s weight, multiplied by the percentage of soil corresponding to the determinate category. The values obtained lie within the range 0-7 (continuous), and are assigned to one of the five critical load classes of sensitivity. The critical load of acidity, $CL(A)$, has been estimated by a linear interpolation of the range of the critical load class on the basis of the actual parameter value.

Data sources

Bedrock lithology: Geological map of Italy (1:1,000,000).

Soil types: Thematic maps and literature data: FAO-UNESCO (1981) Soil Map of the World (1:5,000,000) and CEE (1985) Soil Map of the European Communities (1:1,000,000).

Land Use: Map of the Italian vegetation (1:1,000,000) of Ministry of Environment, including 54 different vegetation types.

Precipitation: Map of Italian annual average precipitation for the years 1921–1950 (1:1,000,000) of Ministry of Public Works and RIDEP data from Italian Institute of Hydrobiology of Pallanza.

National Maps Produced

- Critical load of acidity
- Critical load of nitrogen (nutrient, minimum and maximum)
- Maximum and minimum critical load of sulfur
- Critical load of sulfur and nitrogen

All maps are produced are for the 5th percentile, on the 50 x 50 km² EMEP grid resolution.
B. Other Critical Loads

Calculation methods

Forest soils were mapped. The ecosystems considered are: tundra, boreal forest, temperate coniferous, temperate deciduous, Mediterranean forest, acid grassland and other (moors, mountain shrubs, basophilous grasslands, zonal vegetation, area with poor or no vegetation). Using the methodology suggested at the Grange-Over-Sands Workshop (Hornung 1995), the SMB method has been used. This approach balances inputs and outputs of S and N at equilibrium, and is based on the following equations:

\[ CL_{\text{net}}(N) = N_I + N_J + N_K + N_{de} - N_{fix} + N_{burn} + N_{vol} \]
\[ CL_{\text{ini}}(N) = N_I + N_J + N_K + N_{de} - N_{fix} + N_{burn} + N_{vol} \]
\[ CL_{\text{ini}}(S) = CL_{\text{net}}(N) + CL_{\text{max}}(S) \]
\[ CL_{\text{max}}(S) = CL(A) + BC_{dep} - BC_n \]
\[ CL(S+N) = CL(S) + CL(N) \]

Since \( CL_{\text{net}}(N) < CL_{\text{max}}(N) \) for all of Italy, we define \( CL(N) \) as \( CL_{\text{net}}(N) \) and \( CL(S) \) as \( CL_{\text{ini}}(S) \).

Data sources

**Land Use**: The Map of Italian vegetation (1:1,000,000) (Ministry of Environment), including 54 different vegetation types. Total ecosystem area has been calculated for each grid cell.

\( N_I \) and \( N_J \): The central value of the range defined in Hornung et al. (1995; Ch. 4, Table 1), has been applied.

\( N_K \) and \( N_{de} \): Data on vegetation growth and soil moisture were provided by experts of the Italian Ministry of Agriculture and Forests.

\( N_{fix} \) and \( N_{burn} \): Precipitation data have been derived by overlaying the 1:1,000,000 Map of Annual Average Precipitation for 1921–1950 (provided by the Ministry of Public Works) to the Map of Italian Vegetation. Data on the frequency of fires are from an assessment of the total burned surface for 1982–1993 carried out by the Italian Ministry of Agriculture and Forests.

\( N_{vol} \): For temperate coniferous and Mediterranean forests, a value of 0.25 was used. All other areas have been assigned a value of zero.

\( BC_{dep} \) and \( BC_n \): Data have been taken from EMEP data (in Downing et al. 1993, Figs. A.2.2.-A.2.3.).

**Deposition**: Data on sulfur and nitrogen deposition are provided by the Politecnico of Milan. Deposition data have been calculated by RAINS model based on Italian CORINAIR 90 emissions data. Deposition data for the year 1990 have been applied in the critical load calculations.
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Figure IT-1. Maximum critical load of sulfur (5th percentile).

Figure IT-2. Minimum critical load of nitrogen (5th percentile).
Figure IT-3. Maximum critical load of nitrogen (5th percentile).

Figure IT-4. Critical load of nutrient nitrogen (5th percentile).
Figure IT-5. Exceedance of the maximum critical load of nitrogen, 1990 (5th percentile).

Figure IT-6. Exceedance of the critical load of nutrient nitrogen, 1990 (5th percentile).
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National Maps Produced

All maps using the Steady-state Mass Balance (SMB) method:
- Critical load of nutrient nitrogen for forest soils
- Critical load of nitrogen for forest soils: minimum and maximum values
- Critical load of sulfur for forest soils: minimum and maximum values

Calculation Methods

Critical loads of nitrogen as a nutrient, CL_nit(N), and maximum and minimum values for the critical loads of N and S are calculated according to the 1993 CCE Status Report (Downing et al. 1993; Eq. 4.18; Eq. 4.35; Eq. 4.30; Eq. 4.29 and Eq. 4.38):

\[ CL_{nit}(N) = N_u + N_{lcrit} + NO_3_{le(crit)} / (1 - f_{de}) \]  
\[ CL_{max}(N) = N_u + N_{lcrit} + (BC_{dep}^c + BC_w - BC_u + AC_{le(crit)}) / (1 - f_{de}) \]  
\[ CL_{min}(N) = N_u + N_{lcrit} \]  
\[ CL_{nat}(S) = BC_{dep}^c + BC_w - BC_u + AC_{le(crit)} \]

\[ BC_{dep}^c = \text{the seawater-corrected total deposition flux of base cations} \]

\[ BC_w = \text{base cation weathering flux} \]

\[ BC_u = \text{are the growth uptake fluxes (net uptake needed for forest growth of base cations and nitrogen respectively)} \]

\[ N_u = \text{growth uptake fluxes (net uptake needed for forest growth of base cations and nitrogen respectively)} \]

\[ N_{lcrit} = \text{critical long-term nitrogen immobilization flux} \]

\[ AC_{le(crit)} = \text{critical leaching flux of acidity} \]

\[ f_{de} = \text{denitrification fraction} \]

\[ NO_3_{le(crit)} = \text{critical NO}_3\text{ leaching flux} \]

Since the calculation of the critical loads presented in the 1995 CCE Status Report, various changes have been made to calculate critical loads of acidity and nitrogen for Dutch forests soils. These changes are mainly related to the criteria used to calculate a critical leaching flux of acidity and nitrogen (cf. de Vries, 1996):

- A critical Al concentration has no longer been used to calculate critical loads of acidity, since laboratory experiments for correlative field research and model results do not unambiguously show a critical value in relation to root and/or shoot damage.
- A critical Al/Ca ratio has been changed into a critical Al/(Ca+Mg+K) ratio, since a review of results from laboratory experiments shows that this parameter gives the best correlation with root and/or shoot damage (Sverdrup and Warfvinge 1993). Based on this review, critical Al/base cation ratios have no longer been applied uniformly, but are assigned as a function of tree species.
- A critical NO_3 concentration in leaching water related to the occurrence of vegetation changes has no longer been applied, since such changes may already occur at nearly natural low NO_3 leaching fluxes. Such a low flux (100 mol c ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\)) has now been used instead in the calculation.

The critical acidity leaching flux, AC_{le(crit)}^c, was calculated as the sum of Al leaching and H leaching. Two options were now used for the calculation of the critical Al leaching flux, AC_{le(crit)} (de Vries 1996):
1) a criterion for the molar Al/(Ca+Mg+K) ratio in the root zone:

\[ A_{\text{le(crit)}} = RA_{\text{BC(crit)}} (BC_{\text{dep}} + BC_{\text{w}} - BC_{\text{a}}) \] (6)

2) a negligible depletion of Al hydroxides:

\[ A_{\text{le(crit)}} = 3-Ca_{\text{a}} + 0.6-Mg_{\text{a}} + 3.0-K_{\text{w}} + 3.0-Na_{\text{w}} \] (7)

where \( RA_{\text{BC(crit)}} \) is a critical equivalent Al/(Ca+Mg+K) ratio (mol \( \text{L}^{-1} \)), \( Ca_{\text{w}}, Mg_{\text{w}}, K_{\text{w}} \)

and \( Na_{\text{w}} \) are the weathering rates of Ca, Mg, K and Na respectively, and the factors (3, 0.6, 3 and 3) refer to the stoichiometric equivalent ratio of Al to Ca, Mg, K and Na respectively. These stoichiometric ratios are based on Microline (K), Albite (Na), Anorthite (Ca) and Chlorite (Mg).

The value of \( A_{\text{le(crit)}} \) used for the critical load calculation was the minimum value calculated by Eqs. 6 and 7. The critical H leaching flux, \( H_{\text{le(crit)}} \)

was calculated as:

\[ H_{\text{le(crit)}} = PS^{0.3} |H|_{\text{c(crit)}} \] (8)

where PS is the precipitation surplus leaving the rootzone (m\(^3\) ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\)) and \( |H|_{\text{c(crit)}} \) is a critical H concentration, which is related to the critical Al concentration according to:

\[ |H|_{\text{c(crit)}} = (K_{\text{Al(eq)}} / K_{\text{Al(eq)}})^{0.33} \] (9)

where \( K_{\text{Al(eq)}} \) is the Al hydroxide equilibrium constant (108 mol \( \text{L}^{-1} \)). The value of the critical Al concentration is determined by the critical Al leaching flux divided by the water flux (precipitation surplus).

The critical nitrate leaching flux was set at a nearly natural value of 100 mol \( \text{L}^{-1} \) ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\).

Data Sources

**Application methodology:** Critical loads were calculated for all major combinations of tree species (12) and soil types (23) in grid cells of 1 km \( \times \) 1 km, instead of the 10 km \( \times \) 10 km grids used in previous applications, as deposition estimates now exist at this finer scale (Erisman et al. 1995). Tree species included (with percentage of area) were: *Pinus sylvestris* (Scots pine; 38.2%), *Pinus nigra* (black pine; 5.9%), *Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Douglas fir; 5.5%), *Picea abies* (Norway spruce; 5.1%), *Larix leptolepis* (Japanese larch; 5.7%), *Quercus robur* (oak; 17.4%), *Fagus sylvatica* (beech; 4.1%), *Populus spec* (poplar; 4.6%), *Salix spec* (willow; 2.4%), *Betula pendula* (birch; 7.4%), *Fraxinus excelsior* (ash; 1.9%) and *Alnus glutinosa* (black alder; 1.9%). Soil types were differentiated in 18 non-calcareous sandy soils, calcareous sandy soils, loess soils, non-calcareous clay soils, calcareous clay soils and peat soils on the basis of a recent 1: 250,000 soil map of the Netherlands.

Information on the area (distribution) of each specific forest-soil combination in each grid cell containing forest was derived by overlaying the digitized 1: 250,000 soil map with a spatial resolution of 100 m \( \times \) 100 m, and a data base with tree species information, with a spatial resolution of 500 m \( \times \) 500 m. The total number of forest soil combinations for all 1km \( \times \) 1km grids, i.e. the total number of SMB calculations totals 122,847. The number of forest/soil combinations (calculations) in a grid varied between 1 and 47.

**Assessment of input data:** Input data for the SMB model can be divided in system inputs and parameters. These data were derived as a function of location (deposition area) and receptor (the combination of tree species and soil type) as shown in Table NL-1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data on:</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Tree Species</th>
<th>Soil Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BC weathering</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth uptake</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denitification</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evapotranspiration</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“-” means that an influence does exist but the effect was either considered negligible or information was too scarce to include the effect.

Data for all forest/soil combinations within each grid cell were derived by using (or deriving) relationships (transfer functions) with basic land characteristics such as tree species and soil type, that were available in geographic information systems.

**Base cation deposition:** Bulk deposition data for base cations for a 1km \( \times \) 1km grid were interpolated from 14 monitoring stations for 1993. However, bulk deposition only includes wet deposition (and a very small part of dry deposition). Dry deposition
was calculated by multiplying base cation concentrations in the bulk (wet) deposition by a scavenging ratio to estimate air concentration fields. These air concentrations were in turn multiplied by a deposition velocity, depending (inter alia) on meteorology and land use, using the model DEADM (Erisman and Bleeker 1995). An estimate of seasalt inputs of CI and SO₄ was made by assuming an equivalent CI/Na and SO₄/Na ratio in both bulk deposition and dry deposition equal to these ratios in seawater, namely 1.165 for CI and 0.116 for SO₄. Both CI and seasalt SO₄ were subtracted from the total base cation deposition values to derive seasalt-corrected base cation inputs. In the previous application, dry deposition had been calculated by multiplying the bulk (wet) deposition by a dry deposition factor.

Weathering rates: Mineral weathering rates of base cations have been related to the root zone, which has a thickness between 60 and 80 cm depending upon soil type. Values for non-calcareous sandy soils included in the regional application were derived from one-year batch experiments that were scaled to field data by dividing them by a factor of 50. This value is based on a comparison of laboratory and field weathering rates, estimated by the depletion of base cations in the soil profile (Hootsman and Van Uffelen 1991). For loess, clay and peat soils, an indicative value has been derived from literature.

Uptake: In deriving critical acid loads, the uptake of nitrogen (Nₜₜ) and base cations (BCₜₜ) was calculated based on the concept of nutrient-limited uptake, which is defined as that uptake that can be balanced by a long-term supply of base cations. This amount, referred to as the critical base cation uptake, BCₜₜ is calculated from mass balances for each base cation (Ca, Mg and K) separately, as total deposition and weathering minus a minimum leaching of BC. We used a minimum leaching of 50 mol·ha⁻¹·yr⁻¹ for Ca and Mg and 0 mol·ha⁻¹·yr⁻¹ for K. From the critical base cation uptake, the corresponding critical N uptake (Nₜₜ) is calculated from the ratio between each cation and nitrogen in the biomass (cf. Posch et al. 1993, Eqs. 4.7 and 4.8).

In deriving critical nitrogen loads related to vegetation changes, Nₜₜ was calculated according to the concept of growth-limited uptake. Growth-limited uptake is calculated by multiplying the stem growth rate (m²·ha⁻¹·yr⁻¹) by the stem density (kg·m⁻³) and the element contents in stems (mol·kg⁻¹). Forest growth estimates for all relevant combinations of forest and soil types and the content of N, K, Ca and Mg in stems are based on a literature survey for all tree species considered. The reasons for using different concepts in deriving nutrient uptake are explained in more detail in de Vries (1996).

Nitrogen immobilization: The critical N immobilization rate is calculated by accepting a change of 0.2% of nitrogen in organic matter in the upper soil layer (0–30 cm) during one rotation period (100 years). The pool of organic matter (OM_pool in kg·ha⁻¹) in this layer is calculated by multiplying the thickness of the soil layer (0.3 m), with the bulk density of the soil layer (kg·m⁻³) and the fraction of organic matter. Bulk density is calculated as a function of organic matter and clay content (cf. van der Salm et al. 1993). Data for the contents of clay and organic matter are based on field surveys of 250 forest soils (150 sandy soils, 40 loess, 30 clay and 30 peat). Immobilization rates increase with higher organic matter contents, and generally range between 100 and 350 mol·ha⁻¹·yr⁻¹. These values correspond well with a range of between 2 and 5 kg·ha⁻¹·yr⁻¹ mentioned by Posch et al. (1993).

Denitrification: Denitrification fractions were derived for each soil type based on data in Breeuwsma et al. (1991) for agricultural soils. These data were corrected for the more acidic forest soils. Values thus derived varied between 0.1 for well-drained sandy soils to 0.8 for peat soils (cf. de Vries et al. 1994, de Vries 1996).

Precipitation and evapotranspiration: Precipitation estimates have been derived from 280 weather stations in the Netherlands, using interpolation techniques to obtain values for each grid. Interception fractions, relating interception to precipitation, have been derived from literature data for all tree species considered. Data for evaporation and transpiration have been calculated for all combinations of tree species and soil types with a separate hydrological model (cf. de Vries et al. 1994, de Vries 1996).

Comments and Conclusions

Figures NL-1 to NL-3 contains 5 percentile and median (50 percentile) maps of the following critical loads for forest soils in the Netherlands (for 1 km x 1 km grid cells):
- nitrogen as a nutrient
- nitrogen as an acidifying pollutant (minimum)
- sulfur as an acidifying pollutant (maximum)

Maximum critical loads of nitrogen as an acidifying pollutant nearly always exceeded those for nitrogen as a nutrient, and thus has not been included in the present report.

Values vary as a function of soil type as shown in Table NL-2. Relatively low critical loads of both nitrogen as a nutrient and sulfur are calculated for forests on non-calcareous sandy soils, which mainly occur in the central, eastern and southern part of the country. Intermediate values for the critical loads of N and S were calculated for the loess soils in the southernmost part of the Netherlands and the clay soils in the western part of the country. High critical loads of N and low critical loads of S were calculated for the peat soils in the western part of the country. More information on the cause of the differences is given in de Vries (1996). Compared to the national maps presented in the CCE Status Report 1995, the present critical loads are changed in that:
- The critical loads were calculated on a 1km x 1km scale instead of the 10km x 10km used previously.
- A different approach was used for to calculate N uptake in relation to critical acid loads and to critical nitrogen loads.
- A critical Al concentration has no longer been used to calculate critical loads of acidity since laboratory experiments for correlative field research and model results do not unambiguously show a critical value in relation to root and/or shoot damage.
- A critical Al/Ca ratio has been changed into a critical Al/(Ca+Mg+K) ratio, since a review of results form laboratory experiments shows that this parameter gives the best correlation with root and/or shoot damage (Sverdrup and Warfvinge 1993). Based on this review, critical Al:base cation ratios have no longer been applied uniformly, but are assigned as a function of tree species.

The total deposition of base cations has now been based on results of the DEADM model. In the former application, these values were based on bulk deposition of data for base cations from 14 monitoring stations during the period 1978–1985, multiplied by a dry deposition factor.

A critical NO$_3$ concentration in leaching water related to the occurrence of vegetation changes has no longer been applied, since such changes may already occur at nearly natural low NO$_3$ leaching fluxes. Such a low flux (100 mol ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$) has now been used instead in the calculation.

---
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Table NL-2. 5, 50 and 95 percentile values of critical loads (mol c$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$) for nitrogen and sulfur for Dutch forests on non-calcareous soils.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil type</th>
<th>$CL_{min}$(N) 5%</th>
<th>$CL_{min}$(N) 50%</th>
<th>$CL_{min}$(N) 95%</th>
<th>$CL_{max}$(S) 5%</th>
<th>$CL_{max}$(S) 50%</th>
<th>$CL_{max}$(S) 95%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>903</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loess</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>1254</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>806</td>
<td>1144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>955</td>
<td>1657</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>1325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peat</td>
<td>1127</td>
<td>1323</td>
<td>1875</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>823</td>
<td>1375</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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Fig NL-1. Critical load of nitrogen as a nutrient (5 percentile and median).

Fig NL-2. Critical load of nitrogen as an acidifying pollutant (minimum) (5 percentile and median).
Fig NL-3. Critical load of sulfur as an acidifying pollutant (maximum) (5 percentile and median).
2. The critical load function (the FAB model) (Posch et al. 1997) has been used for a preliminary calculation of the required reduction requirements for S and N for each of the Norwegian grids at present deposition and according to current reduction plans for sulfur in 2010 (see Posch et al. 1995).

Grid Size: Each 1° longitude by 0.5° latitude grid was divided into 4 x 4 subgrids, each covering about 12 x 12 km² in southern Norway (with decreasing grid width at higher latitudes). The land area covered by each of the 2315 grids has been calculated.

Data sources

National regional lake surveys and monitoring programs.

Precipitation: A weighted average total deposition value for each EMEP50 grid cell has been calculated from ambient air concentrations and wet deposition, taking land use data (coverage of different receptors) into account (Tørsseth and Pedersen 1994). Weighted means for the period 1988–1992 were used. The deposition values for each of the surface water grids (see above) was estimated from the NILU database.

Water: The chemistry of surface water within a subgrid was estimated by comparing available water chemistry data for lakes and rivers within each grid. The chemistry of the lake that was judged to be the most typical was chosen to represent the grid. If there were wide variations within a subgrid, the most sensitive area was selected if it amounted to more than 25% of the grid’s area. Sensitivity was evaluated on the basis of water chemistry, topography and bedrock geology. Geology was determined from the geological map of Norway (1:1,000,000) prepared by the Norwegian Geological Survey. Mean annual runoff data is from runoff maps prepared by the Norwegian Water and Energy Works. The database was revised in February 1995 and in October 1996.

National Maps Produced

Surface waters, forest soils, vegetation.

A. Surface Waters

Calculation methods

1. The Steady State Water Chemistry (SSWC) method (UBA 1996) was used to calculate critical loads of acidity, using a variable \(\text{ANC}_{\text{limit}}\) (Henriksen et al. 1995).
B. Forest Soils

Calculation methods

The MAGIC dynamic model (Model of Acidification of Groundwater in Catchments, Cosby et al. 1985a,b) was used to produce maps for critical loads of acidity and exceedance for sulfur and nitrogen to forest soils. The criterion used was an Ca/AI molar ratio of 1.0 in the upper 60 cm of soil.

Grid size: The same grid system as for surface waters was used. Of these 706 grids are in productive forests, both coniferous (spruce, pine) and deciduous (birch). The remaining grids cover unproductive forests and non-forested areas, for which critical loads for forest soils cannot be calculated.

Data Sources

National monitoring data.

Precipitation: The same data as for surface waters was used.

Soil: The calculations are based on data from the NIJOS (Norwegian Institute of Land Inventory) forest monitoring plots on a 9 x 9 km grid and on the surface water data base referred to above. All input data are aggregated to the 12 x 12 km grid net. The NIJOS soils data are from areas in productive spruce and pine forests. A soil pit was objectively located within the representative vegetation type five meters from the plot center in the 9 x 9 km grid. The soil pit was dug to at least 50 cm where possible. Soil profile samples were taken and analyzed according to standard procedures.

C. Critical Loads For Nutrient Nitrogen - Vegetation

Calculation Methods

Critical loads of nutrient nitrogen for vegetation has been estimated for Norway using empirically derived values for vegetation types. The vegetation types are taken from a 3 x 3 km² network of forest sample plots as recorded by the National Forest Inventory. The same grid system as for water and soil (see above) was used. The data base was updated in 1996; at present 74% of the country has been mapped.

The following critical load values for the vegetation types have been used:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vegetation type</th>
<th>Critical load value (in kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ombrotrophic bog</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coniferous forest</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deciduous forest</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calluna heath</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. Critical Levels of Ozone

Exceedances of critical levels for ozone have been mapped according to the recommendations from the 1993 UN/ECE workshop in Bern, by a working group supported by the Nordic Council of Ministers (NMR). Maps showing calculated AOT40 values for agricultural crops and for forests in Scandinavia are reported (Lövblad et al. 1996).

Ongoing Work

Regional lake surveys

The Nordic countries have carried out several national lake surveys during the 1980's, and one of the major uses of these data has been the assessment of critical loads for surface waters (see Henriksen et al. 1996, Posch et al. 1997). In the fall of 1995 the Nordic countries decided to carry out a joint Nordic Lake Survey, and an integrated approach was adopted for providing additional data. The Nordic Council of Ministers (NMR), which has supported projects dealing with critical loads since 1985, established a working group which concluded that any integrated program of sampling would be greatly enhanced if adjacent countries with similar lake properties were included. With financial support from Norway and Finland, Russian Kola, Russian Karelia, Scotland and Wales joined Norway, Sweden and Finland in the common lake survey.

The lakes were statistically selected in such a way that they should represent the total lake population in each country (Henriksen et al. 1996). Sampling was carried out between September 1995 and January 1996 using the identical statistical lake selection criteria and harmonized sampling procedures. To complete the Nordic area, a subset of lakes in Denmark (not statistically selected) was sampled in the fall of 1996. The samples were
analysed for all major components, total nitrogen, total phosphorus and a selection of heavy metals and trace elements.

The main objectives of this integrated lake survey were to:
- assess general water quality,
- document the occurrence and regional variation in acidification,
- establish a new baseline of chemical data to follow up future effects of the 1994 Sulfur Protocol,
- establish the effects of nitrogen deposition on lake water chemistry in connection with the development of critical loads of nitrogen; and
- assess eutrophication status and the levels of heavy metals.

Major results from the survey have already been published (Henriksen et al. 1997). It is planned to evaluate heavy metals and trace elements for all countries in 1998. Figures NO-4 and NO-5 show the concentrations of Cd and Pb in Norwegian lakes.

In addition to water chemistry, the following information for all Nordic lakes has been collected: lake location, elevation and surface area, as well as catchment characteristics such as catchment area, size of upstream lakes, coniferous and deciduous forest area, peatland area, agricultural area, open area, municipalities, point sources (categories, load), liming (yes/no) and runoff (long-term annual mean value). For forests, net growth uptake of N by forests (annual mean value) and deposition of S, NO$_3$-N, NH$_4$-N, Ca, Mg, Na, K (annual mean values) were also included. This information will be used to derive the critical load function for each lake (Posch et al. 1997), and to calculate the required reduction requirements for S and N for the Nordic lakes at present deposition and according to current reduction plans for sulfur in 2010.

![Critical load of acidity - surface waters](image)

**Figure NO-1.** Frequency distributions of critical loads of acidity for the Nordic countries. (The Danish lakes were not statistically selected.)
Critical loads and exceedances in the Nordic countries: Critical loads of acidity have been calculated for the lakes in Finland, Norway, Sweden, Russian Kola and Denmark using the SSWC method. Figure NO-1 illustrates the frequency distributions of critical loads for the five regions.

The critical load exceedances for sulfur are illustrated below, using national deposition data (see Henriksen et al. 1997 for further details). The Danish lakes were not statistically selected.

![Diagram showing percent of lake population exceeded across different countries and regions](image)
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Figure NO-2. (left) Critical loads of nutrient nitrogen, based on vegetation types (updated 1996).
Figure NO-3. (right) Exceedance of critical loads of nutrient nitrogen based on weighted mean deposition data for 1988-1992.
Figure NO-4. (left) Concentrations of cadmium (Cd) in Norwegian lakes, 1995.
Figure NO-5. (right) Concentrations of lead (Pb) in Norwegian lakes, 1995.
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Calculation Methods

The following critical loads for forest soils have been calculated using the SSMB method outlined in the CCE 1995 Status Report (Posch et al. 1995):
- Maximum critical loads of sulfur
- Minimum critical loads of nitrogen
- Maximum critical loads of nitrogen
- Critical loads of nutrient nitrogen

For high-elevation areas, the relevant modification of the SSMB method was applied (UBA Vienna 1993). The maps were produced for grids of 0.20° × 0.10° long/lat (nearly 10 × 10 km²). 1958 receptor points covered by forests divided into coniferous and deciduous species.

Soils: The dominating types of soil in particular grids were adopted on the basis of data from the Polish Soil Atlas (1:300,000). Forty types of predominant soils in Poland were included in the calculations, and adequate values of base cation weathering were attributed to them according to the Mapping Vademecum procedure.

Meteorology: The data concerning precipitation, runoff and average annual temperature was obtained from the Hydrological Atlas of Poland published by the Institute of Meteorology and Water Management.

Forests: The data concerning the spatial location of forests was based on the Forest Map of Poland, edited by the Forest Management and Geodesy Office. Data concerning forest resources, growth and age of trees was obtained from the data bank of the Forest Management and Geodesy Office. For calculating critical loads, all grids in which the percentage of forest area in the grid was greater than zero were considered to be forested.

Uptake: The uptake of base cations and nitrogen was calculated as the minimum of growth-limited uptake and nutrient-limited uptake. The stem growth rate, the stem density and the elements content in stems were obtained from the data bank of the Forest Management and Geodesy Office.

Critical nitrogen leaching: The limiting concentrations of nitrogen for coniferous and deciduous trees suggested in the CCE Status Report 1993 (Downing et al. 1993) were taken for calculations.

Nitrogen immobilization: The long-term immobilization factor was set to 214 eq ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ as a representative value for Polish conditions.

Denitrification: The constant denitrification fraction was used to estimate the denitrification rate, which is below 1 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ for most forest sites.

Data Sources

Most of the input data used to determine the critical loads values was taken or generated from national data sources as reported in Mill and Wojcik (1996).

Comments and Conclusions

The growing interest of Polish policy makers in the critical loads concept as a tool for environmental policy development and planning, as well as the
recent development of forest monitoring, has uncovered new perspectives for the application of more advanced methods for critical loads estimation than those used to date. This refinements include a substitution of the one-layer Simple Mass Balance method by the use of multi-layer models, and later, dynamic models. The so-called “intensive” monitoring system for forests will produce most of the empirical parameters necessary for critical loads calculations by the use of these more sophisticated methods. This kind of monitoring system has been launched in November 1995 by the Forest Research Institute and the State Inspectorate of Environmental Protection, and the first officially submitted results for 1996 are expected in the middle of 1997.

References


Figure PL-1. Maximum critical loads of sulfur.

Figure PL-2. Minimum critical loads of nitrogen.
Figure PL-3. Maximum critical loads of nitrogen.

Figure PL-4. Critical loads of nutrient nitrogen.
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Calculation Methods

Critical loads of nutrient and acidifying nitrogen as well as for sulfur and acidity have been calculated for various ecosystems in the European part of Russia based on modified steady-state mass balance (SMB) equations. Due to the large dimensions of the area, all calculations and mapping procedures have been carried out using geoinformation system with elements of a simplified expert-modeling system (Bashkin et al. 1995a,b, 1996a,b; Kozlov et al. 1995). The initial information consisted of regionalized geological, geochemical, geobotanic, landscape, soil, hydrochemical, biogeochemical, hydrological, and other data. For each elemental taxon, the main links of biogeochemical cycles of N, S and base cations (BC) have been quantitatively characterized based on available case studies. The grid cells were 2′ × 2′. Figures RU-1 through RU-4 present the 5-percentile data.

Data Sources

Geographical: The information on soil cover has been extracted from the digitized FAO Soil Map on a scale of 2′ × 2′ (FAO 1989). Calculations have been carried out for every soil type and subtype and corresponding terrestrial ecosystems. The land use information has been extracted from CORINAIR. Data for 14,251 points has been provided to the CCE.

The quantitative assessment and mapping of sulfur and nitrogen critical loads was carried out on the basis of number of regional parameters for a given ecosystem. For the European part of Russia the following data bases were identified:

- The inventory of values of surface runoff of nitrogen and phosphorus (Kondratjev and Koplan-Dix 1988)
- Biogeochemical regionalization of terrestrial and freshwater ecosystems (Kovalsky 1974, Bashkin et al. 1993)
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Figure RU-1. (left) Critical loads of maximum sulfur for terrestrial ecosystems in the European part of Russia.
Figure RU-2. (right) Critical loads of minimum nitrogen for terrestrial ecosystems in the European part of Russia.
Figure RU-3. (left) Critical loads of maximum nitrogen for terrestrial ecosystems in the European part of Russia.
Figure RU-4. (right) Critical loads of nutrient nitrogen for terrestrial ecosystems in the European part of Russia.
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Calculation Methods

A simple mass balance model has been applied to calculate the following critical loads for forest soils:
1. Critical loads of acidity
2. Maximum critical loads of sulfur
3. Minimum critical loads of nitrogen
4. Maximum critical loads of nitrogen
5. Critical loads of nutrient nitrogen

The grid used has a resolution of 5 × 5 km² covering all of Spain, totaling 3409 grid cells which contain forest soils.

The critical loads related to acidity (\(CL_{max}(S)\), \(CL_{min}(N)\) and \(CL_{max}(N)\)) and the critical load of nutrient nitrogen (\(CL_{nut}(N)\)), measured in eq ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\), have been calculated as follows (Downing et al. 1993):

\[
CL_{max}(S) = CL(A) + B_{dep} - B_{up}
\]

\[
CL_{min}(N) = N_a + N_i
\]

\[
CL_{max}(N) = N_a + N_i + CL_{max}(S) / (1 - f_{de})
\]

\(f_{de}\) values have been related to the soil according to the method proposed by de Vries et al. (1993).

\[
CL_{nut}(N) = N_a + N_i + N_{le(cru)} / (1 - f_{de})
\]

Data Sources

The mapping and data management have been carried out using a geographic information system. A summary of the data sources is presented in Table ES-1.

Vegetation: Data have been obtained from the CORINE land cover data base (European Commission, 1985). This data base contains 64 different types of land cover from which seven have been selected and grouped as coniferous, deciduous and mixed forest.

Soils: The data for the dominant parent material have been obtained from the lithology map (Macías, in press) and the texture class from the FAO-UNESCO soil map (1981).
Table ES-1. Summary of data sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forests</td>
<td>Cartography, Growth rate, Nutrient uptake, Element content</td>
<td>CORINE, Calculated (CORINE, ICONA), de Vries et al. (1993)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climatology</td>
<td>Precipitation, Temperature</td>
<td>National Climatology Atlas (1992)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrology</td>
<td>Evapotranspiration, Runoff</td>
<td>Calculated (Turg Method), Calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
<td>N immobilization, Denitrification factor, Critical uptake, Critical leaching</td>
<td>Downing et al. (1993), de Vries et al. (1993), Calculated, Calculated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base Cation Deposition</td>
<td>Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺</td>
<td>Calculated from EMEP stations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Climatological data:** Temperature and precipitation data have been obtained from the National Climatological Atlas (MOPT 1992).

**Nutrient uptake:** Base cation and nitrogen uptake have been calculated considering the growth rate and the element contents according to de Vries et al. (1993). Forest growth estimates are based on the National Forest Inventory (Instituto para la Conservación de la Naturaleza), which presents the annual biomass increment for each type of forest in the different Spanish provinces.

The combination of this data with the seven CORINE classes allows a growth rate value to be assigned to each type of forest. The forest biomass increment has been obtained from the First National Forest Inventory (1965–1974, for 14 provinces), the Second National Forest Inventory (1986–1995, for 31 provinces) and the Forest Inventory for the Basque Country (1988, 3 provinces).

Base cation uptake has been determined as the minimum of the nutrient-limited uptake and the uptake estimated from growth data according to Posch et al. (1995).

Nitrogen uptake has been calculated depending on the base cation uptake. In the first case, if the minimum base cation level depends on the availability of the base cations in soil solution, nitrogen uptake has been estimated as:

\[ N_{n}^{(n)} = \frac{BC_{n}^{(n)}}{x_{BC:N}} \]

where \( x_{BC:N} \) is the base cation to nitrogen ratio for the vegetation type. The \( x_{BC:N} \) value for the various ecosystems has been extracted from Downing et al. (1993). In the second case, the minimum base cation uptake has been estimated depending on the growth rate (gr):

\[ N_{n}^{(gr)} = gr \cdot ctN \]

where \( ctN = \) nitrogen content in the vegetation (in eq ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹). The data on the nitrogen content in trees have been extracted from de Vries et al. (1993) for coniferous and deciduous forests.

**Weathering rate:** The weathering rate for forest soils is based on the dominant parent material and the texture class (de Vries et al. 1993). The dominant parent material is obtained from the lithology map of Spain and the texture class is taken from the FAO soil map for Europe.

The lithology map of Spain contains fourteen substrate classes which were grouped into the five main classes described in the methodology according to Hettelinghe and de Vries (1991). Acidic rocks cover some 17%, intermediate rocks 14%, basic rocks 1% and ultrabasic rocks 67%. The remaining area consists of water surfaces.
The texture classes defined in the FAO soil map for Europe have been converted as proposed by Hettelingh and de Vries (1991). Furthermore, the proposed conversion between parent material class, texture class and weathering rate is according to de Vries et al. (1993).

The proposed weathering rates have therefore been corrected incorporating the effect of temperature (Sverdrup, 1990). The value for the weathering rate has been calculated assuming a standard soil depth for forests of 0.5 m. The relative distribution of the weathering rates for forest ecosystems is concentrated within certain weathering rate classes, as shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weathering Rate Range (eq ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Frequency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–250</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250–500</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500–750</td>
<td>18.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750–1000</td>
<td>16.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000–1250</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1250–1500</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500–1750</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1750–2000</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>61.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Precipitation surplus:** Has been calculated as the precipitation minus the actual evapotranspiration:

$$Q = P - ET_a$$

where $ET_a$ has been estimated according to the Turc method.

**Base cation deposition:** Total base cation deposition is determined according to the assumption that the chloride neutralizes potassium and sodium deposition (de Vries et al. 1993). Therefore the total deposition accounts for the calcium and magnesium. Wet deposition of calcium and magnesium has been calculated using the average of the mean annual values (1989–1992) from existing EMEP stations in Spain and neighboring countries. Grid values have been derived by interpolating the EMEP station data. To obtain total deposition, wet deposition values have been corrected with a dry deposition factor according to the type of vegetation. All calculations have been done according to de Vries et al. (1993).

**Comments and Conclusions**

The maps of critical loads are shown in Figures ES-1 through ES-4, and the frequency distribution of the values is shown in Table ES-2.

**Table ES-2. Distribution of critical load values in Spain (in percent).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>eq ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$</th>
<th>CL(A)</th>
<th>CL$_{min}$ (S)</th>
<th>CL$_{min}$ (N)</th>
<th>CL$_{max}$ (N)</th>
<th>CL$_{max}$ (N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;200</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200–500</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>73.34</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>57.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500–1000</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>25.23</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>32.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000–2000</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>10.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2000</td>
<td>98.47</td>
<td>98.56</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>99.97</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure ES-1. Maximum critical loads of sulfur for forest ecosystems.

Figure ES-2. Minimum critical loads of nitrogen for forest ecosystems.
Figure ES-3. Maximum critical loads of nitrogen for forest ecosystems.

Figure ES-4. Critical loads of nutrient nitrogen for forest ecosystems.
Critical loads of acidity, sulfur and acidifying nitrogen for forest ecosystems: were calculated using the Steady-state Mass Balance approach implemented in the PROFILE model, which allows for an iterative consideration of feedback between soil processes and soil chemistry. The soil profile is divided into four layers using input data for the thickness of each soil layer (O, A/E, B, C). A critical base cation to Al molar ratio of one (1) in the soil solution was used as the chemical criterion in each soil horizon, and used to determine the critical ANC leaching. The PROFILE model contains the following chemical subsystems: deposition, leaching, accumulation of dissolved chemical components, weathering kinetics, adsorption/desorption of inorganic nitrogen and base cations, nitrification, denitrification, canopy exchange, litterfall, immobilization and N mineralization.

Chemical feedback on weathering, uptake, nitrification and denitrification is included in the model, as are soil solution equilibrium reactions involving the carbonate system as well as speciation and complexing of Al and organic acids.

Critical loads of sulfur and acidifying nitrogen for freshwater ecosystems: were calculated using the First-order Acidity Balance (FAB) model as described in Henriksen et al. (1993) and Posch (1995). The net base cation leaching was computed using a variable $\text{ANC}_{\text{lim}}$ according to Henriksen et al. (1995). All the catchment-specific parameters were selected according to Henriksen et al. (1993) and Posch (1995).

The critical load of nutrient nitrogen: for forest soils, $CL_{\text{lim}}(N)$, was calculated using the steady-state mass balance approach according to the equation:

$CL(N) = N_u + N_i + N_{de} + N_{(f \text{crit})}$

The long-term uptake of N was calculated as the net uptake in forest biomass balanced by the supply of
base cations and phosphorus from weathering and deposition. This criterion is introduced to avoid long-term nutrient imbalances in forest trees. The supply of different cations from weathering was calculated using the PROFILE model.

N immobilization was determined by a semi-empirical approach. Immobilization + leaching is assumed to be linearly related to N deposition, and was set to a maximum of 12 kg N ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ and a mean of 8 kg N ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ for southern Sweden at present deposition levels. These values are based on results from N mass balance studies performed in a range of Swedish coniferous forests. For pre-industrial conditions, a long-term immobilization + leaching rate of 0.5–1.5 kg N ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ was used. This level was derived from chronosequence studies of Swedish forest soil (Rosén et al. 1992). The immobilization rate for each site was then scaled down from present levels to the one pertaining at critical N deposition using an iterative procedure. Denitrification was calculated using the Sverdrup-Linneson equation as given in the UN/ECE mapping manual (UBA 1996).

**Mapping:** When calculating protection isolines for a grid cell, forest and lake ecosystems were given equal weight, i.e. the weight assigned to each lake or forest site measured within a grid cell was adjusted so that the total weight of lake ecosystems was equal to that of forest ecosystems in that grid cell. This was done by assigning each lake a weight (km$^2$) equal to half the cell ecosystem area divided by the number of lakes in that cell. For the forest sites, the weights based on the Swedish Forest Inventory were rescaled up to half the cell ecosystem area. To account for cell areas not at risk from acid deposition, 10% of each cell area was subtracted when calculating the cell ecosystem area.

**Data sources**

**Deposition:** Data used to calculate deposition of sulfur, nitrogen and base cations include:
- Wet deposition monitoring data from the national monitoring network from 30 stations for precipitation chemistry and 700 stations for precipitation amount.
- Throughfall monitoring data from regional forests surveys from approximately 100 sites.
- Air concentrations from six EMEP air chemistry stations in Sweden.
- Air concentrations from approximately 30 sites with passive sampling of SO$_2$ and NO$_2$.
- Land use data from the Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences.

**Forest soils:** The forest soil data used is based on samplings made within the Swedish Forest Inventory between 1983-1987 (Kempe et al. 1992). This inventory consists of a network of stations evenly spread over Sweden. Soil samples down to ca. 60 cm depth were collected at 1804 sites. Absolute soil mineralogy for 124 sites was measured by the Swedish Geological Survey in Uppsala, and 15 samples by the Czech Geological Survey, Prague. Total element analyses was determined for all 1804 sites, using wet chemistry methods for Ca, Mg, Na, K, Al, Si, Fe, Ti and trace elements. The UPPSALA model was then used to reconstruct mineralogy from total analyses (Sverdrup and Warftvinge 1995). The calculations were checked by calculating the amount of quartz in the soil samples and adding all components, accepting all samples where the estimated total content fell within 95–110%.

Texture was measured by granulometry and BET/adsorption analysis on subsamples from 124 sites. The texture for all 1804 sites were then read from an empirically derived relationship between field classification of soil texture and laboratory measurements.

Forest growth, soil type and moisture class were measured on all forest sites. The long-term net uptake was calculated from measurements of base cations and nitrogen concentrations in stem and branches combined with estimates of production over a the life span of a tree.

Several other parameters such as CO$_2$ pressure, dissolved organic carbon (DOC), evaporation, gibbsite coefficients and distribution of uptake were entered as standard values taken from the literature. These parameters generally stayed constant between runs and sites. Annual average air temperature, precipitation and runoff were taken from the official statistics of the Swedish Meteorological Institute (SMHI).

**Freshwaters:** Water chemistry data for 3174 unlimed lakes were taken from the 1990 Swedish Lake Survey. A long-term average (1961-90) of runoff data from the Swedish Meteorological Institute (SMHI) was used. Land use data were based on the
Swedish Forest Inventory, 1983–92. The long-term average of nutrient uptake was derived as for forest soils.

Comments and Conclusions

Mapping of ozone exposure over Nordic countries has been performed in cooperation between Denmark, Norway, Finland and Sweden (Lövblad et al. 1996). Nordic maps of AOT40 for crops and forest trees, made in accordance with the procedure recommended by the Bern workshop, were presented in the 1995 CCE Status Report (Posch et al. 1995). No update of ozone exposure maps for Sweden has been performed since then.

Different methods for estimating surface water critical loads have been tested against paleolimnological data on pre-industrial lake chemistry for 58 lakes in northern Sweden, and a smaller set of lakes in southern Sweden. The comparisons showed that the F-factor model, augmented by an organic acid subroutine, predicted pH values well in northern Sweden when the pre-industrial pH was above pH 6.5, but less well at lower pH’s, and in lakes from southern Sweden. Predictions of surface water critical load values using the PROFILE model were dependent on the estimates of catchment soil depths and flow pathways.
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Figure SE-1. 5-percentile critical load of acidity (left) and exceedances (right) for forest ecosystems.

Figure SE-2. 5-percentile critical load of nutrient nitrogen (left) and exceedances (right) for forest ecosystems.
Figure SE-3. 50-percentile values for denitrification (left) and nitrogen immobilization (right) for forest soils.
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Critical Loads of Acidity

Receptors: Forest soils and sensitive alpine lakes.
Method: Steady-state mass balance (SMB), ca. 12,300 points.
Remarks: C_{min}(N) for alpine lake catchments, which are mainly covered by grassland, has been set to 3 kg N ha^{-1} yr^{-1} (instead of 2) in order to take into account N_{p} and N_{n}. National data have been submitted for all EMEP cells that are (at least) partially covered by Switzerland.

Critical Loads of Nutrient Nitrogen

Receptors: Forests and (semi-)natural ecosystems.
Method: SMB for forests (ca. 11,000 points), empirical method for natural and semi-natural ecosystems (ca. 14,000 points).
Remarks: For the Swiss input to the European map, the results of the SMB and the empirical method were merged by choosing the lowest value on an individual grid cell basis (1 x 1 km^2 grid). National data have been submitted for all EMEP cells (partially) covered by Switzerland.

Present Loads of Nitrogen and Sulfur

Receptors: Forest, grassland, crops, settlements, lakes, rocks/glaciers.
Resolution: 1 x 1 km^2 grid.
Pollutants: Nutrient N compounds, sulfur
Methods: Concentration and deposition data, dispersion models, resistance analogue dry deposition models and spatial interpolation.
References: The sulfur and nitrogen deposition for the period 1986–90 is described in FOEFL (1994). An updated nitrogen deposition map for the period 1993–95 can be found in FOEFL (1996). An intensive monitoring exercise, including deposition of ammonia and other N components, was carried out at a nature reserve site in the Swiss Plateau (BUWAL 1994). Several cantonal authorities have permanent or temporary monitoring sites, e.g. Ticino (wet deposition) and Zurich (wet and dry deposition, Krieg et al. 1996). The national network NABEL measures the wet deposition at two sites.

Critical Levels of Ozone and Their Exceedances

Definitions: The critical levels for the following receptors were considered: agricultural crops, forests and humans (health). The critical level for crops is expressed as Accumulated exposure Over a Threshold (AOT) of 40 ppb, calculated for daylight hours during a 3-month period (AOT_{30}). In general, the period from May to July is used. The critical value was set at 3000 ppb h (=3 ppm h) at the Kuopio Workshop (see Kärenlampi and Skärbä 1996, UBA 1996).

The critical level for forests is expressed as an AOT of 40 ppb, calculated for daylight hours from April to September (AOT_{90}). The critical value is 10 ppm h (see Kärenlampi and Skärbä 1996, UBA 1996).

A health-related critical level was discussed at the UN/ECE-WHO workshop held at Eastbourne in June 1996 (UN/ECE-WHO 1997). It is based on the redefined WHO Air Quality Guideline for Europe (WHO 1996). WHO recommends an 8-hour mean ozone concentration of 120 µg m^{-3} (ca. 60 ppb). Thus, the critical level can be expressed as the number of moving non-overlapping 8-hour means exceeding 60 ppb (N8h60), with a critical value of 0.
A surrogate health parameter to be used for
integrated assessment modelling is AOT60$_{hp}$, which is the AOT of 60 ppb, calculated for daylight hours from April to September. AOT60$_{hp}$ can be modelled by EMEP (Simpson 1997) and is a good indicator for exceedances of the N8h60 critical level (Achermann et al. 1997).

**General Mapping Procedure:** The general procedure used for mapping present levels of ozone in Switzerland is given below (according to Künzle and Rihm 1996). The procedure is a spatial interpolation of ozone indices (AOT40, AOT40, and N8h60) computed from monitored data. The raw data are first checked for plausibility, as they come from different institutes and authorities. Because the AOT40 is an accumulated dose, missing hourly means must be supplied. This can be done by deriving the missing data from other nearby monitoring stations, or by calculating from the existing values of the same station, under the assumption that the missing and the existing values have the same frequency distribution.

For the spatial interpolation of AOT40 and N8h60 values, it must be decided whether data from all monitoring stations can be used at the same time or if the study area must be divided into subregions, which have a more or less homogeneous ozone regime. The following criteria might be used to delimit such interpolation regions: topographic elements, climatic conditions, or the distribution of emitters of NO$_x$ and VOC.

Within each subregion, monitored AOT40 and N8h60 values are correlated with altitude, NO$_x$ levels or other environmental parameters that can explain the spatial distribution of ozone and are available at an adequate spatial resolution for the whole study area. If it is possible to find satisfying relationships, a trend surface of the ozone values can be calculated as a function of those explanatory parameters. We suggest setting lower and upper limits to the results of those functions in order to avoid interpolated ozone values that are outside the range of monitored values. Of course, this procedure is not practicable if the number of monitoring stations per subregion is too small, nor is it applicable for peak values of ozone (at least in Switzerland).

The residuals for each monitoring station are calculated as the difference between the monitored value and the value of the trend surface at that location. They are then spatially interpolated by methods such as kriging or inverse-distance weighting. The resulting “residual surface” is then added to the trend surface; thus the final AOT40 and N8h60 maps also show spatial variations that are unrelated to the explanatory parameters, and match exactly the monitored values at the locations of the monitoring stations.

In the last stage of Level I mapping, the ozone maps are overlaid and intersected by a receptor map. The resulting maps may be called exceedance map for crops, exceedance map for forests, and population exposure map, respectively. This step is important if the receptors are not evenly distributed within the study area, e.g., in Switzerland forests are generally situated at higher altitudes and therefore have AOT40s that are above the average of the whole country.

Ozone levels in summer can vary enormously from one year to another. For exceedance mapping, the mean of the AOT40 over a period of 5 years should be used (see UBA 1996). Ozone maps for Switzerland have so far only been produced for single years.

After those preparations it is easy to calculate the AOT40 for crops and forests, as well as the health-related N8h60. For the definition of the daylight hours it is best to use monitored values of global solar radiation (>50 W m$^{-2}$).
Production of Ozone Maps for 1994: For the Swiss mapping exercise, monitored data from 1992, 1993 and 1994 are available from more than 80 monitoring stations, including 16 stations from the national monitoring network (NABEL), many cantonal stations, a few stations from research institutes and one Italian station. Half of those stations represent rural sites. This section describes the computation of ozone maps, based on monitoring data from 1994 only.

In order to supply missing values, some efforts were made to derive them from neighboring stations. However that method is time-consuming and complicated, as the monitoring results must be correlated to the results of several other stations in order to find a substitute station, which is not always possible. Therefore we applied the following method: first, raw AOT40 for forests and crops are calculated without the missing values, on a month by month basis. In a second step, the monthly AOT40 were updated as follows:

\[ \text{AOT40}_{m} = \frac{\text{RAOT40}_{m}}{N_{\text{tot},m} / (N_{\text{tot},m} - N_{\text{miss},m})} \]

where:

\[ \text{AOT40}_{m} = \text{corrected AOT40 for month } m \]

\[ \text{RAOT40}_{m} = \text{raw AOT40 (without missing values) for month } m \]

\[ N_{\text{tot},m} = \text{total number of daylight hours for month } m \]

\[ N_{\text{miss},m} = \text{number of missing hours for month } m \]

Finally, AOT40 and AOT40 were calculated as the sum of the appropriate AOT40m. For the calculation of N86h0, missing values were not supplemented.

For determining daylight hours (>50W m⁻²) global radiation data were used from 15 stations of the national monitoring network (NABEL), which provides good cover for the various regions in Switzerland.

While looking for relationships between AOT40, N86h0 and the explanatory parameters, it became evident that it would be useful to divide Switzerland into several different interpolation regions. Four subregions were defined as follows:

1. “North”: This region includes the northern and western parts of Switzerland. The Jura mountains and the Alps mark the northern and southern boundaries of the area. In the eastern part of this region the topography is open.

2. “Alps”: This includes the alpine regions, and central and northeastern Switzerland. In this area, the ozone levels are generally lower than in the North region at sites with comparable topographic exposure. Those differences might arise from lower temperature and radiation in eastern Switzerland and from clouds that are formed mainly at altitudes between 1000 and 2000 meters in summer.

3. “Valais”: This region covers more or less the canton of Valais, and is an inner-alpine valley with little cloud cover and high global radiation. The emissions of ozone precursors are relatively high compared to other valleys (e.g., the Engadin in the canton of Grisons).

4. “Ticino”: This region covers the canton of Ticino and the Italian-speaking valleys of the Grisons. The Alps form the northern boundaries of this area, while it is open to the south. Its regime is partially influenced by ozone that is formed over the Po lowlands (Milano) and transported northwards (BUWAL 1994a).

Within each interpolation region, relative height (Hrel in meters) and NO₂ concentration (in μg m⁻³, annual mean) turn out to be the most evident explanatory parameters. Hrel is defined as the elevation above the lowest site within a radius of 5 km. In the Ticino region, AOT40 values also depend on latitude, i.e., they decrease from south to north. Latitude is in the range from 75,000 to 135,000 meters (national system). Several regression functions and parameter combinations were tested before finally selecting the following “trend surfaces” for forests (units in ppb-h):

- trend for North and Alps regions: \( R^2 = 0.56 \)
  \[ \text{AOT40}_f = \min [23440 + 3.90 H_{\text{rel}} - 332 \cdot [\text{NO}_2] , 26100] \]
  26100 ppb-h is the highest value measured in these regions.
- trend for Valais region: \( R^2 = 0.61 \)
  \[ \text{AOT40}_f = 17650 - 249 \cdot [\text{NO}_2] \]
  37800 ppb-h is the highest value measured in this region.
- trend for Ticino region: \( R^2 = 0.91 \)
  \[ \text{AOT40}_f = \min [95600 - 0.53 \cdot \text{latitude} - 403 \cdot [\text{NO}_2] , 37800] \]

There is a close linear relationship between \( \text{AOT40}_f \) and \( \text{AOT40}_f \) for monitoring data in 1994 (also in 1992 and 1993). Therefore the trend surface for forests can be calculated from the trend for forest according to the following regression \( R^2 = 0.98 \):

\[ \text{AOT40}_f = 0.643 \cdot \text{AOT40}_f \]
Figure CH-1. Cumulative frequency distributions for \( AOT_{60} \) values from 84 Swiss monitoring stations in 1994 for each EMEP grid cell.

For \( AOT_{60} \), no trend regression function could be found, as the spatial distribution of this parameter is very inhomogeneous, at least in 1994. Therefore \( AOT_{60} \) values are not presented as a map but as cumulative frequency distribution functions for each EMEP grid (Figure CH-1).

N8h60 has been mapped on the basis of a N1h60 map. The parameter N1h60 is defined as the number of 1-hour mean values above 60 ppb (air quality standard of the Swiss Ordinance on Air Pollution Control). N8h60 can be derived from N1h60 by the following regression function (\( R^2 = 0.98 \)):

\[ N8h60 = \max \{0.159 - N1h60 - 4, 0\} \]

The trend surface for N1h60 is calculated as follows:
- trend for North region: (\( R^2 = 0.75 \))
  \[ N1h60 = 525 + 0.429 H_{rel} - 8.81 [NO_2] \]
- trend for Alps region: (\( R^2 = 0.82 \))
  \[ N1h60 = 352 + 0.763 H_{rel} - 4.77 [NO_2] \]
- trend for Valais region: (\( R^2 = 0.77 \))
  \[ N1h60 = 436 - 9.99 [NO_2] \]
- trend for Ticino region: (\( R^2 = 0.66 \))
  \[ N1h60 = 1418 + 0.093 H_{rel} - 8.41 [NO_2] - 0.005 \text{ latitude} \]

The next step is the calculation and spatial interpolation of the residuals. The interpolation is made for all regions combined (in some cases this may not be the best solution) by applying an inverse-distance-weighting algorithm.

**Results**

Figures CH-2 and CH-3 show the maps for ozone AOT40 values in 1994 for forests and crops, respectively. The critical level of 10 ppm h (forests) is exceeded in almost the entire country. The highest values (up to 35 ppm h) occur in the Ticino region.

The critical level for crops (3 ppm h) is exceeded in the whole country. It is important to note that this is Level I mapping, where it is not possible to indicate an exact amount of yield loss. The highest AOT40 values occur in the Valais and Ticino regions (18 ppm h), but one station in the Jura region is also at that level. For crops, only monitoring stations below 1200m altitude are selected in interpolating residuals. The final maps of AOT40 for crops are cut off at 1200m, as there are very few crops above that altitude.

The map of the health-related parameter N8h60 (Figure CH-4) shows that in the center of larger cities the number of exceedances of the 8-hour mean of 60 ppb is relatively low, because the NO2 level is high. An assessment of the population's exposure to N8h60 has shown that about 50% of the Swiss population (3.4 million people) live in areas where more than 40 non-overlapping exceedances of the 8-hour mean of 60 ppb were recorded from April to September 1994 (Achermann et al. 1997).

First attempts to implement a Level II approach to assess realistically assess crop yield losses have already been made by Fuhrer (1995). The potential yield reduction is corrected by a factor taking into account the soil water availability during the growth period. The correction factor is calculated as a function of the mean temperature, the mean solar radiation and the precipitation sum in June and July of the specified year. The meteorological parameters needed to calculate the soil water availability are mapped on the basis of 64 monitoring stations of the Swiss Meteorological Institute. For the spatial interpolation, specific methods developed for Meteonorm (BEW 1995) have been applied. They include altitude dependencies for different climatic regions, as well as local temperature variations due to large lakes, exposition, etc.

**Further Activities in Switzerland**

This section describes some of the further studies on critical levels/loads, damage and risk assessment in Switzerland.
Critical loads of acidity for forests have been calculated for ca. 700 points with the regionalized PROFILE model. The results of the SMB and PROFILE were compared (FOEFL 1997a).

The dynamics of soil acidification were modelled at ca. 620 points using the regionalized SAFE model (FOEFL 1997b). A specific case study was carried out at the “Copera” forest site in southern Switzerland, using the dynamic model SAFE (Zysset et al. 1997).

Fertilizer experiments in plantations, together with field observations of Norway spruce and beech trees during a period of over ten years, indicate a high probability for an increase of nutrient imbalances, soil acidification, sensitivity to drought and disease as a result of excess N deposition (Flückiger et al. 1997).

As part of the NITREX program, the nitrogen budgets in two small catchments at the Alptal site are investigated. One of them is being fertilized (Schleppi and Bucher 1997). First results are available, and are in line with results of other NITREX sites in Europe.

A statistically significant relationship between stem growth reduction and ozone levels (AOT40) was found as a result of a multivariate analysis based on data of 60 beech observation plots in different areas of Switzerland, taking into account stand age, humus form, site fertility, relative forest cover, soil wetness, water regime, crown size, social position, altitude, exposition, inclination, ozone, deposition of acidity and nitrogen (Braun and Flückiger 1997). These growth reduction data suggest a slightly higher sensitivity of mature trees than of young trees in chamber experiments.

A multi-stress risk assessment, including critical loads of acidity, critical loads of nitrogen, critical levels for ozone and their exceedances, was performed for some alpine forest sites in the Reussatal region in the canton of Uri (BUWAL 1996).
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Figure CH-2. Interpolated ozone levels for forests in 1994: accumulated exposure over a threshold of 40ppb for daylight hours from April to September ($AOT_{40}$). (The critical level is 10ppm-h; the study area is below 2000m altitude.)

Figure CH-3. Interpolated ozone levels for crops in 1994: accumulated exposure over a threshold of 40ppb for daylight hours from May to July ($AOT_{40}$). (The critical level is 5ppm-h; the study area is below 1200m altitude.)
Figure CH-4. Interpolated ozone levels related to health in 1994: number of non-overlapping 8h-means exceeding 60ppb from April to September (N8h≥60). (The critical level is set to 0.)
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Acidity critical loads and exceedances:
- Empirical critical loads for three non-woodland terrestrial ecosystems
- Simple Mass Balance critical loads for woodland ecosystems
- Steady-State Water Chemistry model, Diatom model and First-order Acidity Balance model for freshwater ecosystems critical loads
- Exceedance maps, and exceedance class maps for the critical loads function

Sulfur critical loads and exceedances:
- Minimum and maximum critical loads of sulfur for all ecosystems
- Exceedance maps

Nitrogen (acidity) critical loads and exceedances:
- Minimum and maximum critical loads of nitrogen for all ecosystems
- Exceedance maps

Nitrogen (nutrient) critical loads and exceedances:
- Empirical critical loads for 14 terrestrial ecosystems
- Mass Balance critical loads for coniferous and deciduous woodland ecosystems
- Exceedance maps
Critical levels and exceedances:
- Receptor maps: agriculture, arable, forestry, semi-natural vegetation, heathland, herbaceous semi-natural vegetation, all grasslands, green lichens, cyanobacterial lichens and bryophyte Pleurozium schreberi
- Exceedance of critical levels of SO₂
- Exceedance of critical levels of NOₓ
- Exceedance of critical levels of O₃

Calculation Methods

1. Empirical critical loads of acidity: Empirical critical loads of acidity for soils have been assigned to each 1km grid square of the UK based upon the mineralogy of the dominant soil series present in the square (Hornung et al. 1995). The data are mapped in five classes representing the “Skokloster” ranges of critical loads values. The mid-range critical load values (0.1, 0.35, 0.75, 1.5, 2.0, 4.0 keq H⁻¹ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) have been assigned to three soil-vegetation ecosystems: acid grassland, calcareous grassland and heathland, where the ecosystem occupies more than 5% of the total area (Hall et al. 1997). This approach is consistent with the calculation of weathering rates for different soil types using the PROFILE model, which has shown that in most cases the weathering rate falls within these class ranges (Langan et al. 1995).

2. Simple mass balance critical loads of acidity: The simple mass balance (SMB) model has been used to calculate acidity critical loads for coniferous and deciduous woodland ecosystems (Hall et al. 1997). The chemical criterion often used in the SMB is the base cation (calcium + magnesium) to aluminum (BC:Al) ratio. This assumes that magnesium affords the same protection to plant roots as calcium. This assumption needs checking experimentally, particularly when considering areas like parts of the UK which receive high inputs of base cation deposition through sea salt. Therefore, in the UK, a decision was made to use a calcium to aluminum (Ca:Al) ratio in the SMB equation, together with total (wet plus dry, non-marine plus marine) calcium deposition and estimates of calcium weathering and uptake.

Calcium losses by uptake and removal in harvesting of woodlands is calculated from the average volume increment (i.e. a measurement of yield) achieved by each species in Britain. Average volume increments are converted to amount removed in harvesting using species-specific wood densities and the calcium concentrations of timber from published studies. Area-weighted average volume increments and wood densities are calculated from the average values for each species, with each species contributing to the average depending on the proportion of UK coniferous or deciduous woodland they represent. Calcium concentrations for oak are used for deciduous woodland and Sitka spruce for coniferous woodland. The values used in the SMB represent the theoretical maximum, assuming potential yields at harvest are achieved.

The uptake values for deciduous woodland have been calculated for oak on calcium-rich soils. However, in some areas of southern Britain deciduous trees grow on calcium-poor podzolic soils, where such uptake values would be overestimates of removal. Two different sets of uptake values were therefore calculated for deciduous woodland, one for calcium-rich soils and the other for calcium-poor soils. These soil types were then identified from a 1 x 1 km² map and the appropriate uptake values applied. The table below shows the uptake values for calcium, base cations (used for calculating maximum critical load of sulfur) and nitrogen (used for calculating critical loads of nutrient nitrogen).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Woodland &amp; soil type</th>
<th>Uptake values in keq ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conifers</td>
<td>0.117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deciduous</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca-rich soils</td>
<td>0.516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deciduous</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca-poor soils</td>
<td>0.076</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When the SMB uses the Ca:Al ratio, the weathering component of ANCₜₚ(crit) (critical leaching of base cations) needs to be re-calculated for calcium weathering only. To achieve this, “calcium correction” values have been assigned to each soil series previously used to determine empirical critical loads. These were assigned to each 1km square of Britain where that soil series dominates. Calcium weathering (Caₚ) values were then calculated as:

\[ Caₚ = ANCₚ - \text{calcium correction value} \]

where the ANCₚ equals the “mid-range” empirical critical loads value, except for 1km grid squares dominated by peat soils, which were set to zero weathering.
The calculated SMB critical load values for woodland 1km squares dominated by peat soils were zero. Alternative approaches were tested and the decision made to replace them with the original empirical critical load estimates.

3. Critical loads of acidity: all terrestrial ecosystems: The national map of critical loads of acidity combines both empirical and mass balance values for the five terrestrial soil-vegetation ecosystems: acid grassland, calcareous grassland, heathland, coniferous and deciduous woodland.

The 5-percentile critical load of acidity was determined by first calculating critical loads at 1km resolution for each individual ecosystem as described above. Values and areas were then compared for each 1km grid and the critical load associated with the most sensitive 5% of the total ecosystem area in this grid used in a 1km 5-percentile map for all ecosystems.

4. Critical loads of acidity for freshwaters: In the UK, critical loads of sulfur and of acidity have been calculated for approximately 1500 freshwaters using the steady-state Water Chemistry model and the Diatom model. In addition, the First-order Acidity Balance (FAB) model has been applied to 527 of these catchments. The methods have been described in detail by Posch et al. (1995), CLAG (1995) and Battarbee et al. (1996).

Work is continuing at the UK NFC with colleagues at University College London to collate the necessary catchment information and apply FAB to all 1500 sites.

5. Empirical critical loads of nutrient nitrogen: A range of nutrient nitrogen critical load values have been defined for 14 terrestrial ecosystems (UBA 1996) comprising of tree and forest ecosystems, heathlands, species-rich grasslands and wetlands. Experts in the UK have identified a single value within each range to be used for mapping. The methods for identifying the geographic distribution of the 14 different ecosystems in the UK have been described in Posch et al. (1995).

6. Mass balance critical loads of nutrient nitrogen: The UK has applied the mass balance method of calculating critical loads of nutrient nitrogen to coniferous and deciduous woodland ecosystems. The equation used is reported in Posch et al. (1995). Values of nitrogen uptake for coniferous and deciduous woodland have been calculated using the same method as described in the table above for calcium uptake.

7. Critical loads of nutrient nitrogen - all terrestrial ecosystems: In the UK methods have been developed to use the data from empirical, mass balance or both of these approaches to calculate nutrient nitrogen critical loads for the same terrestrial ecosystems for which acidity critical loads have been derived (Hall et al. 1997). The method uses the ITE Land Cover Map, together with the National Vegetation Classification and Biological Records Centre data; it is a sequential process such that the lowest critical loads value for any appropriate ecosystem present in a 1km square is used. For each ecosystem, critical loads were only applied where the ecosystem occupies more than 5% of the total area. For coniferous woodland ecosystems, mass balance critical loads have been used, and for deciduous woodland ecosystems the lowest critical load values, empirical or mass balance, were applied.

From the five sets of values (acid grassland, calcareous grassland, heathland, coniferous woodland, deciduous woodland), a 5-percentile critical load was determined by selecting the lowest critical load value for each 1 km square.

8. The Critical Loads Function (CLF): In the UK the CLF is being used to examine exceedances in three ways:
(i) to map the seven regions of exceedance (identified by the CLF graph) using current or future estimates of sulfur and nitrogen deposition. This identifies the acidifying pollutant(s) in any grid square that need to be reduced.
(ii) to calculate the minimum deposition (sulfur + nitrogen) reduction required to reach the envelope of protection. This allows actual values of deposition reduction required to be assigned to grid squares.
(iii) to consider the effects of maximising reductions of sulfur or nitrogen deposition. This highlights the possible “trade-off” between reducing one pollutant or another.

9. Critical levels: The methods for defining the geographic distribution of critical level receptors in the UK is described in Posch et al. (1995). Exceedance maps based on the revised critical level values (UBA 1996) have been prepared for the UK and will be published in 1997 in the UK.
Critical Loads Advisory Group, Critical Levels sub-group report.

Data Sources

Information on the data sources for empirical critical loads of soils, land use and land cover data, species distribution data, measured and modelled deposition and concentration data and runoff data is given in Posch et al. (1995).

The methodology used for determining empirical and simple balance critical loads of acidity for soil-vegetation ecosystems has been described by Hall et al. (1997). The report describes in detail the data submitted to the CCE in December 1996 and a sub-set of the maps are presented here.

Comments and Conclusions

The NFC is continuing to develop methods to calculate and map critical loads (acidity and nutrient nitrogen), critical levels and exceedances in collaboration with members of the UK Critical Loads Advisory Group, the CCE and the UN/ECE Task Force on Mapping.

Northern Ireland data are not included in critical loads maps at present. Data for the calculation of calcium weathering rates and nitrogen critical loads are being collated in the UK and will shortly be made available to the NFC.

Simple Mass Balance calculations of acidity critical loads have previously been carried out using the ARC/INFO geographic information system (GIS). A menu-driven user-friendly interface has now been developed to run or modify the model, draw the maps on screen, calculate exceedences and give a statistical breakdown of the maps into critical load or exceedance classes. The NFC is currently developing procedures to implement the First-order Acidity Balance model in the GIS and build a user-friendly interface.

The NFC has begun collaboration with organizations in the UK and Europe to develop methods for the calculation of critical loads of heavy metals.
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Figure UK-1. 5-percentile critical load maps for (a) acidity, (b) maximum sulfur (CL_max(S)), (c) maximum nitrogen (CL_max(N)), (d) nutrient nitrogen. The maps are based on 1 x 1 km² data for acid grassland, calcareous grassland, heathland, coniferous woodland and deciduous woodland ecosystems.
Appendix A: The polar stereographic projection (EMEP grid)

To make critical loads useful for pan-European negotiations on emission reductions one has to be able to compare them to deposition estimates. Deposition of sulfur and nitrogen compounds have up to now been reported by EMEP on a 150 km x 150 km grid covering (most of) Europe, but recently depositions have also become available on a 50 km x 50 km subgrid. These grid systems are based on the so-called polar stereographic projection. This projection and how to calculate the area of a grid cell is described in this Appendix.

The polar stereographic projection

In the polar stereographic projection each point on the Earth’s sphere is projected from the South Pole onto a plane perpendicular to the Earth’s axis and intersecting the Earth at a fixed latitude \( \phi_0 \) (see Figure A-1, top). Consequently, the coordinates \( x \) and \( y \) are obtained from the geographical longitude \( \lambda \) and latitude \( \phi \) (in radians) by the following equations (see Figure A-1, bottom):

\[
x = x_p + M \tan \left( \frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\phi}{2} \right) \sin(\lambda - \lambda_0)
\]

and

\[
y = y_p - M \tan \left( \frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\phi}{2} \right) \cos(\lambda - \lambda_0)
\]

where \((x_p, y_p)\) are the coordinates of the North Pole; \(\lambda_0\) is a rotation angle, i.e. the longitude parallel to the \(y\)-axis; and \(M\) is the scaling of the \(x-y\) coordinates. In the above definition the \(x\)-values increase and the \(y\)-values decrease when moving towards the equator. For a given \(M\), the unit length (grid size) \(d\) in the \(x-y\)-plane is given by

\[
d = \frac{R}{M} (1 + \sin \phi_0)
\]

where \(R\) (= 6370km) is the radius of the Earth. The inverse transformation, i.e. longitude and latitude as function of \(x\) and \(y\), is given by

\[
\lambda = \lambda_0 + \arctan \left( \frac{x - x_p}{y - y_p} \right)
\]

and

\[
\phi = \frac{\pi}{2} - 2\arctan \left( \frac{\sqrt{(x - x_p)^2 + (y - y_p)^2}}{M} \right)
\]

The \(\arctan\) in Eq. A.5 gives the correct longitude for quadrant 4 (\(x>0\) and \(y<y_p\)) and quadrant 3 (\(x<0\) and \(y<y_p\); \(\pi = (180^\circ)\)) has to be added for quadrant 1 (\(x>0\) and \(y>y_p\)) and subtracted for quadrant 2 (\(x<0\) and \(y>y_p\)). Note that quadrant 4 is the one covering (most of) Europe.

Every stereographic projection is a so-called conformal projection, i.e. an angle on the sphere remains the same in the projection plane, and vice versa. However, the stereographic projection distorts areas (even locally), i.e. it is not an equal-area projection (in fact, it can be shown that a projection cannot be both conformal and equal-area).

A grid cell \((i, j)\) is defined as a square in the \(x-y\)-plane with side length \(d\) (see Eq. A.3) and its center point is given by the integral part of \(x\) and \(y\), i.e.

\[
i = \text{nint}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad j = \text{nint}(y)
\]

where 'nint' is the nearest integer (rounding function). Consequently, the corners of the grid cell have the coordinates \((i \pm 1/2, j \pm 1/2)\).
Figure A-1. Polar stereographic projection from the South Pole onto a plane cutting the Earth at a given latitude (top). Geometric relationships in a plane cutting the Earth vertically at a given longitude used to derive the projection equations (bottom).
The 150 km × 150 km grid (EMEP150 grid)

The coordinate system used by EMEP/MSC-W for the Lagrangian long-range transport model (and still by the CCE for mapping critical loads) is defined by the following parameters:

\[
\phi_0 = \frac{\pi}{3} = 60^\circ\text{N}, \quad \lambda_0 = -32^\circ\text{W}, \quad (x_p, y_p) = (3, 37), \quad d = 150 \text{ km}
\]  

(A.7)

which yields \(M=79.2438\ldots\)

The 50 km × 50 km grid (EMEP50 grid)

In the future, deposition and concentration fields will also become available on a 50km × 50km grid, the EMEP50 grid. This grid is a subdivision of the EMEP150 grid into 3×3=9 subgrids, and its parameters are given by

\[
\phi_0 = \frac{\pi}{3} = 60^\circ\text{N}, \quad \lambda_0 = -32^\circ\text{W}, \quad (x_p, y_p) = (8, 110), \quad d = 50 \text{ km}
\]  

(A.8)

yielding \(M=237.7314\ldots\)

Consequently, calling these EMEP50 coordinates \(p\) and \(q\), they are obtained from the EMEP150 coordinates \(x\) and \(y\) via

\[
p = 3x - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad q = 3y - 1
\]  

(A.9)

An EMEP150 grid cell \((i,j)\) contains 9 EMEP50 grid cells \((m,n)\) with indices \(m=3i-2,3i-1,3i\) and \(n=3j-2,3j-1,3j\). The part of the two EMEP grid systems covering (most of) Europe is shown in Figure A-2.

To convert a point \((xlon, ylat)\), given in degrees of longitude and latitude, into EMEP150 coordinates \((emepi, emepj)\) the following FORTRAN subroutine can be used:

```fortran
 subroutine llemeep (xlon,ylat,emepi,emepj)
 c
 c Returns for a point (xlon,ylat), where xlon is the longitude and ylat is the latitude in degrees, its EMEP150 coordinates (emepi,emepj).
 c
 c real xlon, ylat, emepi, emepj
 c
 data xp, yp /3.,37./ : EMEP150 coordinates of the North Pole
 data xlon0 /-32./ : = lambda_0
 data em /79.24387880/ : = M*(R/d)*(1+sin(pi/3)); R=6370km, d=150km
 data pi180 /57.2957795/ : = 180/pi
 data pi360 /114.591559/ : = 360/pi
 c
 tp = tan((90.-ylat)*pi360)
 rlamp = (xlon-xlon0)*pi180
 emepi = xp+em*tp*sin(rlamp)
 emepj = yp-em*tp*cos(rlamp)
 return
 end
```

The EMEP50 coordinates can then be obtained with the aid of Eq. A.9.
Figure A-2. The EMEP150 grid (thick lines) and the EMEP50 grid (thin lines). The labels at the bottom and right are the EMEP150 grid indices (every second), and the labels at the top and left are the EMEP50 grid indices (every third).
Conversely, given the EMEP150 coordinates of a point, its longitude and latitude can be computed with the following subroutine:

```plaintext
c subroutine emep11 (emepi,emepj,xlon,ylat)
c  Returns for a point (emepi,emepj), given in the EMEP150 coordinate system, its longitude xlon and latitude ylat in degrees.
  data xp, yp /3.,37./ ! EMEP150 coordinates of the North Pole
  data xlon0 /-32./ ! = lambda_0
  data em /79.24387880/ ! = M=(R/d)*(1+sin(pi/3)); R=6370km, d=150km
  data pi180 /0.017453293/ ! = pi/180
  data pi360 /0.008726646/ ! = pi/360
  ex = emepi-xp
  ey = yp-emepj
  if (ex .eq. 0. .and. ey .eq. 0.) then ! North Pole
    xlon = xlon0 ! or whatever
  else
    xlon = xlon0+pi180*atan2(ex,ey)
  endif
  r = sqrt(ex*ex+ey*ey)
  ylat = 90.-pi360*atan(r/em)
  return
eend
```

To convert the EMEP50 coordinates \((p,q)\) of a point to longitude and latitude, call the above subroutine with \(emepi=(p+1)/3\) and \(emepj=(q+1)/3\).

### The area of an EMEP grid cell

As mentioned above, the stereographic projection does not preserve areas, e.g., a 150 km x 150 km EMEP grid cell is 22500 km\(^2\) only in the projection plane, but never on the globe. Here we want to derive the formula for computing the area on the Earth of an arbitrarily located EMEP grid cell. To this end we first recapitulate the basic formulae for computing curved surface areas in three dimensions.

The area \(S\) of a surface in three dimensions is given by

\[
S = \iint |x_u \times x_v| \, du \, dv
\]  

where \(x=u,v\) is the equation of the surface with parameters \(u\) and \(v\), \(x_u\) is the vector of partial derivatives with respect to \(u\) and \(\times\) denotes the vector product. For example, the surface of a sphere with radius \(R\) (the Earth) can be represented by longitude \(\lambda\) and latitude \(\phi\) as:

\[
x(\lambda,\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\phi \cos\lambda \\ \cos\phi \sin\lambda \\ \sin\phi \end{pmatrix}, \quad 0 \leq \lambda < 2\pi, \quad -\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \phi \leq \frac{\pi}{2}
\]  

and a straightforward calculation yields
\[ x_i \times x_n = R^2 \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2 \phi \cos \lambda \\ \cos^2 \phi \sin \lambda \\ \sin \phi \cos \lambda \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad |x_i \times x_n| = R^2 \cos \phi \]  
(A.12)

which gives, e.g., for the area of a longitude-latITUDE grid cell:

\[ S_{\lambda \phi} = R^2 \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \cos \phi \sin \lambda \ d\phi d\lambda = R^2 (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) (\sin \phi_2 - \sin \phi_1) \]  
(A.13)

Now we want to compute the area of an EMEP grid cell on the surface of the Earth, i.e. its "real" area. To this end we have to express longitude and latitude in terms of the EMEP coordinates \(x\) and \(y\) (see Eqs. A.4, A.5):

\[ \lambda = \lambda_0 - \arctan(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi = \frac{\pi}{2} - 2 \arctan(r / M) \]  
(A.14)

with the abbreviations

\[ t = \frac{x - x_p}{y - y_p} \quad \text{and} \quad r = \sqrt{(x - x_p)^2 + (y - y_p)^2} \]  
(A.15)

The area of an EMEP grid cell with lower left corner \((x_1,y_1)\) and upper right corner \((x_2,y_2)\) is then given by making the coordinate transformation in Eq. A.10 (see also Eq. A.13):

\[ S_{uv} = R^2 \int_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - 2 \arctan(r / M) \right) \left| \frac{\partial (\lambda, \phi)}{\partial (x, y)} \right| dxdy \]  
(A.16)

For the partial derivatives we obtain from Eqs. A.14, A.15:

\[ \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x} = \frac{-1}{1 + t^2} \cdot \frac{1}{y - y_p}, \quad \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{1 + t^2} \cdot \frac{t}{y - y_p}, \quad \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} = \frac{-2}{1 + (r / M)^2} \cdot \frac{x - x_p}{Mr}, \quad \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} = \frac{-2}{1 + (r / M)^2} \cdot \frac{y - y_p}{Mr} \]  
(A.17)

which yields for absolute value of the Jacobian determinant:

\[ \left| \frac{\partial (\lambda, \phi)}{\partial (x, y)} \right| = \frac{2}{Mr} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + (r / M)^2} \]  
(A.18)

Considering further that

\[ \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2} - 2 \arctan(r / M) \right) = \frac{2(r / M)}{1 + (r / M)^2} \]  
(A.19)

and making the coordinate transformation \(u=(x-x_p)/M\) and \(v=(y-y_p)/M\) we arrive at the following double integral for the area of an EMEP grid cell

\[ S_{uv} = 2R^2 \left[ I(u_2, v_2) - I(u_1, v_2) - I(u_2, v_1) + I(u_1, v_1) \right] \text{ with } I(u, v) = \iint \frac{2\, du\, dv}{(1 + u^2 + v^2)^2} \]  
(A.20)

where \(u_i=(x_i-x_p)/M\), etc. With the substitution \(x = u / (1 + v^2)^{1/2}\), a partial integration and back-substituting one obtains

\[ \int \frac{2\, du}{(1 + u^2 + v^2)^2} = \frac{u}{(1 + u^2)(1 + u^2 + v^2)} + \frac{1}{(1 + v^2)^{1/2}} \arctan \frac{u}{\sqrt{1 + v^2}} \]  
(A.21)

Substituting \(x = u / (1 + v^2)^{1/2}\) in the second term on the right-hand side of Eq. A.21, partial integration and back-substituting yield

\[ \int \frac{1}{(1 + v^2)^{1/2}} \arctan \frac{u}{\sqrt{1 + v^2}} \, dv = \frac{v}{\sqrt{1 + v^2}} \arctan \frac{u}{\sqrt{1 + v^2}} + \int \frac{uv \, dv}{(1 + v^2)(1 + u^2 + v^2)} \]  
(A.22)
Combining Eqs. A.21 and A.22 results in
\[
\iint \frac{2\,du\,dv}{(1 + u^2 + v^2)^2} = \frac{v}{\sqrt{1 + v^2}} \arctan \frac{u}{\sqrt{1 + v^2}} = \int \frac{u\,dv}{1 + u^2 + v^2} \tag{A.23}
\]
Carrying out the last integration finally yields
\[
I(u, v) = \iint \frac{2\,du\,dv}{(1 + u^2 + v^2)^2} = \frac{v}{\sqrt{1 + v^2}} \arctan \frac{u}{\sqrt{1 + v^2}} - \frac{u}{\sqrt{1 + u^2}} \arctan \frac{v}{\sqrt{1 + u^2}} \tag{A.24}
\]
which, after insertion into Eq. A.20, allows the calculation of the area of any EMEP grid cell by inserting \((i-1/2, j-1/2)\) for \((x_1, y_1)\) and \((i+1/2, j+1/2)\) for \((x_2, y_2)\).

The following FORTRAN functions compute the area of an EMEP grid cell for arbitrary grid indices \((i,j)\), for the EMEP50 or the EMEP150 grid, depending on the parameter \(i0pt\):

```fortran
real function areemep (i0pt, i, j)

Returns the area (in km2) of an EMEP grid cell with
centerpoint \((i,j)\); i0pt=1: EMEP150 grid, i0pt=2: EMEP50 grid.

integer i0pt, i, j
real dd(2), xp(2), yp(2)
external femep

dataearth /6370.
! radius of the Earth (km)
data dd(1), dd(2) /150.,50. / ! size of EMEP150/50 grid cell (km2)
data xp(1), yp(1) /3.,37. / ! EMEP150 coordinates of the North Pole
data xp(2), yp(2) /8.,110. / ! EMEP50 coordinates of the North Pole
data drm /1.8660254/ ! = 1+sin(pi/3) = 1+sqrt(3)/2

c x1 = real(i)-0.5
y1 = real(j)-0.5
emi = dd(i0pt)/(earth*drm) ! = 1/M
u1 = (x1-xp(i0pt)) * emi
v1 = (y1-yp(i0pt)) * emi
u2 = u1+emi
v2 = v1+emi
ar0 = 2.*earth*earth
areemep = ar0*(femep(u2,v2)-femep(u1,v2)-femep(u2,v1)+femep(u1,v1))
return
end

real function femep (u,v)

Function used in computing the area of an EMEP grid cell.

c real u, v

c u1 = 1./sqrt(1.+u*u)
v1 = 1./sqrt(1.+v*v)
femep = v*v*atan(u*v)+u*u*atan(v*u)
return
end
```
In Figure A-3 isolines of the area of the EMEP50 grid cells over Europe are displayed. It shows that only the grids at 60°N have an area of (approximately) 50 x 50 = 2500 km².

Figure A-3. Isolines of the EMEP50 grid cell areas over Europe in km².
Appendix B. Some FORTRAN routines

FORTRAN subroutines are provided here for the computation of certain statistics of distribution functions (weighted mean, variance and percentiles) and the computation of protection isolines and protection percentages. These subroutines are provided on an “as is” basis, and no guarantee is given for their correctness. The subroutines contain non-standard features of FORTRAN, but they work under Microsoft FORTRAN. It should not be a problem for the experienced user to convert these subroutines into another programming language.

Computing weighted mean and variance

Let $x_i, i=1,...,n$, be a set of (critical load) values and $w_i$ their respective weights. Then their weighted arithmetic mean is given by:

$$
\mu_w = \frac{1}{W_w} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i \quad \text{with} \quad W_w = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \tag{B.1}
$$

and the variance is defined as:

$$
\sigma^2_w = \frac{1}{W_w} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i (x_i - \mu_w)^2 = \frac{1}{W_w} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i^2 - \mu_w^2 \tag{B.2}
$$

The computation of these quantities is seemingly straightforward:

```
.....
wsunm = 0.
xave = 0.
sig2 = 0.
do i = 1,n
    wsum = wsum+wv(i)
xave = xave+wv(i)*xv(i)
sig2 = sig2+wv(i)*xv(i)**2
endo
xave = xave/wsum
sig2 = sig2/wsum-xave**2
.....
```

where the vectors $xv( )$ and $wv( )$ contain the values and weights, respectively. However, this is not a proper way for calculating the variance, especially when there are a large number of values or when the values differ by several orders of magnitude, since roundoff errors might occur in the last equation. The proper way is to compute first the mean and sum of weights (as above), and then the variance in a second loop:

```
.....
sig2 = 0.
do i = 1,n
    sig2 = sig2+wv(i)*(xv(i)-xave)**2
endo
sig2 = sig2/wsum
.....
```

Occasionally, when $n$ is very large, it might be impossible to store the values and weights in vectors, and the only way is to compute mean and variance recursively (while reading in the data). From Eqs. B.1 and B.2 one easily derives the following recurrence relations:
\[ W_n = W_{n-1} + W_n \]  
\[ \mu_n = \frac{W_{n-1}}{W_n} \mu_{n-1} + \frac{w_n}{W_n} x_n \]  
\[ \sigma^2_n = \frac{W_{n-1}}{W_n} \sigma^2_{n-1} + \frac{w_n}{W_n^2} (\mu_{n-1} - x_n)^2 \]

and the computation can be done with the following program fragment:

```
......
wold = 0.
xave = 0.
sig2 = 0.
do while (.not.EOF(1))
    read (1,*) xi,wi
    wsum = wold+wi
    sig2 = wold*{sig2+wi*(xave-xi)**2/wsum}/wsum
    xave = (wold*xave+wi*xi)/wsum
    wold = wsum
endo
......
```

### Computing quantiles (percentiles)

A prerequisite for computing quantiles is the sorting of the critical load values, not forgetting the corresponding rearrangement of the weights. Routines to do that are easily available, for example the routine `sort12` in Press et al. (1992, p. 326). In the following we assume that the vectors of critical loads are sorted in ascending order; however, the weights don't have to be normalized to one (e.g., they can be the original ecosystem areas).

A subroutine for computing an arbitrary quantile is then given by:

```c
subroutine qantilcw (q,num,vec,wei,xq)

Computes the q-quantile xq of the num values in vec()
- sorted in ascending order - with corresponding weights wei()
from the empirical distribution function.

integer num
real q, vec(*), wei(*), xq

if (num .eq. 0) stop ' Quantile of nothing!'
if (q .lt. 0. .or. q .gt. 1.) stop ' q outside [0,1]!' 

wsum = wei(1)
do k = 2,num 
    wsum = wsum+wei(k)
endo
```
c
qw = q*wsum
sum = 0.
do k = 1,num
   sum = sum+wei(k)
   if (qw .lt. sum) then
      xq = vec(k)
      return
   endif
endo
xq = vec(num) ! if q=1
return
de

Often one has to compute many percentiles of the same cumulative distribution function. This can be done either by calling the above subroutine repeatedly, or — more efficiently — by calling the following routine:
c
subroutine qvecsngl (nq,qv,num,vec,wei,xqv)

Computes the nq quantiles xqv() given in qv() of num values in vec() - sorted in ascending order - with corresponding weights wei() from the empirical distribution function, using that qv(1) <= qv(2) <= ... <= qv(nq).

integer nq, num
real qv(*), vec(*), wei(*), xqv(*)

c
if (num .eq. 0) stop ' Quantile of nothing?!
if (qv(1) .lt. 0.) stop ' qv(1) < 0!'
if (qv(nq) .gt. 1.) stop ' qv(nq) > 1!'
do n = 1,nq-1
   if (qv(n) .gt. qv(n+1)) stop ' q-values not sorted!'
endo
c
wsum = wei(1)
do k = 2,num
   wsum = wsum+wei(k)
endo
c
n = 1
qw = qv(1)*wsum
sum = 0.
do k = 1,num
   sum = sum+wei(k)
10 continue
   if (qw .lt. sum) then
      xqv(n) = vec(k)
      if (n .eq. nq)
         n = n+1
         qw = qv(n)*wsum
      endif
      goto 10
   endif
endo
do m = n,nq ! if qv(n)=...=qv(nq)=1
   xqv(m) = vec(num)
enddo
return
end

Computing a protection isoline

For computing the points in the x-y plane defining a protection isoline the distance of the intersection of a ray with a given angle with a polygon (critical load function) is needed (see Chapter 3 in Part I). This is accomplished by the following subroutine:

c subroutine isectang (xv,yv,npnt,ang,dist)
c Computes the distance ‘dist’ from the origin of the intersection point of a ray with angle ‘ang’ (in radian, measured from the x-axis) with a polygon of ‘npnt’ points stored in xv() and yv().
Assumption: polygon monotonically decreasing in 1st quadrant:
0=xv(1)<=...<xv(npnt) and yv(1)>=...>=yv(npnt)=0

c integer npnt
real xv(*), yv(*), ang, dist
c
data pi half /1.5707/ ! = pi/2
c
if (ang .le. 0.) then
   dist = xv(npnt)
   return
endif
if (ang .ge. pi half) then
   dist = yv(1)
   return
endif
ta = tan(ang)
tg = 1.e+30
d = 1, npnt
   if (xv(n) .gt. 0.) tg = yv(n)/xv(n)
   if (tg .le. ta) then
      xi = (yo*xv(n)-xo*yv(n))/(ta*(xv(n)-xo)-(yv(n)-yo))
      dist = sqrt(1.+ta*ta)*xi
      return
   endif
   xo = xv(n)
yo = yv(n)
endo
d
The distances to all critical load functions in a grid for a given angle are then stored in a vector, sorted in ascending order (together with their weights), and the desired percentile computed with the routine gantilcw given above. The projections of the percentiles onto the x- and y-axes yield the coordinates of the desired protection isoline.
In order to determine the percentage of ecosystem area protected in a grid cell, one must be able to decide whether a given pair of deposition \((N_{dep}, S_{dep})\) lies inside or outside a given protection isoline. In other words, one needs a routine that determines if a point is inside a polygon or not.

Let \(x_1,...,x_n\) with \(x_i=(x_i,y_i)\) \((i=1,...,n)\) be \(n\) points defining a closed polygon \(P_n\), i.e., the polygon is obtained by connecting \(x_1\) with \(x_2\) ..., \(x_{n-1}\) with \(x_n\) and \(x_n\) with \(x_1\). For an arbitrary point \(x_0=(x_0,y_0)\) (inside or outside \(P_n\)) we define the angle \(\phi_i\) by

\[
\phi_i = \arccos \frac{(x_i - x_0) \cdot (x_{i+1} - x_0)}{|x_i - x_0| |x_{i+1} - x_0|}, \quad i = 1, ..., n \quad (x_{n+1} = x_1)
\]

(B.4)

We order to determine the orientation of the angle \(\phi_i\), we multiply it by the sign of the external product of the defining vectors, i.e. by the sign of the expression \((x_i-x_0)(y_{i+1}-y_0)-(y_i-y_0)(x_{i+1}-x_0)\). The sum of the \(n\) oriented angles determines then, whether the point \(x_0\) lies outside, on, or inside \(P_n\):

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_i = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } x_0 \text{ outside } P_n \\
\pi & \text{if } x_0 \text{ on } P_n \\
2\pi & \text{if } x_0 \text{ inside } P_n 
\end{cases}
\]

(B.5)

and the following subroutine inside does exactly this:

c
subroutine inside (x,y,xv,yv,nbeg,nend,angle)
c
Computes the cumulative 'angle' (in radian) of a point (x,y)
c with the nodes of the (closed) polygon (xv(n),yv(n),n=nbeg,nend).
c If |angle|=2*pi, (x,y) is inside the polygon, if angle=0, outside.
c [if |angle|=pi, then the point is ON the polygon]
c Note: angle is <0 or >0, depending on the Umlaufsinn!
c
c
integer nbeg,nend
c
real x, y, xv(*), yv(*), angle

c
data pi /3.14159265/
c
x2 = xv(nend)-x
y2 = yv(nend)-y
b = x2*x2+y2*y2
if (b .eq. 0.) then
  angle = pi
  return
endif
angle = 0.
do m = nbeg,nend
  x1 = x2
  y1 = y2
  x2 = xv(m)-x
  y2 = yv(m)-y
  a = b
  b = x2*x2+y2*y2
  if (b .eq. 0.) then
    angle = pi
    return
  endif
  x2 = x1
  y2 = y1
enddo
arg = (x1*x2+y1*y2)/sqrt(a*b)
if (arg .gt. 1.) arg = 1.
if (arg .lt. -1.) arg = -1.
sgn = sign(1.,x1*y2-x2*y1)
angle = angle-sgn*acos(arg)
endo
dereturn
end

This subroutine, together with the routine isectang given above, can be used to compute the percent of ecosystems protected in a grid cell for a given pair of deposition (depn, deps); and the following program fragment does exactly that:

.....
do m = 1,miso
   read (1,*) npnt,(xv(n),yv(n),n=1,npnt)
   xv(npnt+1) = 0. ! close polygon by
   yv(npnt+1) = 0. ! adding origin (0,0)
   call inside (depn,deps,xv,yv,1,npnt+1,angle)
   if (abs(angle) .gt. 5.) then ! inside
      if (m .eq. 1) then ! 100% protection
         protper = 100.
      else ! interpolate
         z = sqrt(deps*deps-depn*depn)
         ang = atan2(deps,depn)
         call isectang (xv,yv,npnt,ang,dist)
         call isectang (xold,yold,npnto,ang,disto)
         per = yv(m)-(yv(m)-yv(m-1))*(dist-z)/(dist-dist0)
         protper = amaxi(100.,per,0.)
      endif
      goto 99 ! done for that grid
   else ! store isoline
      npnto = npnt
      do n = 1,npnt
         xold(n) = xv(n)
         yold(n) = yv(n)
      enddo
   endif
endo ! go and read next isoline
protper = 0. ! outside all percentile isolines
99 continue
.....

The do-loop runs over miso percentile functions read from a file. The corresponding percentages are stored in the vector yv; yv(1)=0,...,yv(miso)=100. As soon as two consecutive pre-computed percentile functions are found so that the given point lies inside one and outside the other, the protection percentage is estimated by linearly interpolating between the two yv-values using the distances (computed with isectang) to the two percentile functions. The program fragment has to be embedded into loops which run over the desired grid cells and do the necessary writing to an output file.

Reference

Appendix C. **DEIMOS**: CCE’s anonymous FTP server

The CCE has an extensive international network of collaborating institutions, and to fulfil its mandate efficient communication is essential. To support this communication the CCE has set up an anonymous FTP server named **deimos.rivm.nl**. It is possible to connect to deimos.rivm.nl from any suitable computer via internet with the File Transfer Protocol (FTP).

To ensure the confidentiality of data bases on the **deimos** server, the CCE has created a directory structure with restricted read and write permissions for every directory (see Figure C-1). For example, a National Focal Center can send revised or updated national critical loads data to the CCE by transferring it to a specific directory created for that country under `/pub/cce/incoming`, with restricted read and write permissions (e.g. the Finnish NFC sends its data to `/pub/cce/incoming/fi`). The CCE makes its calculation results (files) derived from national contributions available to the NFC on a specific directory for the country branching under `/pub/cce/outgoing`, with restricted read and write permissions (e.g. data for the Finnish NFC is on `/pub/cce/outgoing/fi`).

Authorized users are given instructions for the use of a “key” that allows for transfer of files from **deimos.rivm.nl** to their site. The server contains one directory `/pub/cce/world` without read and write restrictions, which is intended to serve as a “bulletin board” for general information exchange. Announcements, publications, data bases, requests, etc. can be put on it by both the CCE and other users.

---

**Accessing deimos.rivm.nl**

Accessing the CCE server requires FTP software and an Internet connection. The section below describes in further detail how to access the server. The style conventions used are the following:

- *Italics* User-issued commands are in *italics*.
- `[ ... ]` Optional parts of commands are between square brackets.
- `<...>` File-related naming in commands is between angular brackets.
- **Courier** Responses from the CCE server are in **Courier** *typeface*.

**Connecting to deimos.rivm.nl:**

To connect to the server, type:

```plaintext
ftp deimos.rivm.nl  (starts an ftp session and connects with deimos.rivm.nl),
or ftp and open deimos.rivm.nl  (starts an ftp session on your system and establishes a
connection with deimos.rivm.nl).
```

If a connection is established, you get a message reading something like:

```
Connected to deimos.rivm.nl.
220 deimos FTP server (Version wu-2.4(3) ...............) ready.
```

To log in you will be asked for a name and a password:

- **Name:** *ftp or anonymous*
- 331 Guest login ok, send your complete e-mail address as password.
- **Password:** *type your full e-mail address.*

Now you will be logged to the root directory of deimos.rivm.nl. It is ready to execute transfers in binary mode:

```
230 Guest login ok, access restrictions apply.
Remote system type is UNIX.
Using binary mode to transfer files.
ftp>
```
= Home and root directory.
active: ls. Not active: put, get, etc.

_/pub = General top directory for RIVM public purposes.
active: ls. Not active: put, get, etc.

_/cee = Top-level directory of the CCE tree.
active: -. Not active: put, get, ls, etc.

_/world = Public directory that serves as a "bulletin board".
active: put, get, ls, etc. Not active: -

_/incoming = Has one subdirectory per nation for incoming NFC files.
active: -. Not active: put, get, ls, etc.

_/al Albania
_/at Austria
_/ba Bosnia-Herzegovina
_/be Belgium

/etc.

_/outgoing = Has one subdirectory per nation for outgoing CCE files addressed to
a specific NFC (name refers to a 2-character ISO country code)
active: -. Not active: get, put, ls, etc.

_/al = National subdirectories where NFCs can get confidential
/data from the CCE.
active: get. Not active: put, ls, etc.

/etc.

Figure C-1. Directory structure on the anonymous FTP server deimos.rivm.nl under the directory /pub/cee. Permission settings for every subdirectory are also indicated.

The 2-character ISO country code names (in lower case) of the subdirectories are:

al = Albania
at = Austria
ba = Bosnia-Herzegovina
be = Belgium
bg = Bulgaria
by = Belarus
ch = Switzerland
cz = Czech Republic
de = Germany
dk = Denmark
ee = Estonia
es = Spain
fi = Finland
fr = France
gr = Greece
hr = Croatia
hu = Hungary
ie = Ireland
it = Italy
li = Liechtenstein
lt = Lithuania
lv = Latvia
md = Republic of Moldova
nl = Netherlands
no = Norway
pl = Poland
pt = Portugal
ro = Romania
ru = Russian Federation
se = Sweden
si = Slovenia
sk = Slovakia
ua = Ukraine
yu = Yugoslavia
uk = United Kingdom

File transfer to deimos.rivm.nl:
To send files with national (confidential) information to the server deimos.rivm.nl, select the relevant national subdirectory under /pub/cee/incoming, e.g., a Finnish NFC representative would type:
cd pub/cee/incoming/fi  (cd= change directory)
The server will return with:
250 CWD command successful.
(With the command pwd (= present working directory) you can always check the current directory of the FTP server you are in.)
Now the Finnish user can send files to subdirectory \texttt{/pub/cce/incoming/fi} with the command: 
\texttt{put <file name> [ <file name at deimos.rivm.nl> ]}

The server will inform you about the success of the file transfer, with something like:
\texttt{200 PORT command successful.}
\texttt{150 Opening BINARY mode data connection for <file name>.}
\texttt{226 Transfer complete.}
\texttt{15 bytes sent in 0.01 seconds (1.70 Kbytes/s)}

For security purposes, certain functions have been disabled. For example, no \texttt{ls} (list files) and no file transfers from the subdirectories branching under \texttt{/pub/cce/incoming} is possible. The server does not display the files presently in each directory, and thus prevents the files from unauthorized access. If a \texttt{put} command was not executed successfully (e.g. when the file name already exists, due to sending a file with the same name earlier) a new attempt should be made with another file name. Overwriting of an existing file is not possible.

Information intended for all to read should be copied in the same way to \texttt{/pub/cce/world}, which has no read or write restrictions.

\textbf{File transfer from deimos.rivm.nl:} 
To retrieve files created by the CCE from deimos.rivm.nl, one must select the relevant national subdirectory of \texttt{/pub/cce/outgoing} with the correct two-character (lower case) country code. For example, a Finnish user would type: \texttt{cd /pub/cce/outgoing/fi}

To transfer files from the CCE server to your site you use the \texttt{get} command. An e-mail message from the CCE will inform the authorized user about the exact names of the files which can be retrieved from the server. In this way, the file name serves as the “key” necessary to retrieve the file from the CCE server with the command: 
\texttt{get <file name at deimos.rivm.nl> [ <file name at your system> ]}

The server will inform you about the success of the file transfer:
\texttt{200 PORT command successful.}
\texttt{150 Opening BINARY mode data connection for <file name>.}
\texttt{226 Transfer complete.}
\texttt{15 bytes sent in 0.01 seconds (1.70 Kbytes/s)}

\textbf{ Terminating the connection with deimos.rivm.nl:} 
To terminate a connection with the server deimos.rivm.nl type: \texttt{close} (disconnects from the deimos.rivm.nl server)

To terminate the FTP session on your system use: 
\texttt{bye or quit} (terminates ftp session)

If you are still connected to the server and type \texttt{bye} or \texttt{quit}, then both the connection to deimos.rivm.nl and the ftp session will be terminated.
Appendix D: Conversion factors

In this Appendix tables of the most commonly used conversion factors for sulfur and nitrogen deposition as well as for different concentrations are presented.

For convenience we use the term “equivalents” (eq) instead of “moles of charge” (mol.). If \( X \) is an ion with molecular weight \( M \) and charge \( z \), then one has:

\[
1 \text{ g } X = \frac{1}{M} \text{ mol} X = \frac{z}{M} \text{ eq} X
\]

Obviously, moles and equivalents are the same for \( z = 1 \). Conversion factors for sulfur and nitrogen deposition are given in the following tables:

<p>| Table D-1. Conversion factors for sulfur deposition (g stands for grams of S; ( M = 32 ), ( z = 2 )). For conversion multiply by the factors given in the table. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From:</th>
<th>To:</th>
<th>mg/m²</th>
<th>g/m²</th>
<th>kg/ha</th>
<th>mol/m³</th>
<th>eq/m³</th>
<th>eq/ha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mg/m²</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00003125</td>
<td>0.0000625</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g/m²</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.03125</td>
<td>0.0625</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kg/ha</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.003125</td>
<td>0.00625</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mol/m³</td>
<td>32000</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eq/m³</td>
<td>16000</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eq/ha</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.00005</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Table D-2. Conversion factors for nitrogen deposition (g stands for grams of N; ( M = 14 ), ( z = 1 )). For conversion multiply by the factors given in the table. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From:</th>
<th>To:</th>
<th>mg/m²</th>
<th>g/m²</th>
<th>kg/ha</th>
<th>mol/m³</th>
<th>eq/m³</th>
<th>eq/ha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mg/m²</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.0000714..</td>
<td>0.0000714..</td>
<td>0.71428.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g/m²</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0714..</td>
<td>0.0714..</td>
<td>714.28.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kg/ha</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00714..</td>
<td>0.00714..</td>
<td>71.428.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mol/m³</td>
<td>14000</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eq/m³</td>
<td>14000</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eq/ha</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Next, we provide conversion factors for concentrations, more specifically between \( \mu g/m^3 \) and ppb (parts per billion). One ppb is one particle of a pollutant in one billion (=10⁹) particles of the air-pollutant mixture. How many (and which mass) of them can be found in one m³ depends on the density of the air, i.e. on its temperature and pressure; the conversion formula is

\[
1 \text{ ppb} = \frac{V_0}{M} \mu g/ m^3
\]

where \( M \) is the molecular weight and \( V_0 = 0.022414 \) m³/mol is the molar volume, i.e. the volume occupied by one mole, at the standard temperature of \( T_0 = 273.15 K \) (=0°C) and the standard pressure of \( p_0 = 101.325 \) kPa (=1 atm). Assuming ideal gas conditions, the conversion for other temperatures and/or pressures can be accomplished by replacing \( V_0 \) in Eq. D.2 by

\[
V_1 = V_0 \frac{T_1}{T_0} \frac{p_0}{p_1}
\]

\[
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For example, for $T_1=298\text{K} (=25^\circ\text{C})$ and $p_1=p_0$ the molar volume $V_1$ is 0.024453 m$^3$/mol.

Table D-3. Conversion factors for concentrations of common pollutants at two different temperatures (1 ppm=1000 ppb).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>From ppb to $\mu$g/m$^3$, multiply by:</th>
<th>From $\mu$g/m$^3$ to ppb, multiply by:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$T=0^\circ\text{C}$</td>
<td>$T=25^\circ\text{C}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SO}_2$</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2.855..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{NO}_2$</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.052..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{NH}_3$</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.758..</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{O}_3$</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.141..</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Errata
CCE Status Report 1997

Page 7:
The header of the lower left figure should be: $CL_{骏N}$ (median)

Page 13:
The orange color for 'mandatory N reductions' is missing in the explanatory legend figure

Note: When using Figures 1-3 to 1-7 care should be taken that the EMEP/MSC-W is acknowledged for providing the deposition or concentration data (see pages ii, 14, 16, 18).

Page 74:
Figure DK-1: Add unit 1000 DKK/km$^2$ to figure legend.

Page 75:
Figure DK-3: Legends should be:
$x$-axis: number of sample points; $y$-axis: added uncertainty (%).

Figure DK-4: Legends should be:
$x$-axis: within grid variation in NH$\sub{3}$ deposition (%); $y$-axis: exceedance of CL(N) (kgN/ha/yr)

Pages 147/149:
Swap the 'data' statements for 'pi180' and 'pi360' between the FORTRAN routines 'lemep' and 'emepll'. (The routines are printed correctly in the 1995 Status Report!)

Page 150:
Replace $x_\phi$ by $x_\theta$ twice in equation (A.12).

Page 154:
Replace the first line in equation (B.3) by
$$ W_n = W_{n-1} + \omega_n, \quad W_0 := 0 $$