



### **Probit function technical support document**

Date: 1 April 2021  
Document id: 20210401-phosphorous trichloride-INTERIM  
Status: interim  
Author: V. van de Weijgert (RIVM)  
E-mail response to: [omgevingsveiligheid@rivm.nl](mailto:omgevingsveiligheid@rivm.nl)


substance name	CAS number
<b>Phosphorus trichloride</b>	<b>7719-12-2</b>

This document describes the derivation of a probit function for application in a quantitative risk analysis (QRA). The probit function has been derived according to the methodology described in RIVM report 2015-0102.

This document has been checked for completeness by the Netherlands' National Institute of Public Health and the Environment (RIVM). The contents of this document, including the probit function, has been approved by the Dutch Expert Panel on Probit Functions on scientific grounds. External parties have had the opportunity to comment on the derivation of the proposed probit function. The status of this document has now been raised to "interim", pending a decision on its formal implementation.

The decision on actual implementation depends on the results of a further consequence analysis.

Detailed information on the procedures for the derivation, evaluation and formalization of probit functions is available at [http://www.rivm.nl/en/Topics/P/Probit\\_functions](http://www.rivm.nl/en/Topics/P/Probit_functions)



## 1 Technical support document phosphorous trichloride

### 1. Substance identification

CAS-number:	7719-12-2
IUPAC name:	phosphorous trichloride
Synonyms:	phosphorous(III)chloride, trichlorophosphine, phosphorous chloride
Molecular formula:	PCl <sub>3</sub> or Cl <sub>3</sub> P
Molecular weight:	137.3 g/mol
Physical state:	liquid (at 20°C and 101.3 kPa)
Boiling point:	76°C (at 101.3 kPa)
Vapour pressure:	13.3 kPa (at 20°C)
Saturated vapor conc:	133000 ppm = 759605 mg/m <sup>3</sup> (at 20°C)
Conversion factor:	1 mg/m <sup>3</sup> = 0.175 ppm (at 20°C and 101.3 kPa) 1 ppm = 5.711 mg/m <sup>3</sup> (at 20°C and 101.3 kPa)
Labelling:	H300-314-330-373

### 2. Mechanism of action and toxicological effects following acute exposure<sup>1</sup>

**Special considerations:** Phosphorous trichloride (PCl<sub>3</sub>) hydrolyses to HCl, phosphonic acid and pyrophosphonic acids, which may (partly) be responsible for the irritation effects besides the parent compound. However, the mechanism of action of PCl<sub>3</sub> is not completely understood and may depend on the location, rate and completeness of the hydrolysis of PCl<sub>3</sub> to HCl, H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> or phosphorus. Based on a comparison between 4-hour lethal concentrations (25% mortality) of PCl<sub>3</sub> and HCl, acute toxicity of PCl<sub>3</sub> and HCl appears to be comparable after molar adjustment on chloride content (see section 6).

**Acute effects:** The main target organs resulting from inhalation exposure are the respiratory tract, cornea and skin. Signs and symptoms that can be expected are: burning eyes, shortness of breath, nose and throat irritation, lacrimation, headache, nausea, burning sensation on the skin, sputum production, chest pains, wheezing, skin rash, blurred vision, vomiting, and abdominal pain. Lethality is caused by tissue damage of the respiratory tract resulting in pulmonary oedema and dyspnoea. Ultimately, hypoxemia will result in CNS hypoxia and CNS depression and to cardiovascular effects that may finally lead to death.

**Long-term effects:** Similar effects as for acute exposure are expected after chronic exposure. Asthmatic bronchitis was observed in workers after 1-8 week exposure to 14-27 ppm (80-154 mg/m<sup>3</sup>), but resolved upon cessation of exposure.

### 3. Human toxicity data

No informative reports on health effects in humans following acute inhalation exposure to PCl<sub>3</sub> were identified. Such reports are considered informative if both health effects as well as the exposure have been documented in sufficient detail.

The following study of human exposure to PCl<sub>3</sub> was identified. Patients that were accidentally exposed to PCl<sub>3</sub> and its degradation products after a railroad accident showed symptoms such as burning eyes (85%; mild conjunctivitis in 36%), shortness of breath (59%), throat irritation (59%) and lacrimation (59%), headache and nausea (48%), burning skin (44%), sputum production (41%),

<sup>1</sup> AEGL, 2011 and Chemiekaart (2018).

1 generalized or pleuritic chest pain (33%) and rash/itch (33%), wheezing (26%),  
2 blurred vision (22%), vomiting (15%) and abdominal pain (15%) (Wason et al.,  
3 1982, 1984). Lactic dehydrogenase was increased in 22% of patients and  
4 recovered within four weeks after exposure. Pulmonary function tests showed a  
5 decrease of vital capacity in patients within 1/8 mile of the event. Hypoxaemia was  
6 recorded in these patients after two months.

#### 10 **4. Animal acute toxicity data**

11 During the literature search the following technical support documents and  
12 databases were consulted:

- 13 1. AEGL final TSD, ERPG document and EU RAR and reference database for  
14 phosphorous trichloride, covering references before and including 1995.
- 15 2. An additional search covering publications from 1980 onwards was performed in  
16 HSDB, MEDline/PubMed, Toxcenter, IUCLID, ECHA, RTECS, IRIS and ToxNet  
17 with the following search terms:
  - 18 • Substance name and synonyms
  - 19 • CAS number
  - 20 • lethal\*
  - 21 • mortal\*
  - 22 • fatal\*
  - 23 • LC50, LC
  - 24 • probit
- 25 3. Unpublished data were sought through networks of toxicological scientists.

26  
27 Animal lethal toxicity data focused on acute exposure are described in Appendix 1.  
28 A total of 7 studies were identified -with datasets for 4 species- with data on  
29 lethality following acute inhalation exposure. No datasets were assigned status A or  
30 B for deriving the human probit function. 7 were assessed to be unfit (status C) for  
31 human probit function derivation.

#### 33 **Sensory irritation**

34 No studies were identified in which sensory irritation was studied.

#### 37 **5. Probit functions from individual studies**

38 All available acute lethality data on phosphorous trichloride are displayed in Figure  
39 1.


40 It was not possible to derive a probit function for phosphorous trichloride based on  
41 these studies, because the latter were classified as C.



1 If no excess water is available, the hydrolysis of  $\text{PCl}_3$  will be different both in terms  
2 of hydrolysis products and hydrolysis rate. It was observed that first phosphorous  
3 acid was formed ( $\text{H}_3\text{PO}_3$ ) and consequently  $\text{PCl}_3$  reacts with the phosphorous acid,  
4 according to this equation:



8 The apolar shape of the  $\text{PCl}_3$  molecule prohibits water molecules to bind easily. The  
9 hydrolysis ratio remains 1 mole of  $\text{PCl}_3$  producing 3 moles of HCl according to the  
10 following equation:



14 The latter scenario may apply when  $\text{PCl}_3$  is released into the atmosphere, while the  
15 former scenario applies when an aqueous solution is released. Hence, this  
16 phenomenon also influences to which substance(s) one is exposed during an  
17 incident, i.e. either predominantly to  $\text{PCl}_3$  (no excess of water) or to HCl (water in  
18 excess).

20 The type of hydrolysis also influences the necessity to consider respiratory tract  
21 irritation as possible effect. Complete hydrolysis may occur already in humid air or  
22 in contact with mucous in the respiratory tract; in that case the hydrolysis product  
23 HCl is likely to cause irritation or severe effects at higher concentrations. If  
24 exposure is predominantly to  $\text{PCl}_3$  in (dry) air, because hydrolysis has not been  
25 completed yet, it may produce severe burns in the eyes and mucous membrane  
26 and breathing difficulties at high concentrations, which may be delayed as much as  
27 a day in onset (Kapias and Griffiths, 2001). As such the lower airways may be  
28 reached resulting in amongst others bronchitis and chemical pneumonitis.

30 The AEGL TSD on  $\text{PCl}_3$  summarizes an unpublished study conducted for the  
31 Monsanto Company. Groups of 15 rats/sex were exposed for 6 h/d, 5 d/w for 4  
32 weeks to  $\text{PCl}_3$  up to an analytical concentration of 11 ppm ( $63 \text{ mg/m}^3$ );  
33 histopathological alterations were limited to the nasal turbinates of rats. No rat died  
34 during the exposure period and no treatment-related adverse effects were  
35 observed (AEGL, 2011). Weeks et al. (1964) reported swelling, oedema,  
36 discoloration and subsequent sloughing of nasal tissue in rats in an acute mortality  
37 study. Pulmonary damage was considered to be negligible. These findings indicate  
38 that in these exposure situations,  $\text{PCl}_3$  mainly causes effects in the nasopharyngeal  
39 region and that it is unlikely that hydrolysis of  $\text{PCl}_3$  to HCl and other reaction  
40 products will occur in the lower respiratory tract.

42 According to the NRC/AEGL Committee (AEGL, 2011) human- and animal data  
43 indicate some variability in the toxic response to  $\text{PCl}_3$ , with guinea pigs being the  
44 more sensitive among the species tested, with a two-fold lower 4-hour  $\text{LC}_{50}$   
45 compared to the rat (Weeks et al., 1964). However, the NAC/AEGL Committee  
46 concluded that the data are insufficient to reliably describe species variability in the  
47 toxic response to inhaled  $\text{PCl}_3$ . The Committee further concluded that using the  
48 guinea pig data would result in AEGL values that are inconsistent with human data.

50 It is expected that both scenarios of release (abundant humidity and dry air) can  
51 occur. Because complete hydrolysis of  $\text{PCl}_3$  cannot be excluded, it is assumed and  
52 used as basis for derivation of a human probit function. Hence, HCl data can be  
53 used to assess the toxicity of  $\text{PCl}_3$  including considerations on possible differences  
54 in toxicity between HCl and  $\text{PCl}_3$ .

56 To use the toxicity data of HCl, a comparison on molar basis was made between  
57 lethal concentrations of  $\text{PCl}_3$  and HCl. Data from the Monsanto (1986) study showed  
58 25% mortality after an exposure to  $1256 \text{ mg/m}^3$  (220 ppm)  $\text{PCl}_3$  (based on Cl  
59 content) for 4 hours. This corresponds to a chloride-adjusted exposure of

1 **660 ppm**, which was then compared to a calculated LC<sub>25</sub> of **922 ppm** (1398  
2 mg/m<sup>3</sup>) for HCl (based on the derived animal probit function for HCl which is based  
3 on three A-quality datasets for HCl). Based on this comparison, it appears that after  
4 molar adjustment on chloride content, the acute toxicity of PCl<sub>3</sub> is comparable to  
5 that of HCl. In addition, 922 ppm (1398 mg/m<sup>3</sup>) is only a factor 1,5 larger than 660  
6 ppm. This consideration is included in the applied assessment factors, so P-content  
7 is already taken into account in the uncertainty analysis.

8  
9 For final analysis, the only data point is a LC<sub>25</sub> value from Monsanto (1986).

10  
11 Therefore, as the point of departure for deriving the human probit function the 30  
12 min rat LC<sub>50</sub> value of 5198 mg/m<sup>3</sup> of HCl was taken, which equals 3426 ppm (RIVM,  
13 2018). In conditions of excess water, complete hydrolysis of 1 mole PCl<sub>3</sub> produces 3  
14 moles of HCl. Therefore the 30 min LC<sub>50</sub> value of PCl<sub>3</sub> is estimated as 3426 / 3 =  
15 1142 ppm (6522 mg/m<sup>3</sup> PCl<sub>3</sub>).

16 The human equivalent LC<sub>50</sub> was calculated by applying the following assessment  
17 factors:  
18

19 **Table 1** Rationale for the applied assessment factors.

Assessment factor for:	Factor	Rationale
Animal to human extrapolation:	2	Based on analogy with HCl a factor of 1 would suffice. However, because it cannot be fully excluded that in humans HCl will be formed in the lower regions of the respiratory tract, a factor of 2 is chosen.
Nominal concentration	1	Analytical data used in HCl studies and Monsanto (1986).
Adequacy of database:	3	The database and analysis is weak (Monsanto, 1986)

20  
21 The estimated human equivalent 30-minute LC<sub>50</sub> value is 6522 / (3 × 2) =  
22 **1087 mg/m<sup>3</sup>**.

23  
24 The experimentally determined n-value for HCl was 1.367. Assuming a regression  
25 coefficient (b×n) of 2 for the slope of the curve, the b-value can be calculated as 2  
26 / 1.367 = **1.463**.

27  
28  
29 The human probit function is then calculated based on the human equivalent 30  
30 min LC<sub>50</sub> using the above parameters to solve the following equation to obtain the  
31 a-value (the intercept):  $5 = a + 1.463 \times \ln(1087^{1.367} \times 30)$  resulting in the a-  
32 value of **-13.96**.

33  
34 **Pr = -14.0 + 1.46 × ln (C<sup>1.37</sup> × t) with C in mg/m<sup>3</sup> and t in min.**

35  
36 The derived human probit function has a scientifically reasonable basis. Data on  
37 PCl<sub>3</sub> do not allow probit function derivation. The probit function is, therefore, based  
38 on the lethal toxicity of HCl in the rat, under the assumption that PCl<sub>3</sub> toxicity is  
39 well predicted by HCl formation in case of excess water. However, it cannot be fully  
40 excluded that in humans, PCl<sub>3</sub> may reach the lower respiratory tract and that HCl  
41 may be formed in the lower regions of the respiratory tract. The HCl probit function  
42 itself is based on two studies in the rat (three datasets), with A quality.

43  
44 The calculated human 60 min LC<sub>0.1</sub> (Pr = 1.91) calculated with this probit equation  
45 is 142 mg/m<sup>3</sup> and the calculated human 60 min LC<sub>1</sub> (Pr = 2.67) is 208 mg/m<sup>3</sup>.

1  
2  
3**Table 2** *LC-values calculated with the derived probit function compared with existing acute inhalation exposure guidelines.*

Estimated level	30 min (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	60 min (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )
0.1% lethality, this probit	236	142
1% lethality, this probit	345	208
AEGL-3 <sup>2</sup> (2018, final)	40	32
ERPG-3 <sup>2</sup> (2016)	-	87
LBW (2018)	40	32

4  
5  
6  
7  
8

Compared with equivalent (inter)national guideline levels as presented in the table above, the lethal levels derived with this probit function are higher.

---

<sup>2</sup> AEGL and ERPG values were converted from ppm to mg/m<sup>3</sup> with the conversion factor calculated in section 1. Therefore, the AEGL and ERPG values in mg/m<sup>3</sup> can deviate slightly from those reported in the AEGL and ERPG TSDs.

1 **Appendix 1**                    **Animal experimental research**

2  
3 **Study ID: C.1**

4  
5 **Author, year: Monsanto, 1986 (MSDS + OECD SIDS 2004)**

6 Substance:                     $\text{PCl}_3$   
7 Species, strain, sex:        rat, strain not specified  
8 Number/sex/conc. group: 10/concentration, sex not specified  
9 Age and weight:            not specified  
10 Observation period:        14 days

11  
12 **Evaluation of study quality**

Criteria	Comment
Study carried out according to GLP	<i>GLP statement not provided</i>
Study carried out according to OECD 403 guideline(s)	<i>No mention of guideline OECD 403</i>
Stability of test compound in test atmosphere	<i>Hydrolysis occurs</i>
Use of vehicle (other than air)	<i>No information</i>
Whole body / nose-only (incl. head/nose-only) exposure	<i>Whole body or nose-only</i>
Type of restrainer	<i>N/A</i>
Pressure distribution	<i>No information</i>
Homogeneity of test atmosphere in breathing zone of animals	<i>Not specified, parent compound unlikely to be homogenous due to hydrolysis</i>
Number of air changes per hour	<i>Not specified</i>
Equilibration time (t95)	<i>Insufficient data available to calculate t95</i>
Start of exposure relative to equilibration	<i>No information</i>
Actual concentration measurement	<i>Air samples were collected in impingers containing 20 ml of sodium hydroxide. Analysis based on inorganic phosphorus content and chlorine in a chloride meter</i>
Particle size distribution measurement in breathing zone of the animals in case of aerosol exposure	<i>MMAD: &lt;0.65 microns in the high concentrations and 1.75 microns in the lowest concentration group (based on OECD SIDS 2004 document)</i>
Assessment of Reliability	<b>C</b> <i>Actual concentrations are insufficiently reported; some mortality was reported at the highest concentration tested; an <math>LC_{50}</math> value cannot be calculated</i>

13  
14  
15 **Results**

16

Species	Concentration ( $\text{mg}/\text{m}^3$ )			Exposure duration (min)	Lethality	
	Nominal	Analytical based on Cl content	Analytical based on P content		Nose only	Whole body
Rat	162	91	-	240	0/10	0/10
Rat	577	577	468	240	0/10	0/10

Rat	1587	908	674	240	0/10	0/10
Rat	2586	1256	857	240	2/10	3/10

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55

**Probit function**

An animal probit function and associated LC-values could not be calculated, since only one exposure duration is tested and (some) mortality was only observed at the highest concentration tested.

1 **Study ID: C.2**2  
3 **Author, year: Weeks et al., 1964 (1)**4 Substance:  $\text{PCl}_3$ 

5 Species, strain, sex: rat, female, unspecified strain

6 Number/sex/conc. group: 20 females/concentration group; no details are available  
7 on the number of groups or the concentrations tested

8 Age and weight: young-adults, weight not specified

9 Observation period: 14 days

10 **Evaluation of study quality**  
11

<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Study carried out according to GLP	<i>GLP did not exist at the time</i>
Study carried out according to OECD 403 guideline(s)	<i>OECD guideline 403 did not exist at the time</i>
Stability of test compound in test atmosphere	<i>Hydrolysis occurs to the extent of about 40% in the test atmosphere</i>
Use of vehicle (other than air)	<i>Air (dried oil-pumped nitrogen to make substance airborne)</i>
Whole body / nose-only (incl. head/nose-only) exposure	<i>Whole body</i>
Type of restrainer	<i>N/A</i>
Pressure distribution	<i>Test chamber was kept at -0.6 mm water</i>
Homogeneity of test atmosphere in breathing zone of animals	<i>Not specified, parent compound unlikely to be homogenous due to hydrolysis</i>
Number of air changes per hour	<i>15 per hour (100 l/min in 400 l chamber)</i>
Equilibration time (t95)	<i><math>t_{95} = 3 \cdot (400/100 \text{ l/min}) = 12 \text{ minutes}</math></i>
Start of exposure relative to equilibration	<i>Unknown</i>
Actual concentration measurement	<i>Airborne compound concentrations were determined by sampling and analyses of the atmosphere. Knowlton filter paper was used to collect particulate matter. Phosphorus was analyzed by the molybdenum blue method, chloride by the Caldwell and Moyer modification of the Volhard method. A cascade impactor was used to determine the particle sizes</i>
Particle size distribution measurement in breathing zone of the animals in case of aerosol exposure	<i>MMAD measured to be about 7.8 <math>\mu\text{m}</math></i>

Assessment of Reliability	<p><b>C</b></p> <p><i>The results are considered to be of insufficient quality to derive a probit function because of:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>The absence of data on the rate of the hydrolysis and how this is accounted for</i></li> <li>- <i>The absence of data on exposure conditions</i></li> <li>- <i>It is not known whether the calculated LC<sub>50</sub> is based on actual PCl<sub>3</sub> concentrations</i></li> <li>- <i>Very high MMAD</i></li> </ul>
---------------------------	--

1 (1) An unsuccessful attempt was made to retrieve the original dataset from this  
2 study by contacting the responsible authority (US army).  
3

4 **Results**

5 Nostrils and paws of the exposed rats exhibited swelling, oedema, discoloration and  
6 subsequent sloughing of tissue. Microscopic examination revealed necrosis of  
7 epithelium and supporting structures in the nostrils but pulmonary damage was  
8 considered to be negligible. Deaths occurred over a period of 10 days.

9 A 4-hour LC<sub>50</sub> of 594 mg/m<sup>3</sup> was reported for female rats.

10

11 **Probit function**

12 An animal probit function and associated LC-values could not be calculated based  
13 on data from Weeks et al. (1964).  
14  
15

1 **Study ID: C.3**2  
3 **Author, year: Weeks et al., 1964 (2)**4 Substance: PCl<sub>3</sub>

5 Species, strain, sex: guinea pig, male, unspecified strain

6 Number/sex/conc. group: 10 males/concentration group;

7 Age and weight: not specified

8 Observation period: 14 days

9  
10 **Evaluation of study quality**

<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Study carried out according to GLP	<i>GPL did not exist at the time</i>
Study carried out according to OECD 403 guideline(s)	<i>OECD guideline 403 did not exist at the time</i>
Stability of test compound in test atmosphere	<i>Hydrolysis occurs to the extent of about 40% during testing</i>
Use of vehicle (other than air)	<i>Mainly air (dried oil-pumped nitrogen to make substance airborne)</i>
Whole body / nose-only (incl. head/nose-only) exposure	<i>Whole body</i>
Type of restrainer	<i>N/A</i>
Pressure distribution	<i>Negative pressure in test unit: not specified</i>
Homogeneity of test atmosphere in breathing zone of animals	<i>Not specified, mother compound unlikely to be homogenous due to hydrolysis</i>
Number of air changes per hour	<i>15 per hour (100 l/min in 400 l chamber)</i>
Equilibration time (t95)	<i>t95 = 3*(400/100 l/min) = 12 minutes</i>
Start of exposure relative to equilibration	<i>Unknown</i>
Actual concentration measurement	<i>Airborne compounds were determined by sampling and analyses of the atmosphere. Knowlton filter paper was used to collect particulate matter. Phosphorus was analyzed by the molybdenum blue method, chloride by the Caldwell and Moyer modification of the Volhard method. A cascade impactor was used to determine the particle sizes</i>
Particle size distribution measurement in breathing zone of the animals in case of aerosol exposure	<i>MMAD measured to be about 7.8 µm</i>

Assessment of Reliability	<p><b>C</b></p> <p><i>The results are considered to be of insufficient quality to derive a probit function because of:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>The absence of data on the rate of the hydrolysis and how this is accounted for</i></li> <li>- <i>The absence of data on exposure conditions</i></li> <li>- <i>It is not known whether the calculated LC<sub>50</sub> is based on actual PCl<sub>3</sub> concentrations.</i></li> <li>- <i>Very high MMAD</i></li> </ul>
---------------------------	---

(2) An unsuccessful attempt was made to retrieve the original dataset from this study by contacting the responsible authority (US army).

**Results**

A 4-hour LC<sub>50</sub> of 286 mg/m<sup>3</sup> was reported for male guinea pigs.

**Probit function**

An animal probit function and associated LC-values could not be calculated based on data from Weeks et al. (1964).

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 **Study ID: C.4**2  
3 **Author, year: Butjagin, 1904**4 Substance:  $\text{PCl}_3$ 

5 Species, strain, sex: cat, unspecified strain

6 Number/sex/conc. group: 1 /concentration

7 Age and weight: weights varied from 2100-3540 grams

8 Observation period: varied from none to 7 days

9  
10 **Evaluation of study quality**

<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Study carried out according to GLP	<i>GPL did not exist at the time</i>
Study carried out according to OECD 403 guideline(s)	<i>OECD guideline 403 did not exist at the time</i>
Stability of test compound in test atmosphere	<i>The substance hydrolyses in test atmosphere by an unknown percentage</i>
Use of vehicle (other than air)	<i>No information</i>
Whole body / nose-only (incl. head/nose-only) exposure	<i>Whole body</i>
Type of restrainer	<i>N/A</i>
Pressure distribution	<i>Negative pressure in test unit: not specified</i>
Homogeneity of test atmosphere in breathing zone of animals	<i>Not specified, mother compound unlikely to be homogenous due to hydrolysis</i>
Number of air changes per hour	<i>No information</i>
Equilibration time (t95)	<i>Insufficient data available to calculate t95</i>
Start of exposure relative to equilibration	<i>No information</i>
Actual concentration measurement	<i>Moyer, Volhard and weight analyses were performed</i>
Particle size distribution measurement in breathing zone of the animals in case of aerosol exposure	<i>MMAD: not specified</i>
Assessment of Reliability	<b>C</b> <i>Outdated study which is not considered very reliable. Data not usable for probit derivation. Assessment of exposure concentration unreliable</i>

11  
12 **Results**

Species	Concentration back calculated (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Exposure duration(min)	Exposed	Responded
Cat	4.45	180	1	0
Cat	16.3	360	1	0
Cat	19.8	360	1	0
Cat	29.6	360	1	0
Cat	64	420	1	0
Cat	64	600	1	0

Species	Concentration back calculated (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Exposure duration(min)	Exposed	Response
Cat	324	360	1	0
Cat	326	360	1	0
Cat	551	360	1	0
Cat	753	390	1	1
Cat	784	306	1	1
Cat	988	240	1	1
Cat	3536	181	1	1

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

**Probit function**

An animal probit function and associated LC-values could not be calculated based on data from Butjagin *et al.* (1904).

1 **Study ID: C.5**2  
3 **Author, year: Butjagin, 1904**4 Substance:  $\text{PCl}_3$ 

5 Species, strain, sex: rabbit, unspecified strain

6 Number/sex/conc. group: 1/concentration

7 Age and weight: weights varied from 1050-1710 grams

8 Observation period: varied from none to 7 days

9  
10 **Evaluation of study quality**

Criteria	Comment
Study carried out according to GLP	<i>GPL did not exist at the time</i>
Study carried out according to OECD 403 guideline(s)	<i>OECD guideline 403 did not exist at the time</i>
Stability of test compound in test atmosphere	<i>The substance hydrolyses in test atmosphere by an unknown percentage</i>
Use of vehicle (other than air)	<i>No information</i>
Whole body / nose-only (incl. head/nose-only) exposure	<i>Whole body</i>
Type of restrainer	<i>N/A</i>
Pressure distribution	<i>No information</i>
Homogeneity of test atmosphere in breathing zone of animals	<i>Not specified, mother compound unlikely to be homogenous due to hydrolysis</i>
Number of air changes per hour	<i>No information</i>
Equilibration time (t95)	<i>Insufficient data available to calculate t95</i>
Start of exposure relative to equilibration	<i>No information</i>
Actual concentration measurement	<i>Moyer, Volhard and weight analyses were performed</i>
Particle size distribution measurement in breathing zone of the animals in case of aerosol exposure	<i>MMAD: not specified</i>
Assessment of Reliability	<b>C</b> <i>Outdated study which is not considered very reliable. Data not usable for probit derivation. Assessment of exposure concentration unreliable</i>

11  
12 **Results**

Species	Concentration back calculated (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Exposure duration(min)	Exposed	Responded
Rabbit	16.3	360	1	0
Rabbit	19.8	360	1	0
Rabbit	29.6	360	1	0
Rabbit	64	420	1	0
Rabbit	64	600	1	0
Rabbit	326	360	1	0
Rabbit	988	240	1	0

Species	Concentration back calculated (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Exposure duration(min)	Exposed	Responded
Rabbit	3536	181	1	1

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

**Probit function**

An animal probit function and associated LC-values could not be calculated based on data from Butjagin *et al.* (1904).

1 **Study ID: C.6**2  
3 **Author, year: Molodkina, 1973**

4 Substance:  $\text{PCl}_3$   
 5 Species, strain, sex: rat, unspecified strain  
 6 Number/sex/conc. group: not specified  
 7 Age and weight: not specified  
 8 Observation period: not specified

9  
10 **Evaluation of study quality**

<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Study carried out according to GLP	<i>GPL did not exist at the time</i>
Study carried out according to OECD 403 guideline(s)	<i>OECD guideline 403 did not exist at the time</i>
Stability of test compound in test atmosphere	<i>The substance hydrolyses in test atmosphere by an unknown percentage</i>
Use of vehicle (other than air)	<i>No information</i>
Whole body / nose-only (incl. head/nose-only) exposure	<i>No information</i>
Type of restrainer	<i>No information</i>
Pressure distribution	<i>No information</i>
Homogeneity of test atmosphere in breathing zone of animals	<i>Not specified</i>
Number of air changes per hour	<i>No information</i>
Equilibration time (t95)	<i>Insufficient data available to calculate t95</i>
Start of exposure relative to equilibration	<i>No information</i>
Actual concentration measurement	<i>No information</i>
Particle size distribution measurement in breathing zone of the animals in case of aerosol exposure	<i>MMAD: not specified</i>
Assessment of Reliability	<b>C</b> <i>Only LC<sub>50</sub> reported, no exposure time given</i>

11  
12 **Results**

13 An LC<sub>50</sub> value of 223 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (unknown exposure time) was reported by the author.  
 14  
 15  
 16  
 17  
 18  
 19  
 20  
 21  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25  
 26  
 27  
 28  
 29  
 30  
 31

**Study ID: C.7****Author, year: Roshchin, 1977**

Substance:  $\text{PCl}_3$   
 Species, strain, sex: not specified  
 Number/sex/conc. group: 646 white rats, 57 guinea pigs, 102 white mice, 12 rabbits in total for entire study (includes also other compounds and subacute studies).  
 Age and weight: not specified  
 Observation period: not specified

**Evaluation of study quality**

<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Study carried out according to GLP	<i>GPL did not exist at the time</i>
Study carried out according to OECD 403 guideline(s)	<i>OECD guideline 403 did not exist at the time</i>
Stability of test compound in test atmosphere	<i>The substance hydrolyses in test atmosphere by an unknown percentage</i>
Use of vehicle (other than air)	<i>No information</i>
Whole body / nose-only (incl. head/nose-only) exposure	<i>No information</i>
Type of restrainer	<i>No information</i>
Pressure distribution	<i>No information</i>
Homogeneity of test atmosphere in breathing zone of animals	<i>Not specified, mother compound unlikely to be homogenous due to hydrolysis</i>
Number of air changes per hour	<i>No information</i>
Equilibration time (t95)	<i>No information</i>
Start of exposure relative to equilibration	<i>No information</i>
Actual concentration measurement	<i>Moyer, Volhard and weight analyses were performed</i>
Particle size distribution measurement in breathing zone of the animals in case of aerosol exposure	<i>MMAD: not specified</i>
Assessment of Reliability	<b>C</b> <i>No study details were provided. An <math>\text{LC}_{50}</math> of <math>226 \text{ mg/m}^3</math> was reported without information about the species or the exposure conditions</i>

**Results**

No probit function could be calculated. An  $\text{LC}_{50}$  of  $226 \text{ mg/m}^3$  was reported by the author.

1 **Appendix 2** **Reference list**

- 2  
3 AEGL, National Research Council. Acute Exposure Guideline Levels for Selected  
4 Airborne Chemicals. Volume 10. Washington, DC. The National Academies Press,  
5 2011.  
6  
7 Butjagin PW. Experimentelle studien uber den Einflufs Technisch und Hygienisch  
8 Wichtiger Gase und Dampfe auf den Organisms. Archiv f Hyg. (1904), Vol 49. 307-  
9 335  
10  
11 Chemiekaarten. Ed 33. Den Haag. TNO/SDU uitgevers, 2018.  
12  
13 Hartzell, G.E., A.F. Grand, and W.G. Switzer. Modelling of toxicological effects of  
14 fire gases: VI. Further studies on the toxicity of smoke containing hydrogen  
15 chloride. J. Fire Sci. 1985;5:368-391.  
16  
17 Kapias, T. and Griffiths, R.F. Spill behaviour using REACTPOOL Part III. Results for  
18 accidental releases of phosphorous trichloride (PCl<sub>3</sub>) and oxychloride (POCl<sub>3</sub>) and  
19 general discussion. Journal of Hazardous Materials, A81 (2001): 223-249.  
20  
21 Molodkina, NN.: Comparative Toxicity of Chloride Compounds of Phosphorus  
22 (POCl<sub>3</sub>, PCl<sub>3</sub>, PCl<sub>5</sub>) in Single and Repeated Exposures. Toksikologiya Novykh  
23 Prom. Khim. Veshch. (1973) 13: 107-1 14.  
24  
25 Monsanto Company. Material Safety Data Sheet – Phosphorus Trichloride. St. Louis,  
26 MO, (1986).  
27  
28 National Research Council. Acute Exposure Guideline Levels for Selected Airborne  
29 Chemicals. Volume 10. Washington, DC. The National Academies Press, 2011.  
30  
31 OECD SIDS, 2004. Phosphorous trichloride. SIDS Initial Assessment Report for  
32 SIAM 19, Berlin, Germany.  
33  
34 RIVM 2016. Interventiewaarden gevaarlijke stoffen.  
35 [http://www.rivm.nl/rvs/Normen/Rampen\\_en\\_incidenten/Interventiewaarden](http://www.rivm.nl/rvs/Normen/Rampen_en_incidenten/Interventiewaarden)  
36  
37 RIVM, 2018. Probit waterstofchloride (interim).  
38 <https://www.rivm.nl/waterstofchloride>  
39  
40 Roshchin AV., Molodkina NN. Chloro compounds of phosphorus as industrial  
41 hazards. J. Hygiene, epidem. Microbial. Immunology (1977). No. 4, 387-394.  
42  
43 Ruijten M.W.M.M., J.H.E. Arts, P.J. Boogaard *et al.* Methods for the derivation of  
44 probit functions to predict acute lethality following inhalation of toxic substances.  
45 RIVM report 2015-0102. Bilthoven, RIVM, 2015.  
46  
47 Wason, S., I. Gomolin, P. Gross, and F.H. Lovejoy, Jr. 1982. Phosphorus trichloride  
48 exposure: A follow-up study of 27 exposed patients. Vet. Human Toxicol.  
49 24(4):275-  
50 276[Abstract B-5].  
51  
52 Wason, S., I. Gomolin, P. Gross, S. Mariam, and F.H. Lovejoy. 1984. Phosphorus  
53 trichloride toxicity: Preliminary report. Am. J. Med. 77(6):1039-1042.  
54  
55 Weeks MH., Musselman NP., Yevich PP., Jacobson KH., Oberst FW. Acute vapor  
56 toxicity of phosphorus oxychloride, phosphorus trichloride and methyl phosphonic  
57 dichloride. AIHA journal (1964) Vol. 5, 470-475.  
58  
59

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

### **Appendix 3            Technical support document Hydrogen chloride**

Probit function technical support document

Date:                            31 January 2017  
 Comments before:        Day, Month, 2017  
 Document id:                20170131-hydrogen chloride-PROPOSED  
 Status:                        proposed  
 Author:                        Marc Ruijten, CrisisTox Consult  
                                       Commisioned by the RIVM  
 E-mail response to:        omgevingsveiligheid@rivm.nl

substance name	CAS number
<b>Hydrogen chloride</b>	<b>7647-01-0</b>

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41

This draft document describes the derivation of a probit function for application in a quantitative risk analysis (QRA). The probit function has been derived according to the methodology described in RIVM report 2015-0102.

This document has been checked for completeness by the Netherlands' National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM) and has been assigned the status "proposed". The scientific expert panel on probit functions has approved this document for public discussion and comments. Interested parties are invited to submit comments and suggestions concerning this document within 6 weeks after the issue date to the email address mentioned above.

If the proposed probit function is approved by the expert panel on scientific grounds, after review and revisions following of public comments, the status of the document and probit function will be raised to "interim".

Subsequently, the Ministry of Infrastructure and the Environment will decide whether the probit function will be formally implemented. The decision on actual implementation will primarily be based on the results of a consequence analysis.

Detailed information on the procedures for the derivation, evaluation and formalization of probit functions is available at <http://www.rivm.nl/>.

# 1 Technical support document Hydrogen chloride

## 3 1. Substance identification

4	CAS-number:	7647-01-0
5	IUPAC name:	Hydrogen Chloride
6	Synonyms:	hydrochloric acid, muriatic acid
7	Molecular formula:	H-Cl
8	Molecular weight:	36.47
9	Physical state:	gas (at 20°C and 101.3 kPa)
10	Boiling point:	-85°C (at 101.3 kPa)
11	Vapour pressure:	4300 kPa (at 20°C)
12	Saturated vapour conc:	gas (at 20°C)
13	Conversion factor:	1 mg/m <sup>3</sup> = 0.660 ppm (at 20°C and 101.3 kPa)
14		1 ppm = 1.52 mg/m <sup>3</sup> (at 20°C and 101.3 kPa)
15	Labelling:	H: 331-314

## 18 2. Mechanism of action and toxicological effects following acute exposure

20 **Acute effects:** The main target organs and tissues for inhalation exposure to HCl are  
21 the cornea, conjunctiva, skin and respiratory tract. HCl dissolves in the mucous  
22 membranes of the respiratory tract and eyes to form hydrochloric acid, a strong acid  
23 that produces coagulative necrosis. The health endpoints are all related to the  
24 irritative and corrosive properties of HCl. Symptoms of high exposure are laboured  
25 breathing, secretions from nose, mouth and eyes and prostration.  
26 Damage occurs in the respiratory system, particularly the upper respiratory tract  
27 resulting in mucus secretion, upper airway and/or pulmonary oedema and  
28 laryngospasm. The resulting hypoxemia will cause CNS and cardiovascular  
29 (myocardial ischemia) effects. Lethality results when the respiratory damage proceeds  
30 to inflammation, degeneration and necrosis of affected tissue, atelectasis,  
31 emphysema and finally death (AHLS 2014, NRC 2004).

32 **Long-term effects:** Chronic exposure produces essentially the same type of health  
33 effects. Reactive Airways Dysfunction Syndrome, an acquired asthma-like condition  
34 has been described to develop after single exposure to a high concentration of HCl.  
35 Symptoms occur within minutes to hours after the initial exposure and may persist as  
36 non-specific bronchial hyperresponsiveness for months to years.

## 39 3. Human toxicity data

40 No informative reports on lethality in humans following acute inhalation exposure  
41 were identified. Such reports are considered informative if both health effects as well  
42 as the exposure have been documented in sufficient detail.

44 The following two studies of human exposure to HCl were identified.

45 In an experimental study 10 young adult asthmatic subjects were exposed to 2.7  
46 mg/m<sup>3</sup> for 45 minutes. This is the highest experimental exposure reported in  
47 literature. No lethality or irreversible effects were reported (Stevens *et al* 1992, as  
48 cited in NRC 2004).

49 An epidemiological study of occupational cancer mortality in groups with average  
50 exposure up to 5.7 mg/m<sup>3</sup> for durations up to >5 years did not report acute fatalities  
51 (Siemiatycki 1991, as cited in NRC 2004).

52 In view of the exposure levels and reported health effects, these studies have not  
53 been evaluated in more detail.

#### 4. Animal acute toxicity data

During the literature search the following technical support documents and databases were consulted:

4. AEGL final TSD (2004), ERPG document and EU RAR and reference database for hydrogen chloride, covering references before and including 1995.
5. An additional search covering publications from 1980 onwards was performed in HSDB, MEDline/PubMed, Toxcenter, ECHA, IUCLID, RTECS, IRIS and ToxNet with the following search terms:
  - Substance name and synonyms
  - CAS number
  - lethal\*
  - mortal\*
  - fatal\*
  - LC<sub>50</sub>, LC
  - probit
6. Unpublished data were sought through networks of toxicological scientists.

Animal lethal toxicity data focused on acute exposure are described in Appendix 1. A total of 15 studies were identified -with 18 datasets for 4 species- with data on lethality following acute inhalation exposure. Four datasets were assigned status A for deriving the human probit function, 8 datasets were assigned status B1, no datasets were assigned status B2 and 6 were assessed to be unfit (status C) for human probit function derivation.

#### Sensory irritation<sup>3</sup>

A total of 5 studies were identified in which sensory irritation was studied. In these studies the following RD<sub>50</sub> values were observed:

**Table 1** Sensory irritation data for hydrogen chloride

Species/strain	RD <sub>50</sub> (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Exposure duration (min)	Author/year
Mouse	470 <sup>P</sup>	10	Barrow et al 1977
Swiss Mouse	520 <sup>NS</sup>	3	Terrill et al 1976
ChR-CD rat	1160 <sup>P</sup>	10	Gardner 1980
Rat	605 <sup>P</sup>	30	Arts et al 2000
Rat	608 <sup>NS</sup>	5-60	Lapin 1981


P: a plateau was reached, NS: not specified if a plateau in response was reached.

While in the rat the respiratory rate decreases during acute exposure to HCl (the RD<sub>50</sub> value appears to be around 600-1200 mg/m<sup>3</sup>), exposure of baboons to 787-885 mg/m<sup>3</sup> resulted in an increased respiratory rate and minute volume, without a change in tidal volume (Kaplan *et al* 1993).

<sup>3</sup> Delete table if no applicable studies are identified. In that case, include text: No studies on sensory irritation were found.

1 **5. Probit functions from individual studies**

2 All available acute lethality data on hydrogen chloride are provided in Figure 1.



3 **Figure 2** All available acute lethality data for hydrogen chloride

4  
5 All A and B1 studies were initially selected for derivation of the animal probit function  
6 for hydrogen chloride. The data that were selected for primary analysis of the animal  
7 probit function are presented in Table 2 and Figure 3.

8  
9 To enable intra-species pooling, LC<sub>50</sub>-values of B1-studies were scaled using the  
10 average rat n-value of 1.367 for HCl with the following formula:

11  
12

$$LC_{50,c} = LC_{50,test} \left( \frac{t_{test}}{t_c} \right)^{(1/n)}$$

13  
14 With LC<sub>50,c</sub> = scaled LC<sub>50</sub> value for common exposure duration t<sub>c</sub>  
15 LC<sub>50,test</sub> = observed LC<sub>50</sub> value for tested exposure duration  
16 t<sub>c</sub> = common exposure duration for intra-species pooling  
17 t<sub>test</sub> = tested exposure duration  
18  
19

1 **Table 2** Data selected for initial analysis of the animal probit function of Hydrogen  
2 Chloride.

Study ID	Species	Probit (C in mg/m <sup>3</sup> , t in min)	LC <sub>50</sub> at tested exposure duration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) 95% C.I.	LC <sub>50</sub> , 30 minutes (mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) 95% C.I. ( <i>underline italic for scaled values</i> )	n-value 95% C.I.
A.1	Rat	-73.9 + 6.67×lnC + 4.19×Int		15580 (13350 - 18770)	1.59 (1.26-1.92)
A.2	Rat	-21.6 + 2.39×lnC + 1.64×Int		6671 (5527 - 7730)	1.46 (1.12-1.79)
A.3	Rat*	-12.6 + 1.46×lnC + 1.39×Int		6791 (5718 - 8006)	1.05 (0.88-1.21)
A3	Rat**	-14.6 + 1.62×lnC + 1.78×Int		4322 (3613 - 5064)	1.03 (0.87-1.19)
A3	Rat***	-31.8 + 2.85×lnC + 3.13×Int		9690 (8887 - 10550)	1.10 (0.97-1.22)
B1.1	Rat	30 min LC <sub>50</sub>		7684 (6906 - 8496)	N/A
B1.2	Mouse	30 min LC <sub>50</sub>		3616 (3093 - 4081)	N/A
B1.3	Rat	60 min LC <sub>50</sub>	5502 - 60 min (4656 - 7691)	<u>9136</u>	N/A
B1.4	Rat	60 min LC <sub>50</sub>	4748 - 60 min (4174 - 5246)	<u>7884</u>	N/A
B1.5	Mouse	60 min LC <sub>50</sub>	1648 - 60 min (1219-2138)	<u>2796</u>	N/A
B1.6	Rat	5 min LC <sub>50</sub>	62220 - 5 min (56770-69150)	<u>16776</u>	N/A

3 \* all data: pooled data from restrained and unrestrained animals


4 \*\* restrained animals

5 \*\*\* unrestrained animals


6

7

1 The data of the 3 A studies and 4 B1 studies are presented graphically below.



2 **Figure 3** Data selected for the initial analysis for the derivation of the animal probit  
 3 function of hydrogen chloride (all A studies and B1 studies).  
 4  
 5



6  
 7 **Figure 4** LC<sub>50</sub> values of A and B1 datasets for hydrogen chloride, over time where  
 8 available.  
 9


10 Based on visual inspection and the criteria outlined in the guideline the data from all A  
 11 studies and from B1 studies in rats and mice with 30- and 60-minute data were  
 12 selected for the final dataset for the derivation of the animal probit function. Mice  
 13 appear to be substantially more susceptible to the acute effects of HCl exposure.  
 14 Despite the unexplained difference in response to restraining, all data from Lapin  
 15 (1981) are used for the final analysis. The final data eligible for calculating the animal  
 16 probit function contains 11 datasets from 7 studies and includes data from 2 animal  
 17 species. The 5-minute rat data from study B1.6 serve as supportive evidence.

1 **Table 3** Data selected for the derivation of the animal probit function of hydrogen  
 2 chloride.

Study ID	Species	Probit (C in mg/m <sup>3</sup> , t in min)	LC <sub>50</sub> at tested exposure duration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) 95% C.I.	LC <sub>50</sub> , 30 minutes (mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) 95% C.I. ( <i>underline italic for scaled values</i> )	n-value 95% C.I.
A.1	Rat	-73.9 + 6.67×lnC + 4.19×Int		15580 (13350 - 18770)	1.59 (1.26-1.92)
A.2	Rat	-21.6 + 2.39×lnC + 1.64×Int		6671 (5527 - 7730)	1.46 (1.12-1.79)
A.3	Rat*	-12.6 + 1.46×lnC + 1.39×Int		6791 (5718 - 8006)	1.05 (0.88-1.21)
B1.1	Rat	30 min LC <sub>50</sub>		7684 (6906 - 8496)	N/A
B1.2	Mouse	30 min LC <sub>50</sub>		3616 (3093 - 4081)	N/A
B1.3	Rat	60 min LC <sub>50</sub>	5502 - 60 min (4656 - 7691)	<u>9136</u>	N/A
B1.4	Rat	60 min LC <sub>50</sub>	4748 - 60 min (4174 - 5246)	<u>7884</u>	N/A
B1.5	Mouse	60 min LC <sub>50</sub>	1648 - 60 min (1219-2138)	<u>2796</u>	N/A

3 \* all data: pooled data from restrained and unrestrained animals

4  
 5 The data of the selected datasets are presented graphically in Figure 4.



6 **Figure 5** Final data selected for derivation of the animal probit function of hydrogen  
 7 chloride.  
 8

## 6. Derivation of the human probit function

To derive the human probit function the results from the studies by Arts (2000), Hartzell (1987), Lapin (1981), Darmer (1974), Jean (2006), Wohlschlager (1976) and Higgins (1972) have been used to derive a point of departure. These studies qualified for the derivation of a probit function based on the criteria outlined in the methodology (Ruijten *et al* 2015). The results of study B1.3 (Jean) were used with a weight of 0.5 because only nominal concentrations were reported. The 5-minute rat data from study B1.6 (Higgins) serve as supportive evidence.

First, the arithmetic mean species-specific (rat) n-value was calculated to be 1.367.

Second, the LC<sub>50</sub>-values of all A- and B1-studies were calculated for a common exposure duration of 30 minutes. To enable this intra-species pooling, LC<sub>50</sub>-values of B1-studies were scaled using the rat n-value of 1.367 for HCl with the following formula:

$$LC_{50,c} = LC_{50,test} \left( \frac{t_{test}}{t_c} \right)^{(1/n)}$$

With  $LC_{50,c}$  = scaled LC<sub>50</sub> value for common exposure duration  $t_c$   
 $LC_{50,test}$  = observed LC<sub>50</sub> value for tested exposure duration  
 $t_c$  = common exposure duration for intra-species pooling  
 $t_{test}$  = tested exposure duration

Next, the weighted species-specific geometric mean LC<sub>50</sub>-values were calculated from all available (scaled) LC<sub>50</sub> values. The weighted species-specific geometric mean LC<sub>50</sub> value is 8498 mg/m<sup>3</sup> for rats and 3180 mg/m<sup>3</sup> for mice. Finally, an unweighted geometric mean overall LC<sub>50</sub>-value was calculated.

The formula for the pooling of the selected weighted and scaled LC<sub>50</sub>-values is as follows:

$$\overline{LC}_{50} = \left[ \prod_{j=1}^s \exp \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m w_i \times \ln(LC_{50,i})}{\sum_{i=1}^m w_i} \right) \right]^{(1/s)}$$

With  $\overline{LC}_{50}$  = geometric mean LC<sub>50</sub>-value across species  
 $LC_{50,i}$  = LC<sub>50</sub>-value of study i  
 $w$  = weight factor for study usefulness, default = 1  
 $m$  = number of observations on LC<sub>50</sub>-values within a species (i=1...m).  
 $s$  = number of species for which LC<sub>50</sub>-values are pooled (j= 1...s).

The 30-minute weighted geometric mean LC<sub>50</sub> value was 5198 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, the arithmetic mean n-value was 1.367.

In addition to the rodent data, the expert panel made the following observations:

- 3/3 baboons survived a 15-minute exposure to approximately 15000 mg/m<sup>3</sup> HCl (Kaplan *et al* 1988), while HCl exposure to 15000 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (Hartzell *et al*) and 12000 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (Lapin) for 15 minutes is fatal to 6/6 rats.
- The respiratory response of baboons (and therefore maybe in man) is clearly different from that in rats (Kaplan *et al* 1988, 1993).

Based on the primate data from Kaplan *et al* (1988, 1993) it was argued that the derivation of a probit function from rat and mouse data may overestimate the acute lethality of HCl in non-human primates and therefore most likely also in humans, assuming that baboons are a better model for lethality in humans than rats.

1 The human equivalent LC<sub>50</sub> was calculated by applying the following assessment  
2 factors:

Assessment factor for:	Factor	Rationale
Animal to human extrapolation:	1	Based on the available data on survival in baboons, an assessment factor of 1 was judged to be appropriate for HCl.
Nominal concentration	1	All reported concentrations are analytical.
Adequacy of database:	1	HCl has a strong database with 3 A studies and 6 B1 studies.

4  
5 The estimated human equivalent 30-minute LC<sub>50</sub> value is  $5198 / 1 = \mathbf{5198 \text{ mg/m}^3}$ .

6  
7 The experimentally determined weighted average n-value was **1.367** (studies A1, A2  
8 and A3). Assuming a regression coefficient (b×n) of 2 for the slope of the curve, the  
9 b-value can be calculated as  $2 / n = \mathbf{1.463}$ .

10  
11 The human probit function is then calculated on the human equivalent 30 min LC<sub>50</sub>  
12 using the above parameters to solve the following equation to obtain the a-value (the  
13 intercept):  $5 = a + 1.463 \times \ln(5198^{1.367} \times 30)$  resulting in the a-value of **-17.09**.

14  
15  **$Pr = -17.1 + 1.46 \times \ln(C^{1.37} \times t)$  with C in mg/m<sup>3</sup> and t in min.**

16  
17 The derived human probit function has a scientifically sound basis. The probit function  
18 is based on 6 studies on HCl (6 rat and 2 mouse datasets) with A and B1 quality, with  
19 exposure durations ranging from 5 to 180 minutes and exposure concentrations  
20 ranging from 40 to 78.000 mg/m<sup>3</sup>.

21  
22 The human 60 min LC<sub>0.1</sub> (Pr = 1.91) calculated with this probit equation is 676 mg/m<sup>3</sup>  
23 and the calculated human 60 min LC<sub>1</sub> (Pr = 2.67) is 988 mg/m<sup>3</sup>.

Estimated level	30 min (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	60 min (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )
0.1% lethality, this probit	1120	676
1% lethality, this probit	1638	988
AEGL-3 (2004, final)	210	100
ERPG-3 (2015)		228
LBW (2015)	240	150

25  
26 Compared with equivalent (inter)national guideline levels as presented in the table  
27 above, the lethal levels derived with this probit function are higher. AEGL and ERPG  
28 values have been set with higher assessment factors to allow a wider margin of safety  
29 for susceptible individuals. However, despite these higher values, the baboon data  
30 suggest that this probit function leaves some margin of safety: the 15-minute LC<sub>01</sub>  
31 value based on the proposed probit function is 2717 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, where 3/3 baboons  
32 survived a 15-min exposure to 15000 mg/m<sup>3</sup>.

## Appendix 3.1 Animal experimental research

### Study ID: A.1

#### Author, year: Arts et al 2000

Substance: Hydrogen Chloride  
 Species, strain, sex: Male and female rats, unspecified strain  
 Number/sex/conc. group: 1 / C×T combination / sex, total number of animals 58  
 Age and weight: unspecified  
 Observation period: 14 days

#### Evaluation of study quality

Criteria	Comment
Study carried out according to GLP	<i>No GLP statement provided</i>
Study carried out according to guideline(s)	<i>Equivalent to OECD 403 (10 animals per concentration); In this study 1 animal/sex/C×T combination was used</i>
Stability of test compound in test atmosphere	<i>Some evidence of aerosol formation at 12,300 and 23,400 mg/m<sup>3</sup> concentrations.</i>
Use of vehicle (other than air)	<i>Dry air (relative humidity 1%)</i>
Whole body / nose-only (incl. head/nose-only) exposure	<i>Head/nose only</i>
Type of restrainer	<i>Batelle tube, adequate restrainer</i>
Pressure distribution.	<i>Positive pressure at the nose of the animals (central cylinder), negative pressure in surrounding hood</i>
Homogeneity of test atmosphere at breathing zone of animals	<i>Empty animal location used as sampling point; based on air flow (see below,) homogeneity expected to be present</i>
Number of air changes per hour	<i>100 l/min (cylinder volume 50 l) for 10 rats, i.e. air flow is sufficient</i>
Equilibration time (t95)	<i>1.5 minutes</i>
Start of exposure relative to equilibration	<i>After complete equilibration</i>
Actual concentration measurement	<i>Acid/base titration based on colour change of titration fluid and amount of air passed through. This method may have produced biased concentration estimates due to interference of CO<sub>2</sub> exhaled by the animals.</i>
Particle size distribution measurement in breathing zone of the animals in case of aerosol exposure;	<i>N/A</i>
Assessment of Reliability	<b>A</b> <i>Well performed study</i>

1 **Results**  
2

Species	Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Exposure duration (min)	Lethality	
			Male	Female
Rat	4890	45/60/90/120/180	0/0/0/0/1	0/0/0/0/0
Rat	6620	30/45/60/90/120	0/0/0/0/1	0/0/0/0/0
Rat	9020	15/20/30/40/60	0/0/0/0/0	0/0/0/0/0
Rat	9250	60/90/120/150	1/1/1/1	1/1/1/1
Rat	12300	20/30/45/60/90	0/0/1/0/1	0/0/0/1/1
Rat	23400	10/20/30/45/60	0/1/1/1/1	0/1/1/1/1

3  
4  
5 **Probit function**

6 The probit function and associated LC-values have been calculated using the  
7 DoseResp program (Wil ten Berge, 2015) as

$$8 \text{ Pr} = a + b \times \ln C + c \times \ln t + d \times S$$

9 with C for concentration in mg/m<sup>3</sup>, t for time in minutes and S for sex (0 = female, 1  
10 = male).

11


Probit function	Species	a	b	C	d	n-value
Sex as covariate	Rat	-73.9	6.67	4.19	0.53	1.59 (1.26 - 1.92)
Sexes combined	Rat	-73.3	6.64	4.17		1.59 (1.26 - 1.93)

12  
13 The LC<sub>50</sub> values for both sexes did not differ by more than a factor of 2, nor did  
14 analysis with sex as covariate give any indication that sex differences exist. This does  
15 not support the proposition that sex differences exist in the lethal response. For this  
16 reason the data from both sexes were pooled and analysed to derive the animal  
17 probit function.  
18

Duration (min.)	LC <sub>50</sub> (mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) 95%-CI <b>Male</b>	LC <sub>50</sub> (mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) 95%-CI <b>Female</b>	LC <sub>50</sub> (mg/m <sup>3</sup> ) 95%-CI <b>Sexes combined</b>
10	29930 (21780 - 42990)	32420 (23560 - 47120)	31070 (22970 - 44100)
30	15000 (12460 - 18570)	16250 (13480 - 20360)	15580 (13350 - 18770)
60	9702 (8376 - 11440)	10510 (9066 - 12540)	10070 (9096 - 11420)

19  
20  
21 The results for males and females were derived from the analysis with sex as  
22 covariate. If the male and female datasets were analyzed separately, the outcome  
23 was slightly different. The 30-min LC<sub>50</sub> value (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) for males was 15,930 (11,750 -  
24 24,810) and for females 15,130 (12,030 - 24,860).  
25

26  
27 A graphical overview of the data is presented below. Each concentration-time  
28 combination (with 1 male and 1 female animal) represents one point in the plot.  
29



1  
2  
3

1 **Study ID: A.2**

2  
3 **Author, year: Hartzell et al 1985**

4 Substance: Hydrogen Chloride  
5 Species, strain, sex: Rat, male Sprague-Dawley  
6 Number/sex/conc. group: 6-8 / group (all male)  
7 Age and weight: adult, weight unspecified  
8 Observation period: 14 days

9  
10 **Evaluation of study quality**

Criteria	Comment
Study carried out according to GLP	<i>No GLP statement provided</i>
Study carried out according to guideline(s)	<i>No mention of guideline OECD 403</i>
Stability of test compound in test atmosphere	<i>Aerosol formation</i>
Use of vehicle (other than air)	<i>Air</i>
Whole body / nose-only (incl. head/nose-only) exposure	<i>Head-only (in restrainer tubes), placed in small clean air space during chamber equilibration</i>
Type of restrainer	<i>'tubular restrainers', not otherwise specified</i>
Pressure distribution.	<i>Not specified</i>
Homogeneity of test atmosphere at breathing zone of animals	<i>Not specified</i>
Number of air changes per hour	<i>Not specified</i>
Equilibration time (t95)	<i>Unable to calculate because the airflow was not specified.</i>
Start of exposure relative to equilibration	<i>As stated by the authors: <u>after complete equilibration a hinged box was opened and the animals exposed to the verified concentration.</u></i>
Actual concentration measurement	<i>Batch sampling with soda lime near breathing zone to determine analytical concentration. Intermittent sampling of small aliquots analysed with Ion Specific Electrode Continuous sampling (conductivity detector) to maintain stable HCl level during the test.</i>
Particle size distribution measurement in breathing zone of the animals in case of aerosol exposure;	<i>Not available</i>
Assessment of Reliability	<i>A</i>

11  
12 For the probit calculations it is assumed that the exposure of the animals is almost  
13 instantaneously to the measured concentration, even though some dilution may have  
14 been present.

15  
16 The figure below shows how the restrained animals were exposed. The hinged box  
17 used by Hartzell et al resembles that used by Lapin (study A3). The authors claim  
18 that animals receive a true 'square wave' exposure pattern without a (significant)  
19 equilibration period.

1 For the probit calculations it is assumed that the  
 2 exposure of the animals is almost  
 3 instantaneously to the measured concentration,  
 4 even though some dilution may have been  
 5 present.




Figure 2. Diagram of animal isolation system.

## 11 Results

Species	Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Exposure duration (min)	Lethality	
			Exposed	Responded
rat	13984	5	6	0
rat	16530	5	6	3
rat	19128	5	6	2
rat	21747	5	6	0
rat	23498	5	6	3
rat	30856	5	6	6
rat	8275	10	6	0
rat	11596	10	6	1
rat	12333	10	8	5
rat	12806	10	8	1
rat	13938	10	6	6
rat	6627	15	6	0
rat	9380	15	6	3
rat	12130	15	6	4
rat	13619	15	6	4
rat	15185	15	6	6
rat	7393	22.5	6	2
rat	9749	22.5	6	4
rat	11380	22.5	6	6
rat	12317	22.5	6	2
rat	13142	22.5	8	4
rat	15408	22.5	6	6
rat	3967	30	6	2
rat	5644	30	6	4
rat	6217	30	6	1
rat	8780	30	8	8
rat	9834	30	6	4
rat	12586	30	6	6

Species	Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Exposure duration (min)	Lethality	
			Exposed	Responded
rat	2725	60	6	0
rat	3467	60	6	3
rat	3952	60	6	1
rat	6501	60	8	7
rat	6779	60	6	6
rat	7378	60	6	6

1

2 **Probit function**3 The probit function and associated LC-values have been calculated using the  
4 DoseResp program (Wil ten Berge, 2015) as

5 
$$Pr = a + b \times \ln C + c \times \ln t$$

6 with C for concentration in mg/m<sup>3</sup> and t for time in minutes.

7

8 To test the sensitivity of the model outcome for in- or exclusion of the 5-minute data  
9 the models were developed both including and excluding the 5-minute data.

10

<i>Probit function</i>	<i>Species</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>n-value</i>
Including 5 min exposure	<i>Rat</i>	-21.58	2.39	1.64	1.46 (1.12 - 1.79)
Excluding 5 min exposure	<i>Rat</i>	-20.68	2.31	1.56	1.48 (0.97 - 1.99)

11

12 The analysis with and without the 5-min exposure essentially gave the same result,  
13 and are both presented below. All further analyses will be based on the model  
14 including the 5-minute data.

15

<i>Duration (minutes)</i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%-C.I. With 5 min data</i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%-C.I. Without 5 min data</i>
10	14190 (12170 - 16730)	13990 (10870 - 18320)
30	6671 (5527 - 7730)	6654 (5390 - 7770)
60	4144 (3081 - 5176)	4163 (2891 - 5415)


16

17

18 A graphical overview of the data is presented below. Each concentration-time  
19 combination (with 6-8 animals) represents one point in the plot.

20

2018DDMM-phosphorous trichloride-PROPOSED



1  
2  
3

1 **Study ID: A.3**2 **Author, year: Lapin 1981**

3 Substance: Hydrogen Chloride

4 Species, strain, sex: Rat, male Crl:CD

5 Number/sex/conc. group: 6 (restrained),10 (unrestrained) group

6 Age and weight: adult, weight 250 ± 25 grams


7 Observation period: 14 days

8 **Evaluation of study quality**

Criteria	Comment
Study carried out according to GLP	<i>No GLP statement provided</i>
Study carried out according to guideline(s)	<i>No mention of guideline OECD 403. Light/dark cycle, temperature, humidity and food/water provision consistent with OECD guideline 403 criteria.</i>
Stability of test compound in test atmosphere	<i>Aerosol formation not reported.</i>
Use of vehicle (other than air)	<i>Air.</i>
Whole body / nose-only (incl. head/nose-only) exposure	<i>Restrained: Head only. Rats were restrained in whole body holders inside the chamber (175 l) used (in some cases simultaneously) for unrestrained animals. By using a switch a hinged box was swung down to start an exposure (see figure below). Unrestrained: whole body, 10 animals in 175 l chamber.</i>
Type of restrainer	<i>'whole body holders', not otherwise specified</i>
Pressure distribution.	<i>Not specified.</i>
Homogeneity of test atmosphere at breathing zone of animals	<i>'The test gas chamber atmospheres were generated with continuous flow-through generation. Gas concentrations were generated by dilution of commercial bottled gas.'</i>
Number of air changes per hour	<i>Not specified.</i>
Equilibration time (t95)	<i>unable to calculate; no air changes per hour provided.</i>
Start of exposure relative to equilibration	<i>'...once a satisfactory steady state chamber concentration of the test material was obtained.'</i>
Actual concentration measurement	<i>Intermittent sampling ('at frequent intervals') of 47 ml aliquots in a syringe with 3 ml sodium acetate. Analysis with a Cl<sup>-</sup> Specific Ion Electrode.</i>
Particle size distribution measurement in breathing zone of the animals in case of aerosol exposure;	<i>Not specified, formation of aerosol uncertain.</i>
Assessment of Reliability	<b>A</b> <i>Data were suitable to derive a probit function. Multiple concentration levels and durations were tested, resulting in a good concentration response relation with mortality of 0-100%.</i>

1 The figure shows how the restrained animals  
 2 were exposed. Figures A and B show respectively  
 3 a closed and opened hinged box. The authors  
 4 claim that animals receive a true 'square wave'  
 5 exposure pattern without a (significant)  
 6 equilibration period.

7  
 8 For the probit calculations it is assumed that the  
 9 exposure of the animals is almost  
 10 instantaneously to the measured concentration,  
 11 even though some dilution may have been  
 12 present.



A) front view, B) end view, atmosphere pre-equilibrated while rats breathe fresh air, and C) airlock dropped for instantaneous exposure

13 **Results**

Species	Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Exposure duration(min)	Restrained	Exposed	Responded
Rat	39	5	Yes	6	0
Rat	76	5	Yes	6	0
Rat	106	5	Yes	6	0
Rat	234	5	Yes	6	0
Rat	244	5	Yes	6	0
Rat	432	5	Yes	6	0
Rat	581	5	Yes	6	0
Rat	595	5	Yes	6	0
Rat	792	5	Yes	6	0
Rat	916	5	Yes	6	0
Rat	916	5	Yes	6	0
Rat	1423	5	Yes	6	0
Rat	10765	5	Yes	6	1
Rat	13922	5	Yes	6	2
Rat	22645	5	Yes	6	2
Rat	23759	5	Yes	6	2
Rat	32668	5	Yes	6	6
Rat	36752	5	Yes	6	6
Rat	39908	5	Yes	6	3
Rat	3703	15	Yes	6	1
Rat	5754	15	Yes	5	1
Rat	6435	15	Yes	6	0
Rat	8585	15	Yes	6	3
Rat	12344	15	Yes	6	6
Rat	16798	15	Yes	6	6

## 2018DDMM-phosphorous trichloride-PROPOSED

Species	Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Exposure duration(min)	Restrained	Exposed	Responded
Rat	18376	15	Yes	6	5
Rat	20268	15	Yes	6	5
Rat	26729	15	Yes	5	5
Rat	29513	15	Yes	5	5
Rat	188	30	Yes	6	0
Rat	329	30	Yes	6	0
Rat	405	30	Yes	5	0
Rat	687	30	Yes	6	0
Rat	982	30	Yes	6	0
Rat	1305	30	Yes	6	0
Rat	2191	30	Yes	4	2
Rat	3007	30	Yes	6	0
Rat	4301	30	Yes	6	1
Rat	7371	30	Yes	6	4
Rat	7531	30	Yes	6	6
Rat	11349	30	Yes	6	4
Rat	1330	60	Yes	4	2
Rat	1505	60	Yes	6	3
Rat	1952	60	Yes	6	1
Rat	2735	60	Yes	6	4
Rat	3621	60	Yes	6	6
Rat	4968	60	Yes	6	6
Rat	6768	60	Yes	6	6
Rat	762	5	No	10	0
Rat	13364	5	No	10	0
Rat	20418	5	No	10	1
Rat	26172	5	No	10	0
Rat	26542	5	No	10	0
Rat	37122	5	No	10	3
Rat	59396	5	No	10	9
Rat	60881	5	No	10	7
Rat	77957	5	No	10	10
Rat	84145	5	No	10	9
Rat	6898	15	No	10	0
Rat	11137	15	No	10	1
Rat	14775	15	No	10	0

Species	Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Exposure duration(min)	Restrained	Exposed	Responded
Rat	15516	15	No	10	3
Rat	26635	15	No	10	8
Rat	34431	15	No	10	10
Rat	39443	15	No	10	10
Rat	39443	15	No	10	9
Rat	1834	30	No	10	0
Rat	3500	30	No	10	0
Rat	7371	30	No	10	3
Rat	7531	30	No	10	4
Rat	8750	30	No	10	1
Rat	13629	30	No	10	10
Rat	14001	30	No	10	7
Rat	22008	30	No	10	9
Rat	31183	30	No	10	10
Rat	1071	60	No	10	0
Rat	2893	60	No	10	0
Rat	3673	60	No	10	2
Rat	5439	60	No	10	8
Rat	6443	60	No	10	10

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

### Probit function

The probit function and associated LC-values have been calculated using the DoseResp program (Wil ten Berge, 2015) as

$$Pr = a + b \times \ln C + c \times \ln t + d \times R$$

Where C is concentration in mg/m<sup>3</sup>, t is exposure duration in minutes and R is a dummy variable for restraintment.

First, the data were analysed for restrained and unrestrained animals separately. Subsequently the data were analysed with both restrained and unrestrained animals, with a dummy variable to account for restraintment.

To assess the influence of including the 5-min data and the use of restraintment, the calculations were performed:

- with and without the 5-min data.
- for restrained and unrestrained animals separately.
- all animals in the model, with and without a dummy variable to account for restraintment.

Probit function	Species	a	b	c	d	n-value
Only restrained animals, INCL 5 min data	Rat	-13.30	1.55	1.50		1.03 (0.87 – 1.19)
Only restrained	Rat	-14.58	1.62	1.78		0.91 (0.69 – 1.13)

animals, EXCL 5 min data						
Only unrestrained animals, INCL 5 min data	<i>Rat</i>	-28.00	2.69	2.46		1.10 (0.97 - 1.22)
Only unrestrained animals, EXCL 5 min data	<i>Rat</i>	-31.83	2.85	3.13		0.91 (0.79 - 1.04)
All animals, INCL 5 min data, dummy	<i>Rat</i>	-20.49	2.07	1.93	1.55	1.07 (0.97 - 1.18)
All animals, EXCL 5 min data, dummy	<i>Rat</i>	-22.37	2.12	2.32	1.64	0.91 (0.75 - 1.08)
All animals, INCL 5 min data	<i>Rat</i>	-12.58	1.46	1.39		1.05 (0.88 - 1.21)

All LC<sub>50</sub> values calculated with the probit model are presented below. For comparison the LC<sub>50</sub> values as calculated by Lapin are also presented (calculated per exposure duration).

### 1. Restrained animals only

<i>Duration (minutes)</i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%-C.I. With 5 min data</i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%-C.I. Without 5 min data</i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) Lapin</i>
10	12300 (10310 - 14510)	14450 (10220 - 20030)	**
30	4228 (3544 - 4962)	4322 (3613 - 5064)	5541
60	2155 (1677 - 2717)	2018 (1520 - 2621)	1837

### 2. Unrestrained animals only

<i>Duration (minutes)</i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%-C.I. With 5 min data</i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%-C.I. Without 5 min data</i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) Lapin</i>
10	25860 (22990 - 29000)	32300 (26700 - 38880)	**
30	9493 (8466 - 10660)	9690 (8887 - 10550)	9750
60	5045 (4278 - 5872)	4533 (3929 - 5236)	4442

\*\* Lapin only calculated LC<sub>50</sub> values for the tested exposure durations.

### 3. Restrained / unrestrained animals animals in one analysis incl. 5 min data

<i>Duration minutes</i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%-C.I. Restrained animals</i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%-C.I. Unrestrained animals</i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%-C.I. Combined animals</i>
10	12300 (10510 - 14310)	26050 (23090 - 29380)	19430 (16470 - 22890)
30	4415 (3776 - 5133)	9352 (8268 - 10570)	6791 (5718 - 8006)
60	2313 (1915 - 2779)	4900 (4159 - 5769)	3499 (2726 - 4441)

Results for restrained/unrestrained animals in results table 3 taken from the combined analysis, by applying the parameter estimate of the dummy variable. Combined animals are numbers from an analysis without the dummy variable.


The calculated LC<sub>50</sub> values were systematically higher (lower toxicity) in unrestrained vs restrained animals by a factor 2.2-2.5. Stress or inability to avoid exposure in

1 restrained animals may have contributed, although there is no definitive explanation  
2 for the difference.

3

4 A graphical overview of the data is presented below. Each concentration-time  
5 combination (with 4-10 male rats) represents one point in the plot.

6



7

8

9

10

1 **Study ID: B1.1**

2  
3 **Author, year: Darmer 1974**

4 Substance: Hydrogen Chloride  
5 Species, strain, sex: Rat, CFE (Sprague-Dawley derived), sex unspecified  
6 Number/sex/conc. group: 10/concentration/time  
7 Age and weight: 250-300 grams, unspecified age (adult as judged from  
8 weight)  
9 Observation period: 7 days

10  
11 **Evaluation of study quality**

Criteria	Comment
Study carried out according to GLP	<i>GLP did not exist at the time</i>
Study carried out according to guideline(s)	<i>OECD 403 did not exist at the time; many details (housing, lighting, food, water, etc) not specified</i>
Stability of test compound in test atmosphere	<i>Not specified. Exposure to gas in pre-dried air (for gas exposures), OR gas introduced in nearly saturated water droplet mist (for aerosol exposures).</i>
Use of vehicle (other than air)	<i>Air</i>
Whole body / nose-only (incl. head/nose-only) exposure	<i>Whole body, animals introduced in chamber with little air loss after chamber concentration equilibrated</i>
Type of restrainer	<i>No restrainer used – whole body</i>
Pressure distribution.	<i>No information on pressure distribution; reference to publication that mentions 0.05 cm negative water pressure in the chamber</i>
Homogeneity of test atmosphere at breathing zone of animals	<i>Not specified; air sampling appears to take place in animal breathing zone.</i>
Number of air changes per hour	<i>Air flow = 10cfm = 283 l/min = 17 m<sup>3</sup>/h, chamber volume is approx 2 m<sup>3</sup>, air exchange rate = 8.5/h</i>
Equilibration time (t95)	<i>3 × (2000 l / 283 l/min) = 21 min.</i>
Start of exposure relative to equilibration	<i>Animals were introduced into the chamber by means of sliding cage 'drawers' in the wall of the chamber</i>
Actual concentration measurement	<i>Continuous during exposure with specific chloride ion electrode (in breathing zone)</i>
Particle size distribution measurement in breathing zone of the animals in case of aerosol exposure;	<i>No gravimetric assessment of droplet size, but optical method (semi-quantitative). Estimated diameter &lt; 2 µm (water droplet size = aerodynamic Diameter, no droplets &gt;5 µm observed)</i>
<b>Assessment of Reliability</b>	<b>B1.1</b> This study received B status because only 2 exposure durations were studied and aerosol exposure was not well quantified. Sufficient other A-studies available.

1 **Results**

2

Species	Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Exposure duration (min)	Gas or aerosol	Lethality	
				Exposed	Lethal
Rat	45600	5	Gas	10	0
Rat	49028	5	Gas	10	1
Rat	60572	5	Gas	10	6
Rat	68704	5	Gas	10	7
Rat	87081	5	Gas	10	9
Rat	3159	30	Gas	10	0
Rat	4071	30	Gas	10	1
Rat	4668	30	Gas	10	0
Rat	7874	30	Gas	10	5
Rat	9223	30	Gas	10	8
Rat	10155	30	Gas	10	10
Species	Concentration (mg/l)	Exposure duration (min)	Gas or aerosol	Lethality	
				Exposed	Lethal
Rat	9.7	5	Aerosol	10	0
Rat	28.4	5	Aerosol	10	1
Rat	37.3	5	Aerosol	10	3
Rat	43.6	5	Aerosol	10	6
Rat	57.0	5	Aerosol	10	6
Rat	60.1	5	Aerosol	10	7
Rat	91.3	5	Aerosol	10	10
Rat	4.3	30	Aerosol	10	1
Rat	6.6	30	Aerosol	10	0
Rat	9.0	30	Aerosol	10	6
Rat	9.8	30	Aerosol	10	8

3

4

5 **Probit function**

6 The probit function and associated LC-values have been calculated using the  
7 DoseResp program (Wil ten Berge, December 2006) as:

$$8 \text{ Pr} = a + b \ln C + c \ln t + d \text{ PS}$$

9 Where C is concentration in mg/m<sup>3</sup>, t is exposure duration in minutes and

10 PS = physical state (gas/aerosol).

11

12 The LC<sub>50</sub> did not differ by more than a factor 2 between gas and aerosol exposure,  
13 nor did analysis with physical state as covariate give any indication that differences  
14 exist between gas and aerosol exposure. The authors also concluded that gas and  
15 aerosol exposure produced the same lethality. For this reason the data from gas and  
16 aerosol exposures were pooled and analysed to derive the animal probit function (last

1 column of table below). The results for gas and aerosol were derived from the  
 2 analysis with physical state as covariate and from pooled data.

3  
 4 Since only 2 exposure durations were tested in this study, the n-value is meaningless  
 5 and this study will be treated as a 30-minute lethality study. The LC<sub>50</sub> values  
 6 presented below were calculated with only the 30-minute data. The LC<sub>50</sub> value for the  
 7 combined gas/aerosol data will be used as a PoD for the probit calculation.

8  
 9

<i>Duration (minutes)</i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%-C.I. <b>Gas</b></i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%-C.I. <b>Aerosol</b></i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%-C.I. <b>Combined</b></i>
30	7132 (6156 -8172)	8329 (7209 - 9676)	7684 (6906 - 8496)

10  
 11  
 12

1 **Study ID: B1.2**

2  
3 **Author, year: Darmer 1974**

4 Substance: Hydrogen Chloride  
5 Species, strain, sex: Mouse, CF-1 ICR, sex unspecified  
6 Number/sex/conc. group: 10-15 / concentration / time  
7 Age and weight: 25-30 grams, unspecified age (adult as judged from  
8 weight)  
9 Observation period: 7 days

10  
11 **Evaluation of study quality**

<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Study carried out according to GLP	<i>GLP did not exist at the time</i>
Study carried out according to guideline(s)	<i>OECD 403 did not exist at the time; many details (housing, lighting, food, water, etc) not specified</i>
Stability of test compound in test atmosphere	<i>Not specified. Exposure to gas in pre-dried air (for gas exposures), OR gas introduced in nearly saturated water droplet mist (for aerosol exposures).</i>
Use of vehicle (other than air)	<i>Air</i>
Whole body / nose-only (incl. head/nose-only) exposure	<i>Whole body, animals introduced in chamber with little air loss after chamber concentration equilibrated</i>
Type of restrainer	<i>No restrainer used – whole body</i>
Pressure distribution.	<i>No information on pressure distribution; reference to publication that mentions 0.05 cm negative water pressure in the chamber</i>
Homogeneity of test atmosphere at breathing zone of animals	<i>Not specified; air sampling appears to take place in animal breathing zone.</i>
Number of air changes per hour	<i>Air flow = 10cfm = 283 l/min = 17 m<sup>3</sup>/h, chamber volume is approx 2 m<sup>3</sup>, air exchange rate = 8.5/h</i>
Equilibration time (t95)	<i>3 × (2000 l / 283 l/min) = 21 min.</i>
Start of exposure relative to equilibration	<i>Animals were introduced into the chamber by means of sliding cage 'drawers' in the wall of the chamber</i>
Actual concentration measurement	<i>Continuous during exposure with specific chloride ion electrode (in breathing zone)</i>
Particle size distribution measurement in breathing zone of the animals in case of aerosol exposure;	<i>No gravimetric assessment of droplet size, but optical method (semi-quantitative). Estimated diameter &lt; 2 µm (water droplet size = aerodynamic Diameter, no droplets &gt;5 µm observed)</i>
<b>Assessment of Reliability</b>	<b>B1.2</b> This study received B status because only 2 exposure durations were studied and aerosol exposure was not well quantified. Sufficient other A-studies available.

1 **Results**

Species	Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Exposure duration (min)	Gas or aerosol	Lethality	
				Exposed	Lethal
Mouse	4864	5	Gas	10	1
Mouse	7691	5	Gas	10	1
Mouse	9340	5	Gas	10	2
Mouse	9743	5	Gas	10	0
Mouse	11438	5	Gas	10	6
Mouse	12259	5	Gas	10	2
Mouse	14100	5	Gas	10	5
Mouse	20756	5	Gas	10	6
Mouse	40257	5	Gas	15	13
Mouse	45600	5	Gas	15	13
Mouse	623	30	Gas	15	0
Mouse	1724	30	Gas	15	2
Mouse	4071	30	Gas	15	8
Mouse	4136	30	Gas	15	4
Mouse	4472	30	Gas	15	12
Mouse	4668	30	Gas	15	6
Mouse	6148	30	Gas	15	11
Mouse	6196	30	Gas	15	13
Mouse	8152	30	Gas	15	14
Species	Concentration (mg/ℓ)	Exposure duration (min)	Gas or aerosol	Lethality	
				Exposed	Lethal
Mouse	13.3	5	Aerosol	10	3
Mouse	14.8	5	Aerosol	10	3
Mouse	17.7	5	Aerosol	10	5
Mouse	22.0	5	Aerosol	10	9
Mouse	25.0	5	Aerosol	10	9
Mouse	27.6	5	Aerosol	10	10
Mouse	1.8	30	Aerosol	10	2
Mouse	3.1	30	Aerosol	10	5
Mouse	3.8	30	Aerosol	10	5
Mouse	4.0	30	Aerosol	10	5
Mouse	4.3	30	Aerosol	10	9
Mouse	4.5	30	Aerosol	10	7
Mouse	6.5	30	Aerosol	10	10

2  
3

1 **Probit function**

2 The probit function and associated LC-values have been calculated using the  
 3 DoseResp program (Wil ten Berge, December 2006) as  
 4  $Pr = a + b \times \ln c + c \times \ln T + d \times PS$ , with PS = physical state (gas/aerosol).

5  
 6 The LC<sub>50</sub> did not differ by more than a factor 2 between gas and aerosol exposure,  
 7 nor did analysis with physical state as covariate give any indication that differences  
 8 exist between gas and aerosol exposure. The authors also concluded that gas and  
 9 aerosol exposure produced the same lethality. For this reason the data from gas and  
 10 aerosol exposures were pooled and analysed to derive the animal probit function (last  
 11 column of table below). The results for gas and aerosol were derived from the  
 12 analysis with physical state as covariate and from pooled data.

13  
 14 Since only 2 exposure durations were tested in this study, the n-value is meaningless  
 15 and this study will be treated as a 30-minute lethality study. The LC<sub>50</sub> values  
 16 presented below were calculated with only the 30-minute data. The LC<sub>50</sub> value for the  
 17 combined gas/aerosol data will be used as a PoD for the probit calculation.

18

<i>Duration (minutes)</i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%- C.I. <b>Gas</b></i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%- C.I. <b>Aerosol</b></i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%- C.I. <b>Combined</b></i>
30	4043 (3394 - 4648)	3122 (2500 - 3741)	3616 (3093 - 4081)

19

20

21

1 **Study ID: B1.3**

2  
3 **Author, year: Jean et al. 2006**

4 Substance: Hydrogen Chloride

5 Species, strain, sex: Male and female Fischer 344 rats

6 Number/sex/conc. group: 5 animals / sex / concentration

7 Age and weight: 8-12 weeks, 100-210 gr. (males), 100-160 gr (females)

8 Observation period: 14 days

9  
10 **Evaluation of study quality**

Criteria	Comment
Study carried out according to GLP	<i>According to US EPA GLP</i>
Study carried out according to guideline(s)	<i>study meets OECD 403 guideline.</i>
Stability of test compound in test atmosphere	<i>Stable</i>
Use of vehicle (other than air)	<i>Air</i>
Whole body / nose-only (incl. head/nose-only) exposure	<i>Whole body</i>
Type of restrainer	<i>NA</i>
Pressure distribution.	<i>No information</i>
Homogeneity of test atmosphere at breathing zone of animals	<i>No information</i>
Number of air changes per hour	<i>approx. 44 air changes / hour</i>
Equilibration time (t95)	<i>T<sub>99</sub> is stated to be 6 minutes</i>
Start of exposure relative to equilibration	<i>Animals were in the chamber when the exposure started, and withdrawn from the chamber after allowing at least 1 T<sub>99</sub> period to pass</i>
Actual concentration measurement	<i>While inlet and chamber concentrations were monitored, nominal concentrations were used for the calculations</i>
Particle size distribution measurement in breathing zone of the animals in case of aerosol exposure;	<i>No information</i>
<b>Assessment of Reliability</b>	<b>B1.3</b> <i>Only 1 exposure duration, only LC<sub>50</sub> value described without individual concentration-lethality data</i>

11  
12 This dataset is part of a study where the relative acute inhalation toxicities of a series  
13 of chlorosilanes is compared to the acute inhalation toxicity of HCl, aimed at building  
14 a prediction model for chlorosilane toxicity. The test conditions for the chlorosilanes  
15 have been described in some detail, the testing conditions for HCl have not. Since the  
16 study was well designed and described and since the comparability of tests across the  
17 tested chemicals is the main feature of the study, it is assumed that the test  
18 conditions for HCl are equal to those for the chlorosilanes.

1 **Results**

2 The study only reports the 1-hour LC50 value in rats, males and females combined.

3

4 **Probit function**

5 No concentration-time-response probit function could be calculated from these data  
6 alone.

7

8

<i>Duration (minutes)</i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%- C.I. <b>Male</b></i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%- C.I. <b>Female</b></i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%- C.I. <b>Combined</b></i>
60			5502 (4656 - 7691)

9

10

1 **Study ID: B1.4**

2  
3 **Author, year: Wohlslagel et al. 1976**

4 Substance: Hydrogen Chloride  
5 Species, strain, sex: Male rats, Sprague-Dawley  
6 Number/sex/conc. group: 10 males / concentration  
7 Age and weight: adult males, 250-325 gr.  
8 Observation period: 14 days

9  
10 **Evaluation of study quality**

Criteria	Comment
Study carried out according to GLP	<i>GLP did not exist at the time</i>
Study carried out according to guideline(s)	<i>OECD 403 did not exist at the time.</i>
Stability of test compound in test atmosphere	<i>No information</i>
Use of vehicle (other than air)	<i>No information</i>
Whole body / nose-only (incl. head/nose-only) exposure	<i>Whole body</i>
Type of restrainer	<i>NA</i>
Pressure distribution	<i>No information</i>
Homogeneity of test atmosphere at breathing zone of animals	<i>No information</i>
Number of air changes per hour	<i>airflow = 11 cubic feet/min in a 22.1 cubic feet chamber, which equals 30 air changes / hour</i>
Equilibration time (t95)	<i>6 minutes</i>
Start of exposure relative to equilibration	<i>Animals were inserted and withdrawn from the exposure chamber 'using a sliding cage drawer'. It is unclear how much clean air was introduced with each opening of the chamber.</i>
Actual concentration measurement	<i>Continuous analysis with ion specific (and reference) electrode</i>
Particle size distribution measurement in breathing zone of the animals in case of aerosol exposure;	<i>No information</i>
<b>Assessment of Reliability</b>	<b>B1.4</b> <i>Only 1 exposure duration, details about exposure conditions missing</i>

11  
12 **Results**

13

Species	Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Exposure duration (min)	Lethality	
			exposed	responded
rat	2756	60	10	0
rat	3929	60	10	2
rat	4976	60	10	6
rat	5990	60	10	8
rat	6772	60	10	10

1 **Probit function**

2 No concentration-time-response probit function could be calculated from these data  
 3 alone. Data were only available for a 1 hour time period. Therefore only the 1 hour  
 4 LC<sub>50</sub> value was calculated and presented in the table below.

5  
 6

<i>Duration (minutes)</i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%-C.I. Male</i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%-C.I. Female</i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%-C.I. Combined</i>
60	4748 (4174 - 5246)		

7  
 8

1 **Study ID: B1.5**

2  
3 **Author, year: Wohlslagel 1976**

4 Substance: Hydrogen Chloride  
5 Species, strain, sex: Male mice, CF-1 ICR  
6 Number/sex/conc. group: 10 males / concentration  
7 Age and weight: adult males, 25-32 gr.  
8 Observation period: 14 days

9  
10 **Evaluation of study quality**

Criteria	Comment
Study carried out according to GLP	<i>GLP did not exist at the time</i>
Study carried out according to guideline(s)	<i>OECD 403 did not exist at the time.</i>
Stability of test compound in test atmosphere	<i>No information</i>
Use of vehicle (other than air)	<i>No information</i>
Whole body / nose-only (incl. head/nose-only) exposure	<i>Whole body</i>
Type of restrainer	<i>NA</i>
Pressure distribution.	<i>No information</i>
Homogeneity of test atmosphere at breathing zone of animals	<i>No information</i>
Number of air changes per hour	<i>airflow = 11 cubic feet/min in a 22.1 cubic feet chamber which equals 30 air changes / hour</i>
Equilibration time (t95)	<i>6 minutes</i>
Start of exposure relative to equilibration	<i>Animals were inserted and withdrawn from the exposure chamber 'using a sliding cage drawer'. It is unclear how much clean air was introduced with each opening of the chamber.</i>
Actual concentration measurement	<i>Continuous analysis with ion specific (and reference) electrode</i>
Particle size distribution measurement in breathing zone of the animals in case of aerosol exposure;	<i>No information</i>
<b>Assessment of Reliability</b>	<b>B1.5</b> <i>Only 1 exposure duration, details about exposure conditions missing</i>

11  
12 **Results**

13

Species	Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Exposure duration (min)	Lethality	
			exposed	responded
mouse	847	60	10	2
mouse	1497	60	10	3
mouse	2108	60	10	6
mouse	2891	60	10	8
mouse	3764	60	10	10

1 **Probit function**

2 No concentration-time-response probit function could be calculated from these data  
 3 alone. Data were only available for a 1 hour time period. Therefore only the 1 hour  
 4 LC<sub>50</sub> value was calculated and presented in the table below.

5

<i>Duration (minutes)</i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%-C.I. <b>Male</b></i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%-C.I. <b>Female</b></i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%-C.I. <b>Combined</b></i>
60	1684 (1219 - 2138)		

6

7

1 **Study ID: B1.6**2 **Author, year: Higgins 1972**

3 Substance: Hydrogen Chloride

4 Species, strain, sex: Rat, Wistar, sex unspecified

5 Number/sex/conc. group: 5 / concentration

6 Age and weight: 250 - 275 grams (adult as judged from body weight)

7 Observation period: 7 days

8

9 **Evaluation of study quality**

Criteria	Comment
Study carried out according to GLP	<i>GLP did not exist at the time</i>
Study carried out according to guideline(s)	<i>OECD 403 did not exist at the time.</i>
Stability of test compound in test atmosphere	<i>No information</i>
Use of vehicle (other than air)	<i>Air</i>
Whole body / nose-only (incl. head/nose-only) exposure	<i>Whole body</i>
Type of restrainer	<i>NA</i>
Pressure distribution.	<i>No information</i>
Homogeneity of test atmosphere at breathing zone of animals	<i>No information - 1 sampling location</i>
Number of air changes per hour	<i>No information (other than mention of dynamic flow system)</i>
Equilibration time (t95)	<i>unspecified</i>
Start of exposure relative to equilibration	<i>after complete equilibration a cage containing all 10 animals was quickly introduced in the chamber, and quickly pulled out after 5 minutes exposure</i>
Actual concentration measurement	<i>Specific ion electrode after capture in aqueous solution</i>
Particle size distribution measurement in breathing zone of the animals in case of aerosol exposure;	<i>No information</i>
<b>Assessment of Reliability</b>	<b>B1.6</b> Only 1 exposure duration, relatively short observation period.

10

11

12 **Results**

13

Species	Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Exposure duration (min)	Lethality	
			Exposed	Dead
Rat	45600	5	10	0
	48640	5	10	1
	60572	5	10	6
	68704	5	10	7
	87081	5	10	9

14

15

1 **Probit function**

2 No concentration-time-response probit function could be calculated from these data  
 3 alone. Data were only available for a 5-min time period. Therefore only the 5-min  
 4 LC<sub>50</sub> value was calculated and presented in the table below.

5  
 6

<i>Duration (minutes)</i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%-C.I. Male</i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%-C.I. Female</i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%-C.I. Sex unknown</i>
5			62,220 (56770 - 69150)

7

8 In this study the 5-min LC<sub>50</sub> for mice was also determined, and was calculated to be  
 9 20,900 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (15,700 - 27800 mg/m<sup>3</sup>).

10

11

12

13

1 **Study ID: C.1**

2

3 **Author, year: Kaplan 1988**

4 Substance: Hydrogen Chloride

5 Species, strain, sex: male baboons

6 Number/sex/conc. group: 3 / concentration

7 Age and weight: adult, 7.1-13.1 kg

8 Observation period: 3 months

9

10 **Evaluation of study quality**

<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Comment</b>
Study carried out according to GLP	<i>No GLP statement provided</i>
Study carried out according to guideline(s)	<i>No mention of guideline OECD 403. Study was not aimed at determining acute lethality. Light/dark cycle, temperature, humidity and food/water provision consistent with OECD guideline 403 criteria.</i>
Stability of test compound in test atmosphere	<i>No information. Deposition of HCl on the walls of the exposure chamber was mentioned.</i>
Use of vehicle (other than air)	<i>Air with 50% rel. hum.</i>
Whole body / nose-only (incl. head/nose-only) exposure	<i>Head only</i>
Pressure distribution.	<i>Test chamber was kept at -0.6 mm water pressure</i>
Homogeneity of test atmosphere at breathing zone of animals	<i>1 sampling location.</i>
Number of air changes per hour	<i>Airflow of 40 l/min through 200 l chamber, i.e. 12 air changes/hour</i>
Actual concentration measurement	<i>Continuous monitoring: continuous titration (AFNOR French standard FD X70101, 1982) or microconductivity detector to maintain a constant exposure level. Analytical determination of actual exposure level by titration of HCl adsorbed on dry soda lime sampling tubes (5 fixed time intervals of 3 min).</i>
Particle size distribution measurement in breathing zone of the animals in case of aerosol exposure;	<i>No information</i>
<b>Assessment of Reliability</b>	<b>C</b> Data do not allow to calculate an LC <sub>50</sub> , but study provides essential supporting information

11

12

13

1 **Results**

Species	Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Exposure duration (min)	Lethality Male
Baboon	0	15	0
Baboon	787-885	15	0
Baboon	5259-7001	15	0
Baboon	12920-17580	15	0


2

3 Respiratory rate ( $f$ ), tidal volume ( $V_T$ ), and Minute Volume (MV) were measured and  
 4 recorded before (5 min), during (15 min) and after (15 min) exposure of the  
 5 anesthetized baboons (13 mg/kg ketamine). Arterial blood samples were obtained  
 6 from a catheter in the femoral artery once before exposure, every minute during  
 7 exposure and every 5 minutes after exposure until the animal's appearance was  
 8 normal. Pulmonary function tests were performed in the week before, 3 days and 3  
 9 months after exposure.

10

11 After initiation of exposure, baboons held their breath for 10-20 seconds and then  
 12 increased their respiratory rate (figure 2 taken from Kaplan et al), while tidal volume  
 13 remained unaffected.

14



15 **FIGURE 2.** Change in respiratory rate ( $f$ ) of the baboon during a 15-min exposure to air or HCl  
 16 atmospheres;  $\Delta f$  is the ratio of  $f$  during exposure to the baseline  $f$  during the 5 min prior to  
 17 exposure. Data points are average values of three animals. Asterisk, significantly different  
 18 ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) from control (air) and 500 ppm HCl. Double asterisk, significantly different ( $p \leq 0.05$ )  
 19 from control (air).

15

16

17 Minute volume also increased with exposure level (figure 3 from Kaplan et al). The  
 18 tested HCl exposures did not cause any significant alterations in any of the pulmonary  
 19 function parameters in any of the HCl exposed groups at 3 days or 3 months following  
 20 exposure.




FIGURE 4. Change in minute volume (MV) of the baboon during a 15-min exposure to air or HCl atmospheres;  $\Delta MV$  is the ratio of MV during exposure to the baseline MV during the 5 min prior to exposure. Data points are average values of three animals. Asterisk, significantly different ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) from control (air).

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6

Despite the increased respiratory frequency and minute volume in response to HCL inhalation, arterial  $PaO_2$  values decreased rapidly in animals exposed to  $\pm 6000 \text{ mg/m}^3$  and to  $\pm 15000 \text{ mg/m}^3$ .




FIGURE 5. Arterial  $PaO_2$  of the baboon during a 15-min exposure to air or HCl atmospheres. Values are means  $\pm$  SD of three animals. Asterisk, significantly different ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) from preexposure, control, and 500-ppm values.

7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14

The data suggest a possibly increased Minute Volume response at 3 months past exposure to  $\pm 6000 \text{ mg/m}^3$  and  $\pm 15000 \text{ mg/m}^3$  to a  $CO_2$  challenge (high variability, small groups).

1 **Study ID: C.2**

2  
3 **Author, year: Kaplan 1993**

4 Substance: Hydrogen Chloride  
5 Species, strain, sex: male baboons  
6 Number/sex/conc. group: 3 / concentration  
7 Age and weight: adult, 7.1-13.1 kg  
8 Observation period: 1 year

9  
10 **Evaluation of study quality**

Criteria	Comment
Study carried out according to GLP	<i>No GLP statement provided</i>
Study carried out according to guideline(s)	<i>No mention of guideline OECD 403. Study was not aimed at determining acute lethality. Light/dark cycle, temperature, humidity and food/water provision consistent with OECD guideline 403 criteria.</i>
Stability of test compound in test atmosphere	<i>No information. Deposition of HCl on the walls of the exposure chamber was mentioned.</i>
Use of vehicle (other than air)	<i>Air with 50% rel. hum.</i>
Whole body / nose-only (incl. head/nose-only) exposure	<i>Head only</i>
Pressure distribution.	<i>Test chamber was kept at -0.6 mm water pressure</i>
Homogeneity of test atmosphere at breathing zone of animals	<i>1 sampling location.</i>
Number of air changes per hour	<i>Airflow of 40 l/min through 200 l chamber, i.e. 12 air changes/hour</i>
Actual concentration measurement	<i>Continuous monitoring: continuous titration (AFNOR French standard FD X70101, 1982) or microconductivity detector to maintain a constant exposure level. Analytical determination of actual exposure level by titration of HCl adsorbed on dry soda lime sampling tubes (5 fixed time intervals of 3 min).</i>
Particle size distribution measurement in breathing zone of the animals in case of aerosol exposure;	<i>No information</i>
<b>Assessment of Reliability</b>	<b>C</b> Data do not allow to calculate an LC <sub>50</sub> , but study provides essential supporting information

11  
12 This is essentially the same study as Kaplan *et al* 1988, but with an observation  
13 period extended to 1 year. The upper respiratory tract (biopsy) and lung tissue from  
14 the right middle lobe of the lungs (lobectomy) of baboons exposed to 0 (control),  
15 ±6000 mg/m<sup>3</sup> and ±15000 mg/m<sup>3</sup> were studied with light microscopic examination.

1 Significant histopathological changes were only observed in animals exposed to  
2  $\pm 15000$  mg/m<sup>3</sup>; the most significant abnormality consisted of fibrosis of the  
3 pulmonary parenchyma and pleura.

4  
5 In 2/3 animals exposed to  $\pm 6000$  mg/m<sup>3</sup> mild or minimal patchy atelectasis and  
6 minimal mucinous exudate in smaller bronchi or bronchioles were the principal  
7 findings.

8 No histopathological changes were found in the biopsy samples of the respiratory  
9 tract at any of the exposure conditions.

10  
11 No chest X-ray changes were observed in any of the animals within 1 hour following  
12 the exposure.

13  
14  
15

1 **Study ID: C.3**

2

3 **Author, year: Kaplan 1985**

4 Substance: Hydrogen Chloride

5 Species, strain, sex: male baboons and rats

6

7 Kaplan *et al* also performed a study to determine escape impairment of HCl exposure  
8 in rats and baboons. Animals were used for more than one exposure, and the  
9 exposure was influenced by the animal's response (escape or avoidance). These data  
10 do not provide quantitative exposure-lethality information.

11

12

1 **Study ID: C.4**

2  
3 **Author, year: Burleigh 1985**

4 Substance: Hydrogen Chloride

5 Species, strain, sex: Guinea pig, males

6 Number/sex/concentration group: 4-8 / concentration

7 Age and weight: adult, 330 - 450gr

8 Observation period: no information

9  
10 **Evaluation of study quality**

Criteria	Comment
Study carried out according to GLP	<i>No GLP statement provided</i>
Study carried out according to guideline(s)	<i>No information</i>
Stability of test compound in test atmosphere	<i>No information</i>
Use of vehicle (other than air)	<i>No information</i>
Whole body / nose-only (incl. head/nose-only) exposure	<i>Head only</i>
Pressure distribution.	<i>No information</i>
Homogeneity of test atmosphere at breathing zone of animals	<i>No information</i>
Number of air changes per hour	<i>20 l/min</i>
Actual concentration measurement	<i>Colorimetric analysis</i>
Particle size distribution measurement in breathing zone of the animals in case of aerosol exposure;	<i>No information</i>
<b>Assessment of Reliability</b>	<b>C</b> Only 1 exposure duration, data do not allow to calculate an LC <sub>50</sub> .

11  
12  
13 **Results**

14 Taken from Busschers et al (2002)

15

Species	Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Exposure duration (min)	Lethality	
			Male	Female
Guinea pig	0	30	0/4	
	477	30	0/4	
	1013	30	0/4	
	1550	30	2/8	
	2055	30	3/8	

1 **Study ID: C.5**

2  
3 **Author, year: Malek 1989**

4 Substance: Hydrogen Chloride

5 Species, strain, sex: Guinea pig, males

6 Number/sex/concentration group: 2-4 / concentration

7 Age and weight: adult, 325 - 400gr

8 Observation period: no information

9  
10 **Evaluation of study quality**

Criteria	Comment
Study carried out according to GLP	<i>No GLP statement provided</i>
Study carried out according to guideline(s)	<i>No information</i>
Stability of test compound in test atmosphere	<i>No information</i>
Use of vehicle (other than air)	<i>No information</i>
Whole body / nose-only (incl. head/nose-only) exposure	<i>Whole body with moderate exercise</i>
Pressure distribution.	<i>No information</i>
Homogeneity of test atmosphere at breathing zone of animals	<i>No information</i>
Number of air changes per hour	<i>8 1/min, chamber size uncertain</i>
Actual concentration measurement	<i>Impingers, sampling port in exposure chamber</i>
Particle size distribution measurement in breathing zone of the animals in case of aerosol exposure;	<i>No information</i>
<b>Assessment of Reliability</b>	<b>C</b> Only 1 exposure duration, data do not allow to calculate an LC <sub>50</sub> .

11  
12  
13 **Results**

14 Taken from Busschers et al (2002)

15

Species	Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Exposure duration (min)	Lethality	
			Male	Female
Guinea pig	163	30	0/3	
	213	16.5	0/3	
	246	1.3	0/2	
	891	0.65	4/4	

**Study ID: C6****Author, year: Siddiqui 1999**

Substance: Hydrogen Chloride  
 Species, strain, sex: Rat, Sprague-Dawley  
 Number/sex/conc. group: 5 / sex / concentration  
 Age and weight: adult, 144 - 300 gr  
 Observation period: 14 days

**Evaluation of study quality**

Criteria	Comment
Study carried out according to GLP	<i>No GLP statement provided</i>
Study carried out according to guideline(s)	<i>No information</i>
Stability of test compound in test atmosphere	<i>No information</i>
Use of vehicle (other than air)	<i>No information</i>
Whole body / nose-only (incl. head/nose-only) exposure	<i>Whole body</i>
Type of restrainer	<i>N/A, whole-body exposure</i>
Pressure distribution	<i>Test chamber was kept at -0.6 mm water</i>
Homogeneity of test atmosphere at breathing zone of animals	<i>Not provided - only 1 sampling location and no additional information to confirm or reject homogeneity of the test atmosphere.</i>
Number of air changes per hour	<i>Not provided</i>
Equilibration time (t95)	<i>t95 in minutes - unknown</i>
Start of exposure relative to equilibration	<i>Unknown</i>
Actual concentration measurement	<i>Every 10 min with FT-IR analyzer</i>
Particle size distribution measurement in breathing zone of the animals in case of aerosol exposure;	<i>No information</i>
<b>Assessment of Reliability</b>	<b>C</b> Only 1 exposure duration, essential study information lacking.

**Results**

The results of this study are taken from Busschers *et al* (2002). The original report has not been obtained.

Species	Concentration (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Exposure duration (min)	Lethality	
			Male	Female
Rat	3659	60	1/5	0/5
	4822	60	4/5	0/5
	5662	60	3/5	4/5

1 **Probit function**

2 No concentration-time-response probit function could be calculated from these data  
 3 alone. Data were only available for a 1 hour time period. Therefore only the 1 hour  
 4 LC<sub>50</sub> value was calculated and presented in the table below.

5  
 6

<i>Duration (minutes)</i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%-C.I. Male</i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%-C.I. Female</i>	<i>LC<sub>50</sub> (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) 95%-C.I. Combined</i>
60			5044 (4376 - 6480)

7  
 8

**Appendix 3.2 Reference list**

- 1 AHLS. University of Arizona Emergency Medicine Research Center. Advanced Hazmat  
2 Life Support (AHLs). Provider Manual, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Tucson, AZ, 2003.
- 3 Arts, J.H.E., C. Mommers, and H. Muijser. 2000 Toxic Effects from Accidental Releases  
4 of Hazardous Substances (TEARHS) – Lethal and non-lethal effects in rats upon  
5 exposure during short periods of time. TNO Nutrition and Food Research, report  
6 V99.1136. Zeist (2000).
- 7 Barrow, C.S., Y. Alarie, J.C. warrick, and M.F. Stock: Comparison of the Sensory  
8 irritation Response of Mice to Chlorine and Hydrogen Chloride. Arch. Environ. Health.  
9 1977; 32: 68-76.
- 10 Burleigh-Flayer, H., K.L. Wong, and Y. Alarie. 1985. Evaluation of the Pulmonary  
11 Effects of HCl using CO<sub>2</sub> Challenges in guinea Pigs. *Fundam. Appl. Toxicol.* 1985; 5:  
12 978-985.
- 13 Darmer KI Jr, Kinkead ER, DiPasquale LC, 1974. Acute toxicity in rats and mice  
14 exposed to hydrogen chloride gas and aerosols. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J. 1974; 35:  
15 623-631.
- 16 Gardner. R.J.: Rat sensory irritation study: HCl.Haskell Lab report No. 577-80.  
17 Newark, Del, E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Co. (1980).
- 18 Hartzell, G.E., A.F. Grand, and W.G. Switzer. 1985. Modeling of toxicological effects of  
19 fire gases: VI. Further studies on the toxicity of smoke containing hydrogen chloride.  
20 J. Fire Sci. 1985; 5: 368-391.
- 21 Higgins, E.A., V. Fiorca, A.A. Thomas, and H.V. Davis. 1972. Acute toxicity of brief  
22 exposures to HF, HCL, NO<sub>2</sub>, and HCN with and without CO. Fire Tech. 1972; 8:  
23 120-130.
- 24 Jean, PA, RH Gallavan, GB Kolesar *et al.* Chlorosilane Acute Inhalation Toxicity and  
25 Development of an LC50 Prediction Model. *Inhal Tox* 18;2006:515-522.
- 26 Kaplan, H.L., A.F. Grand, W.G. Switzer *et al.* 1985. Effects of combustion gases on  
27 escape performance of the baboon and the rat. J. Fire Sci 1985; 3: 228-244.
- 28 Kaplan, H.L., A. Anzueto, W.G. Switzer, and R.K. Hinderer. 1988. Effects of Hydrogen  
29 Chloride on Respiratory Response and Pulmonary Function of the Baboon. J. Toxicol.  
30 Environ. Health 1988; 23: 473-493.
- 31 Kaplan, H.L., W.G. Switzer, R.K. Hinderer, A. Anzueto. 1993. A Study on the Acute  
32 and Long-Term Effects of Hydrogen Chloride on Respiratory Response and Pulmonary  
33 Function and Morphology in the Baboon. J. Fire Sci. 1993; 11: 459-484.
- 34 Lapin, C.A. Inhalation toxicity of common combustion gases. E.I. Dupont de Nemours  
35 and Company, Haskell Laboratory for Toxicology and Industrial Medicine, report  
36 238-81. Newark (1981).
- 37 Malek D.E., Y. Alarie. Ergometer within a whole-body plethysmograph to evaluate  
38 performance of guinea pigs under toxic atmospheres. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol.* 1989;  
39 101(2): 340-55.
- 40 NRC. National Research Council. Acute Exposure Guideline Levels for Selected  
41 Airborne Chemicals. Volume 4. Washington, DC. The National Academies Press, 2004.

- 1 Siddiqui, W.H., G.B. Kolesar, and S.L. Mudgett: An Acute Whole Body Vapor  
2 Inhalation-Toxicity Study with Hydrogen Chloride in Albino Rats. Dow Corning  
3 Corporation. Health & Environment Sciences Technical Report 1999-10000-46029.  
4 Midland, MI (1999).  
5  
6 Siemiatycki, J., ed. 1991. Risk Factors for Cancer in the Workplace. Boca Raton, FL:  
7 CRC Press.  
8  
9 Stevens, B., J.Q. Koenig, V. Rebolledo, Q.S. Hanley, and D.S. Covert. 1992.  
10 Respiratory effects from the inhalation of hydrogen chloride in young adult  
11 asthmatics. J. Occup. Med 1992; 34: 923-929.  
12  
13 Terrill, J.B: Sensory Irritation.Haskell Lab report No. 773-76. Newark, Del, E.I. du  
14 Pont de Nemours and Co. (1976).  
15  
16 Wohlslagel, J., L.C. DiPasquale, and E.H. Vernot. 1976. Toxicity of solid rocket motor  
17 exhaust: Effects of HCl, HF, and alumina on rodents. J. Combust. Toxicol. 1976; 3:  
18 61-70.  
19  
20